# Small Sets in Union-Closed Families

#### David Ellis

School of Mathematics University of Bristol Bristol, U.K.

david.ellis@bristol.ac.uk

Maria-Romina Ivan Imre Leader

Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics University of Cambridge Cambridge, U.K.

{mri25,leader}@maths.cam.ac.uk

Submitted: Jan 29, 2022; Accepted: Nov 28, 2022; Published: Jan 13, 2023 © The authors. Released under the CC BY-ND license (International 4.0).

#### Abstract

Our aim in this note is to show that, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a union-closed family  $\mathcal{F}$  with (unique) smallest set S such that no element of S belongs to more than a fraction  $\epsilon$  of the sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ . More precisely, we give an example of a union-closed family with smallest set of size k such that no element of this set belongs to more than a fraction  $(1 + o(1)) \frac{\log_2 k}{2k}$  of the sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

We also give explicit examples of union-closed families containing 'small' sets for which we have been unable to verify the Union-Closed Conjecture.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05D05

#### 1 Introduction

If X is a set, a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of X is said to be union-closed if the union of any two sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  is also in  $\mathcal{F}$ . The Union-Closed Conjecture (a conjecture of Frankl [5]) states that if X is a finite set and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a union-closed family of subsets of X (with  $\mathcal{F} \neq \{\emptyset\}$ ), then there exists an element  $x \in X$  such that x is contained in at least half of the sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Despite the efforts of many researchers over the last forty-five years, and a recent Polymath project [7] aimed at resolving it, this conjecture remains wide open. It has only been proved under very strong constraints on the ground-set X or the family  $\mathcal{F}$ ; for example, Balla, Bollobás and Eccles [3] proved it in the case where  $|\mathcal{F}| \geqslant \frac{2}{3}2^{|X|}$ ; more recently, Karpas [6] proved it in the case where  $|\mathcal{F}| \geqslant (\frac{1}{2} - c)2^{|X|}$  for a small absolute

constant c > 0; and it is also known to hold whenever  $|X| \leq 12$  or  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq 50$ , from work of Vučković and Živković [11] and of Roberts and Simpson [9]. Note that the Union-Closed Conjecture is not even known to hold in the weaker form where we replace the fraction 1/2 by any other fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ . For general background and a wealth of further information on the Union-Closed Conjecture see the survey of Bruhn and Schaudt [4].

As usual, if X is a set we write  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  for its power-set. If X is a finite set and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  with  $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ , we define the *frequency* of x (with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ ) to be  $\gamma_x = |\{A \in \mathcal{F} : x \in A\}|/|\mathcal{F}|$ , i.e.,  $\gamma_x$  is the proportion of members of X that contain x. If a union-closed family contains a 'small' set, what can we say about the frequencies in that set?

If a union-closed family  $\mathcal{F}$  contains a singleton, then that element clearly has frequency at least 1/2, while if it contains a set S of size 2 then, as noted by Sarvate and Renaud [10], some element of S has frequency at least 1/2. However, they also gave an example of a union-closed family  $\mathcal{F}$  whose smallest set S has size 3 and yet where each element of S has frequency below 1/2. Generalising a construction of Poonen [8], Bruhn and Schaudt [4] gave, for each  $k \geq 3$ , an example of a union-closed family with (unique) smallest set of size k and with every element of that set having frequency below 1/2.

However, in these and all other known examples, there is always some element of a minimal-size set having frequency at least 1/3. So it is natural to ask if there is really a constant lower bound for these frequencies.

Our aim in this note is to show that this is not the case.

**Theorem 1.** For any positive integer k, there exists a union-closed family in which the (unique) smallest set has size k, but where each element of this set has frequency

$$(1+o(1))\frac{\log k}{2k}.$$

(All logarithms in this paper are to base 2. Also, as usual, the o(1) denotes a function of k that tends to zero as k tends to infinity.)

Theorem 1 is proved by an explicit construction. It is asymptotically sharp, in view of results of Wójcik [12] and Balla [2]: Wójcik showed that if S is a set of size  $k \ge 1$  in a finite union-closed family, then the average frequency of the elements in S is at least  $c_k$ , where  $k \cdot c_k$  is defined to be the minimum average set-size over all union-closed families on the ground-set [k], and Balla showed that  $c_k = (1 + o(1)) \frac{\log k}{2k}$ , confirming a conjecture of Wójcik from [12].

Remarkably, there are union-closed families containing small sets, even sets of size 3, for which we have been unable to verify the Union-Closed Conjecture. We give some examples at the end of the paper.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>Note$  added in proof: shortly before the acceptance of this manuscript, Gilmer [arXiv:2211.09055] obtained a breakthrough on the Union-Closed Conjecture, showing that it holds in the weaker form with the fraction 1/2 replaced by 1/100.

## 2 Proof of main result

For our construction, we need the following 'design-theoretic' lemma.

**Lemma 2.** For any positive integers k > t there exist infinitely many positive integers d such that t divides dk and the following holds. If X is a set of size dk/t, then there exists a family  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \ldots, A_k\}$  of k d-element subsets of X, such that each element of X is contained in exactly t sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and for  $2 \leq r \leq t$ , any r distinct sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  have intersection of size

$$d\frac{(t-1)(t-2)\cdots(t-r+1)}{(k-1)(k-2)\cdots(k-r+1)},$$

i.e.

$$|A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_r}| = d \frac{(t-1)(t-2)\cdots(t-r+1)}{(k-1)(k-2)\cdots(k-r+1)}$$

for any  $1 \leqslant i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r \leqslant k$ .

Proof. Let q be a positive integer, and set  $d = \binom{k-1}{t-1}q^t$ ; we will take  $|X| = \binom{k}{t}q^t$ . Partition [qk] into k sets,  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_k$  say, each of size q; we call these sets 'blocks'. We let X be the set of all t-element subsets of [qk] that contain at most one element from each block. For each  $i \in [k]$  we let  $A_i$  be the family of all sets in X that contain an element from the block  $B_i$ . Clearly,  $|A_i| = \binom{k-1}{t-1}q^t = d$  for each  $i \in [k]$ , and each element of X appears in exactly t of the  $A_i$ . Also, for example  $A_i \cap A_j$  consists of all sets in X that contain both an element from the block  $B_i$  and an element from the block  $B_j$ , so

$$|A_i \cap A_j| = {k-2 \choose t-2} q^t = {k-1 \choose t-1} q^t \frac{t-1}{k-1} = d \frac{t-1}{k-1}.$$

It is easy to check that the other intersections also have the claimed sizes.

We remark that, in what follows, it is vital that the integer d in Lemma 2 can be taken to be arbitrarily large as a function of k and t.

Proof of Theorem 1. We define n = dk/t + k, we take  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  as in the above lemma, and we let X = [dk/t]; the claim yields a family  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \ldots, A_k\}$  of k d-element subsets of X = [dk/t] such that each element of [dk/t] is contained in exactly t of the sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and for any  $2 \le r \le t$ , any r distinct sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  have intersection of size

$$d\frac{(t-1)(t-2)\cdots(t-r+1)}{(k-1)(k-2)\cdots(k-r+1)}.$$

Write m = dk/t. We take  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}([n])$  to be the smallest union-closed family containing the k-element set  $\{m+1,\ldots,m+k\}$  and all sets of the form  $\{m+i\} \cup (X \setminus \{x\})$  where  $i \in [k]$  and  $x \in A_i$ .

For brevity, we write  $S_0 = \{m+1, m+2, \dots, m+k\}$ . We will show that each element of  $S_0$  has frequency

$$(1+o(1))\frac{\log k}{2k},$$

provided t and d are chosen to be appropriate functions of k; moreover, with these choices,  $S_0$  will be the smallest set in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Clearly,  $\mathcal{F}$  contains  $S_0$ , all sets of the form  $S_0 \cup (X \setminus \{x\})$  for  $x \in X$ , all sets of the form  $R \cup X$  where R is a nonempty subset of  $S_0$ , and finally all sets of the form  $R \cup (X \setminus \{x\})$ , where  $R = \{m + i_1, \dots, m + i_r\}$  is a nonempty r-element subset of  $S_0$  and  $x \in A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_r}$ , for  $1 \leq r \leq t$ . It is easy to see that the family  $\mathcal{F}$  contains no other sets.

It follows that

$$|\mathcal{F}| = 1 + dk/t + (2^k - 1) + \sum_{r=1}^t \binom{k}{r} d\frac{(t-1)(t-2)\cdots(t-r+1)}{(k-1)(k-2)\cdots(k-r+1)}$$

$$= dk/t + 2^k + \frac{dk}{t} \sum_{r=1}^t \binom{t}{r}$$

$$= dk/t + 2^k + \frac{dk}{t} (2^t - 1)$$

$$= 2^k + \frac{dk2^t}{t}.$$

On the other hand, the number of sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  that contain the element m+1 is equal to

$$1 + dk/t + 2^{k-1} + \sum_{r=1}^{t} {k-1 \choose r-1} d \frac{(t-1)(t-2)\cdots(t-r+1)}{(k-1)(k-2)\cdots(k-r+1)}$$
$$= 1 + dk/t + 2^{k-1} + d \sum_{r=1}^{t} {t-1 \choose r-1}$$
$$= 1 + dk/t + 2^{k-1} + 2^{t-1}d.$$

It follows that the frequency of m + 1 (or, by symmetry, of any other element of  $S_0$ ) equals

$$\frac{1 + kd/t + 2^{k-1} + 2^{t-1}d}{2^k + dk2^t/t} = \frac{(1 + 2^{k-1})/d + k/t + 2^{t-1}}{2^k/d + k2^t/t}.$$

To (asymptotically) minimise this expression, we take  $t = \lfloor \log k \rfloor$  and  $d \to \infty$  (for fixed k); this yields a union-closed family in which the (unique) smallest set (namely  $S_0$ ) has size k, and every element of that set has frequency

$$(1+o(1))\frac{\log k}{2k},$$

proving the theorem.

# 3 An open problem

We now turn to some explicit examples of union-closed families containing small sets for which we have been unable to establish the Union-Closed Conjecture. For simplicity, we

concentrate on the most striking case, when the family contains a set of size 3, and indeed is generated by sets of size 3.

Our families live on ground-set  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$ , the  $n \times n$  torus.

Question 3. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $R \subset \mathbb{Z}_n$  with |R| = 3. Does the Union-Closed Conjecture hold for the union-closed family  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$  generated by all the translates of  $R \times \{0\}$  and of  $\{0\} \times R$ ?

(Here, as usual, we say a union-closed family  $\mathcal{F}$  is generated by a family  $\mathcal{G}$  if it consists of all unions of sets in  $\mathcal{G}$ .)

Perhaps the most interesting case is when n is prime. In that case we may assume that  $R = \{0, 1, r\}$  for some r, and so one feels that the verification of the Union-Closed Conjecture should be a triviality, but it seems not to be. Note that all the families in Question 3 are transitive families, in the sense that all points 'look the same', so that the Union-Closed Conjecture is equivalent to the assertion that the average size of the sets in the family is at least  $n^2/2$ .

We mention that the corresponding result in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  (in other words, the special case of the Union-Closed Conjecture for the union-closed family on ground-set  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  generated by all translates of R) is known to hold: this is proved in [1].

We have verified the special case of Question 3 where  $R = \{0, 1, 2\}$ . A sketch of the proof is as follows. Assume that  $n \geq 6$ , and let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_n^2)$  be the union-closed family generated by all translates of  $\{0, 1, 2\} \times \{0\}$  and of  $\{0\} \times \{0, 1, 2\}$  (we call these translates 3-tiles, for brevity). Let  $C = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}^2$ , a  $4 \times 4$  square. Consider the bipartite graph  $H = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  with vertex-classes  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , where  $\mathcal{X}$  consists of all subsets of C with size less than 8 that are intersections with C of sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}$  consists of all subsets of C with size greater than 8 that are intersections with C of sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ , and we join  $S \in \mathcal{X}$  to  $S' \in \mathcal{Y}$  if  $|S'| + |S| \geq 16$  and  $S' = S \cup U$  for some union U of 3-tiles that are contained within C. It can be verified (by computer) that H has a matching  $m \in \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  of size  $|\mathcal{X}| = 16520$ . Such a matching m gives rise to an injection

$$f: \{S \in \mathcal{F}: |S \cap C| < |C|/2\} \to \{S \in \mathcal{F}: |S \cap C| > |C|/2\}$$

given by

$$f(S) = (S \setminus C) \cup m(S \cap C)$$

with the property that  $|S \cap C| + |f(S) \cap C| \ge |C|$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $|S \cap C| < |C|/2$ . It follows that a uniformly random subset of  $\mathcal{F}$  has intersection with |C| of expected size at least |C|/2, which in turn implies that there is an element of C with frequency at least 1/2 (and in fact, since  $\mathcal{F}$  is transitive, every element has frequency at least 1/2).

We remark that this proof does not work if one tries to replace  $C = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}^2$  by  $\{0, 1, 2\}^2$ , as the resulting bipartite graph  $H' = (\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{Y}')$  does not contain a matching of size  $|\mathcal{X}'|$ .

We remark also that it would be nice to find a non-computer proof of the above result.

#### Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Igor Balla for bringing the papers [2] and [12] to our attention.

### References

- [1] J. Aaronson, D. Ellis and I. Leader. A note on transitive union-closed families. Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, 28, P2.3, 2021.
- [2] I. Balla. Minimum density of union-closed families. arXiv:1106.0369, 2011.
- [3] I. Balla, B. Bollobás and T. Eccles. Union-closed families of sets. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 120:531–544, 2013.
- [4] H. Bruhn and O. Schaudt. The journey of the union-closed sets conjecture. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 31:2043–2074, 2015.
- [5] D. Duffus. In: I. Rival (Ed.), *Graphs and Order*, page 525. Reidel, Dordrecht, Boston, 1985.
- [6] I. Karpas. Two results on union-closed families. arXiv:1708.01434, 2017.
- [7] Polymath11: Frankl's Union-Closed Conjecture. https://gowers.wordpress.com/2016/01/29/func1-strengthenings-variants-potential-counterexamples/.
- [8] B. Poonen. Union-closed families. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 59:253-268, 1992.
- [9] I. Roberts and J. Simpson. A note on the union-closed sets conjecture. *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, 47:265–267, 2010.
- [10] D.G. Sarvate and J.-C. Renaud. Improved bounds for the union-closed sets conjecture. *Ars Combinatoria*, 29:181–185, 1990.
- [11] B. Vučković and M. Živković. The 12-element case of Frankl's conjecture. *IPSI Transactions on Advanced Research*, 13, Paper 9, 2017.
- [12] P. Wójcik. Density of union-closed families. *Discrete Mathematics*, 105:259–267, 1992.