# An $\boldsymbol{A}_{\alpha}$-Spectral Erdős-Sós Theorem 

Ming-Zhu Chen ${ }^{a} \quad$ Shuchao Li ${ }^{b} \quad$ Zhao-Ming Li ${ }^{c} \quad$ Yuantian $\mathrm{Yu}^{d}$<br>Xiao-Dong Zhange ${ }^{e}$

Submitted: Oct 11, 2022; Accepted: Aug 7, 2023; Published: Sep 22, 2023
(C) The authors. Released under the CC BY-ND license (International 4.0).


#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a graph and let $\alpha$ be a real number in $[0,1]$. In 2017, Nikiforov proposed the $A_{\alpha}$-matrix for $G$ as $A_{\alpha}(G)=\alpha D(G)+(1-\alpha) A(G)$, where $A(G)$ and $D(G)$ are the adjacency matrix and the degree diagonal matrix of $G$, respectively. The largest eigenvalue of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ is called the $A_{\alpha}$-index of $G$. The famous Erdős-Sós conjecture states that every $n$-vertex graph with more than $\frac{1}{2}(k-1) n$ edges must contain every tree on $k+1$ vertices. In this paper, we consider an $A_{\alpha}$-spectral version of this conjecture. For $n>k$, let $S_{n, k}$ be the join of a clique on $k$ vertices with an independent set of $n-k$ vertices and denote by $S_{n, k}^{+}$the graph obtained from $S_{n, k}$ by adding one edge. We show that for fixed $k \geqslant 2,0<\alpha<1$ and $n \geqslant \frac{88 k^{2}(k+1)^{2}}{\alpha^{4}(1-\alpha)}$, if a graph on $n$ vertices has $A_{\alpha}$-index at least as large as $S_{n, k}$ (resp. $S_{n, k}^{+}$), then it contains all trees on $2 k+2$ (resp. $2 k+3$ ) vertices, or it is isomorphic to $S_{n, k}$ (resp. $S_{n, k}^{+}$). These extend the results of Cioabǎ, Desai and Tait (2022), in which they confirmed the adjacency spectral version of the Erdős-Sós conjecture.


Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C88, 05C89

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider only simple and finite graphs. Unless otherwise stated, we follow the traditional notation and terminology (see, for instance, Bollobás [2], Godsil and Royle [17]).

[^0]Let $F$ be a fixed graph. We say that $G$ is $F$-free if it does not contain $F$ as a subgraph. As usual, let $P_{n}, C_{n}$ and $K_{n}$ be the path, the cycle and the complete graph on $n$ vertices, respectively. And let $K_{a, b}$ be the complete bipartite graph with the sizes of partite sets being $a$ and $b$, respectively.

In 2017, Nikiforov [29] proposed the $A_{\alpha}$-matrix of $G$, which is a convex combination of $D(G)$ and $A(G)$, i.e.,

$$
A_{\alpha}(G)=\alpha D(G)+(1-\alpha) A(G), \quad \alpha \in[0,1]
$$

where $A(G)$ and $D(G)$ are, respectively, the adjacency matrix and the degree diagonal matrix of $G$ (see below). It is obvious that $A_{0}(G)=A(G), A_{\frac{1}{2}}(G)=\frac{1}{2} Q(G)$ and $A_{1}(G)=$ $D(G)$, where $Q(G)=D(G)+A(G)$ is the signless Laplacian matrix of $G$. The largest eigenvalue of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ is called the $A_{\alpha}$-index of $G$, denoted by $\lambda_{\alpha}(G)$ as usual. The $A_{0}$-index (resp. twice of $A_{\frac{1}{2}}$-index) of $G$ is usually referred to as the index (resp. $Q$-index) of $G$, denoted by $\rho(G) \stackrel{2}{2}($ resp. $q(G))$.

In 2013, Füredi and Simonovits [15] posed the following problem:
Problem 1 (Füredi-Simonovits type problem). Assume $\mathbb{U}$ is a family of graphs and $G$ is in $\mathbb{U}$. For a specific pair of parameters $(\tau, v)$ on $G$, our aim is to maximize the second parameter $v$ under the condition that $G$ is $F$-free and its first parameter $\tau$ is given.

For a simple graph $G=(V(G), E(G))$, we use $n:=|V(G)|$ and $m:=|E(G)|$ to denote the order and the size of $G$, respectively. With no confusion, we also use the size to denote the cardinality of a set. If the pair of parameters above are the order and size of a graph, i.e., $(\tau, v)=(n, m)$, then the Füredi-Simonovits type problem is just the classical Turán type problem: determine the maximum number of edges, ex $(n, F)$, of an $n$-vertex $F$-free graph. The value ex $(n, F)$ is called the Turán number of $F$. The research for the Turán number attracts much attention, and it has become to be one of the most attractive fundamental problems in extremal graph theory (see [15, 28] for surveys).

For each non-bipartite graph $F$, the celebrated Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem [11, $12]$ determines an asymptotic formula for $\operatorname{ex}(n, F)$. It is natural and interesting for us to study the Turán number of a bipartite graph. For more advances in this topic, readers may refer to the survey [15]. In particular, let $T$ be a tree on $k+1$ vertices, considering the disjoint copies of $K_{k}$ shows that $\operatorname{ex}(n, T) \geqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n}{k}\right\rfloor\binom{ k}{2}$.

The following Erdős-Sós conjecture predicts that ex $(n, T) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}(k-1) n$ for all trees $T$ of order $k+1$.

Conjecture 2 (Erdős-Sós [10]). Let $G$ be an $n$-vertex graph of size $m$. If $m>\frac{1}{2}(k-1) n$, then $G$ contains all trees of order $k+1$.

The Erdős-Sós conjecture is still open, however it has been confirmed in some special cases. For example, the conjecture is true when $G$ is $C_{4}$-free [31], $P_{k+5}$-free [9]; when $k$ is large compared to $n[42,32,37,35,38,19]$; and for some special classes of trees [13, 14, 16, 23].

In Problem 1, if one lets $(\tau, v)=(n, \rho(G))$, i.e., the pair of parameters are the order and the index on $\mathbb{U}$, then it becomes to be the spectral Turán type problem (also known
as Brualdi-Solheid-Turán type problem, see [3]): what is the maximal index of an $F$-free graph of order $n$ ? Over the past decade, much attention has been paid to the Brualdi-Solheid-Turán type problem. For more details, one may consult the references, such as for $F=K_{r}[24,36], F=K_{s, t}[1,24,26], F=P_{k}[27], F=C_{2 k}[25,41,39,8]$, whereas when $F$ is a $K_{r}$-minor, or $K_{s, t}$-minor, we may consult [33, 40].

For two graphs $G$ and $H$, we define $G \cup H$ to be their disjoint union. The join $G \vee H$ is the graph obtained from $G \cup H$ by joining every vertex of $G$ with every vertex of $H$. Then define $S_{n, k}=K_{k} \vee(n-k) K_{1}$ and $S_{n, k}^{+}=K_{k} \vee\left(K_{2} \cup(n-k-2) K_{1}\right)$.

In 2010, Nikiforov [27] posed a conjecture, which is an adjacency spectral version of Erdős-Sós conjecture.

Conjecture 3 (Nikiforov [27]). Let $k \geqslant 2$ and $G$ be a graph of sufficiently large order $n$.
(a) If $\rho(G) \geqslant \rho\left(S_{n, k}\right)$, then $G$ contains all trees of order $2 k+2$ unless $G=S_{n, k}$;
(b) If $\rho(G) \geqslant \rho\left(S_{n, k}^{+}\right)$, then $G$ contains all trees of order $2 k+3$ unless $G=S_{n, k}^{+}$.

There are many results involving Conjecture 3, see [21, 22, 27]. Very recently, Conjecture 3 was completely resolved by Cioabǎ, Desai and Tait [7].

In this paper, we consider an $A_{\alpha}$-spectral version of Erdős-Sós conjecture for $\alpha \in(0,1)$, which extends the main results of Cioabă, Desai and Tait [7]. Our main results can be stated as:

Theorem 4. Let $0<\alpha<1, k \geqslant 2$, and $G$ be a graph of order $n \geqslant \frac{88 k^{2}(k+1)^{2}}{\alpha^{4}(1-\alpha)}$.
(a) If $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \geqslant \lambda_{\alpha}\left(S_{n, k}\right)$, then $G$ contains all trees of order $2 k+2$ unless $G=S_{n, k}$;
(b) If $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \geqslant \lambda_{\alpha}\left(S_{n, k}^{+}\right)$, then $G$ contains all trees of order $2 k+3$ unless $G=S_{n, k}^{+}$.

Over the past decade, the signless Laplacian spectral extremal problems were studied extensively. For more details, one may refer to $[4,5,6,20,30]$ and the references therein. Taking $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 4 resolves a signless Laplacian spectral version of Erdős-Sós conjecture.

Theorem 5. Let $k \geqslant 2$ and $G$ be a graph of order $n \geqslant 2816 k^{2}(k+1)^{2}$.
(a) If $q(G) \geqslant q\left(S_{n, k}\right)$, then $G$ contains all trees of order $2 k+2$ unless $G=S_{n, k}$;
(b) If $q(G) \geqslant q\left(S_{n, k}^{+}\right)$, then $G$ contains all trees of order $2 k+3$ unless $G=S_{n, k}^{+}$.

Our paper is organized as follows. In the remainder of this section, we introduce some necessary notation and terminology. In Section 2, we give some necessary preliminaries. In Section 3, we progressively refine the structure of our extremal graphs $G_{n, k, \alpha}, G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ (see below) and complete the proof of Theorem 4, finally. Some concluding remarks are given in the last section.

For two disjoint vertex subsets $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ of $V(G)$, denote by $G\left[V_{1}\right]$ a subgraph of $G$ induced on $V_{1}$ and $G\left[V_{1}, V_{2}\right]$ a subgraph of $G$ with one end vertex in $V_{1}$ and the other in
$V_{2}$. Then the number of edges of $G\left[V_{1}\right]$ and $G\left[V_{1}, V_{2}\right]$ can be abbreviated to $e\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $e\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$, respectively. The neighborhood of a vertex $v$ (in a graph $G$ ) is denoted by $N(v)$. The degree $d(v)$ of a vertex $v$ (in a graph $G$ ) is the number of edges incident with it. Then the maximum degree of $G$ is denoted by $\Delta(G)$.

We say that two vertices $u$ and $v$ in $G$ are adjacent (or neighbours) if they are joined by an edge and we write it as $u \sim v$. Then the adjacency matrix of $G$ is defined as an $n \times n(0,1)$-matrix $A(G)=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ with $a_{i j}=1$ if and only if $v_{i} \sim v_{j}$. The degree diagonal matrix of $G$ is defined as an $n \times n$ diagonal matrix $D(G)=\operatorname{diag}\left(d\left(v_{1}\right), \ldots, d\left(v_{n}\right)\right)$.

Noting that $A_{\alpha}(G)$ is real symmetric, its eigenvalues are real. If $G$ is connected and $\alpha \neq 1$, then $A_{\alpha}(G)$ is a non-negative and irreducible matrix. From Perron-Frobenius theory, there exists a unique positive eigenvector of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ corresponding to $\lambda_{\alpha}(G)$ for $\alpha \in[0,1)$, and we call this vector the Perron vector of $A_{\alpha}(G)$.

For $k \geqslant 2$, let $\mathcal{T}_{k}$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}_{k}^{\prime}$ ) denote the set of all trees of order $2 k+2$ (resp. $2 k+3$ ), and let $\mathcal{G}_{n, k}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}_{n, k}^{\prime}$ ) denote the set of graphs of order $n$ not containing at least one tree in $\mathcal{T}_{k}$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}_{k}^{\prime}$ ). For $\alpha \in(0,1)$, let $G_{n, k, \alpha}$ (resp. $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ ) be a graph with maximum $A_{\alpha}$-index among all graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{n, k}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}_{n, k}^{\prime}$ ).

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we give some preliminary results, which will be used to prove our main results.

The following upper bound for the Turán number of trees is well-known.
Lemma 6 ([7]). For all trees $T$ of order $t$, one has

$$
\operatorname{ex}(n, T) \leqslant(t-2) n .
$$

Lemma 7 ([7]). For $k \geqslant 2$, the graphs $K_{k+1,2 k+1}$ and $K_{k, 2 k+1}^{+}:=k K_{1} \vee\left((2 k-1) K_{1} \cup K_{2}\right)$ contain all trees in $\mathcal{T}_{k}$; the graphs $K_{k+1,2 k+2}, K_{k, 2 k+2}^{\prime}:=k K_{1} \vee\left((2 k-1) K_{1} \cup P_{3}\right)$ and $K_{k, 2 k+2}^{\prime \prime}:=k K_{1} \vee\left((2 k-2) K_{1} \cup 2 K_{2}\right)$ contain all trees in $\mathcal{T}_{k}^{\prime}$.

Lemma 8 ([29]). For $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1$, if $G$ is a graph of order $n$, then $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \geqslant \alpha \Delta(G)$.
Let $M$ be an $n \times n$ real symmetric matrix and let $\pi: V=V_{1} \cup V_{2} \cup \cdots \cup V_{s}$ be a partition of $V=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Then corresponding to the partition $\pi, M$ can be partitioned into the following block matrix:

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
M_{11} & M_{12} & \cdots & M_{1 s} \\
M_{21} & M_{22} & \cdots & M_{2 s} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
M_{s 1} & M_{s 2} & \cdots & M_{s s}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The quotient matrix of $M$ with respect to $\pi$ is the matrix $M_{\pi}=\left(b_{i j}\right)_{s \times s}$, where $b_{i j}$ is the average row sum of the block $M_{i j}$. The partition $\pi$ is said to be equitable if each block $M_{i j}$ has constant row sums for $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$.

Lemma 9 ([18]). Let $M$ be a real symmetric matrix and let $M_{\pi}$ be an equitable quotient matrix of $M$. Then the eigenvalues of $M_{\pi}$ are also the eigenvalues of $M$. Furthermore, if $M$ is nonnegative and irreducible, then $\lambda(M)=\lambda\left(M_{\pi}\right)$, where $\lambda(M)$ and $\lambda\left(M_{\pi}\right)$ are the largest eigenvalues of $M$ and $M_{\pi}$, respectively.

Recall that $G_{n, k, \alpha}$ and $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ are the extremal graphs with the maximum $A_{\alpha}$-index among all graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{n, k}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{n, k}^{\prime}$, respectively, where $\alpha \in(0,1)$. The obvious fact $\mathcal{G}_{n, k} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{n, k}^{\prime}$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\alpha}\left(G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \lambda_{\alpha}\left(G_{n, k, \alpha}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 10. Let $0<\alpha<1, k \geqslant 2$, and $n \geqslant \frac{9 k^{2}}{\alpha^{4}}$. Then
$\lambda_{\alpha}\left(G_{n, k, \alpha}\right) \geqslant \max \left\{\alpha n+\frac{k}{\alpha}-k-1-\frac{2 k(k+1)}{\alpha^{3} n-\alpha^{2}(k+1+\alpha)+\alpha k}, \alpha n+\frac{k}{\alpha}-k-1-\alpha, \alpha(n-1)\right\}$.
Proof. As $S_{n, k}$ does not contain $P_{2 k+2}$ (in $\mathcal{T}_{k}$ ), one has $S_{n, k} \in \mathcal{G}_{n, k}$. Then the definition of $G_{n, k, \alpha}$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\alpha}\left(G_{n, k, \alpha}\right) \geqslant \lambda_{\alpha}\left(S_{n, k}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 8, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\alpha}\left(S_{n, k}\right) \geqslant \alpha \Delta\left(S_{n, k}\right)=\alpha(n-1) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, let $V_{1}=\left\{v \in V\left(S_{n, k}\right) \mid d_{S_{n, k}}(v)=n-1\right\}$ and $V_{2}=V\left(S_{n, k}\right) \backslash V_{1}$. Considering the partition $V\left(S_{n, k}\right)=V_{1} \cup V_{2}$, we have the following equitable quotient matrix of $A_{\alpha}\left(S_{n, k}\right)$

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
k-1+\alpha(n-k) & (1-\alpha)(n-k) \\
(1-\alpha) k & \alpha k
\end{array}\right) .
$$

According to Lemma 9, one has $\lambda_{\alpha}\left(S_{n, k}\right)=\lambda(B)$, the largest eigenvalue of $B$, i.e.,

$$
\lambda_{\alpha}\left(S_{n, k}\right)=\frac{\alpha n+k-1+\sqrt{\alpha^{2} n^{2}+(4 k-6 \alpha k-2 \alpha) n+(4 \alpha-3) k^{2}+(4 \alpha-2) k+1}}{2} .
$$

Together with $n \geqslant \frac{9 k^{2}}{\alpha^{4}}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\alpha}\left(S_{n, k}\right) \geqslant \alpha n+\frac{k}{\alpha}-k-1-\frac{2 k(k+1)}{\alpha^{3} n-\alpha^{2}(k+1+\alpha)+\alpha k} \geqslant \alpha n+\frac{k}{\alpha}-k-1-\alpha . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (2)-(4) give us
$\lambda_{\alpha}\left(G_{n, k, \alpha}\right) \geqslant \max \left\{\alpha n+\frac{k}{\alpha}-k-1-\frac{2 k(k+1)}{\alpha^{3} n-\alpha^{2}(k+1+\alpha)+\alpha k}, \alpha n+\frac{k}{\alpha}-k-1-\alpha, \alpha(n-1)\right\}$.
This completes the proof.

## 3 The proof of Theorem 4

In this section, in order to prove Theorem 4, we need the following preliminary.
Lemma 11. Let $G$ be a connected graph and let $\mathbf{y}$ be a Perron vector of $A_{\alpha}(G)$. Then, for each $v \in V(G)$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\alpha}^{2}(G) \mathbf{y}_{v}=\alpha d(v) \lambda_{\alpha}(G) \mathbf{y}_{v}+\alpha(1-\alpha) \sum_{u \sim v} d(u) \mathbf{y}_{u}+(1-\alpha)^{2} \sum_{w \sim v} \sum_{u \sim w} \mathbf{y}_{u} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By the definition of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\alpha}^{2}(G) & =[\alpha D(G)+(1-\alpha) A(G)]^{2} \\
& =\alpha^{2} D^{2}(G)+\alpha(1-\alpha) D(G) A(G)+\alpha(1-\alpha) A(G) D(G)+(1-\alpha)^{2} A^{2}(G) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Based on $A_{\alpha}(G) \mathbf{y}=\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \mathbf{y}$, for each $v \in V(G)$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \mathbf{y}_{v}=\left(A_{\alpha}(G) \mathbf{y}\right)_{v}=\alpha d(v) \mathbf{y}_{v}+(1-\alpha) \sum_{u \sim v} \mathbf{y}_{u} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{\alpha}^{2}(G) \mathbf{y}_{v}= & \left(A_{\alpha}^{2}(G) \mathbf{y}\right)_{v} \\
= & \left(\alpha^{2} D^{2}(G) \mathbf{y}\right)_{v}+(\alpha(1-\alpha) D(G) A(G) \mathbf{y})_{v}+(\alpha(1-\alpha) A(G) D(G) \mathbf{y})_{v} \\
& +\left((1-\alpha)^{2} A^{2}(G) \mathbf{y}\right)_{v} \\
= & \alpha^{2} d^{2}(v) \mathbf{y}_{v}+\alpha(1-\alpha) d(v) \sum_{u \sim v} \mathbf{y}_{u}+\alpha(1-\alpha) \sum_{u \sim v} d(u) \mathbf{y}_{u}+(1-\alpha)^{2} \sum_{w \sim v} \sum_{u \sim w} \mathbf{y}_{u} \\
= & \alpha d(v)\left[\alpha d(v) \mathbf{y}_{v}+(1-\alpha) \sum_{u \sim v} \mathbf{y}_{u}\right]+\alpha(1-\alpha) \sum_{u \sim v} d(u) \mathbf{y}_{u}+(1-\alpha)^{2} \sum_{w \sim v} \sum_{u \sim w} \mathbf{y}_{u} \\
= & \alpha d(v) \lambda_{\alpha}(G) \mathbf{y}_{v}+\alpha(1-\alpha) \sum_{u \sim v} d(u) \mathbf{y}_{u}+(1-\alpha)^{2} \sum_{w \sim v} \sum_{u \sim w} \mathbf{y}_{u} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

This completes the proof.
In what follows, all the lemmas in this section are applied to both $G_{n, k, \alpha}$ and $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$. For brevity, we write all the proofs only for $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$. The same results for $G_{n, k, \alpha}$ follow by replacing $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ with $G_{n, k, \alpha}$ in the proofs.

Fix $0<\alpha<1, k \geqslant 2$ and $n \geqslant \frac{88 k^{2}(k+1)^{2}}{\alpha^{4}(1-\alpha)}$. Denote by $\lambda_{\alpha}:=\lambda_{\alpha}\left(G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\right)$, and let $\mathbf{x}$ be an eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_{\alpha}$ whose maximum entry is equal to 1 (here $\mathbf{x}$ is the Perron vector of $A_{\alpha}\left(G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\right)$ if $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ is connected). Further, let $z$ be a vertex with $\mathbf{x}_{z}=1$ (if there are at least two such vertices, then we choose one arbitrarily). Take $\sigma=\frac{1}{11 k^{2}}$ and let $V:=V\left(G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\right)$. Then let $L$ be the set of vertices in $V$ having "large" eigenvector entries, and let $S=V \backslash L$ be the set of vertices in $V$ having "small" eigenvector entries, i.e.,

$$
L=\left\{v \in V \mid \mathbf{x}_{v} \geqslant \sigma\right\}, \quad S=\left\{v \in V \mid \mathbf{x}_{v}<\sigma\right\} .
$$

The following lemma shows that our extremal graph $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ is connected, and so $\mathbf{x}$ is positive.

Lemma 12. The graph $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ is connected.
Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ has $t \geqslant 2$ components $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{t}$. Then let $G_{1}$ be a component of $G$ such that $\lambda_{\alpha}=\lambda_{\alpha}\left(G_{1}\right)$. Let $\mathbf{y}$ be the Perron vector of $A_{\alpha}\left(G_{1}\right)$ whose maximum entry is equal to 1 , and set $\mathbf{x}=\left(\mathbf{y}^{T}, \mathbf{0}^{T}\right)^{T}$, where $\mathbf{0}$ is a zero-vector of dimension $n-\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right|$. It is easy to see that $\mathbf{x}$ is an eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_{\alpha}$. So $z \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$. According to $A_{\alpha}\left(G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{x}=\lambda_{\alpha} \mathbf{x}$, one has

$$
\lambda_{\alpha}=\lambda_{\alpha} \mathbf{x}_{z}=\alpha d(z) \mathbf{x}_{z}+(1-\alpha) \sum_{u \sim z} \mathbf{x}_{u}=\alpha d(z)+(1-\alpha) \sum_{u \sim z} \mathbf{x}_{u} \leqslant d(z)
$$

Then by (1) and Lemma 10,

$$
d(z) \geqslant \lambda_{\alpha} \geqslant \alpha(n-1)
$$

Take a vertex $u \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$. Define a graph $\hat{G}_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ which is obtained from $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ by deleting all edges adjacent to $u$ and adding the edge $u z$. Then $G_{1}$ is a proper subgraph of some component of $\hat{G}_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$, and so by the Perron-Frobenius theory,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\alpha}=\lambda_{\alpha}\left(G_{1}\right)<\lambda_{\alpha}\left(\hat{G}_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have the following claim.
Claim 13. $\hat{G}_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ does not contain any trees in $\mathcal{T}_{k}^{\prime}$ which are not contained in $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$.
Proof of Claim 13. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a tree $T \in \mathcal{T}_{k}^{\prime}$ such that $T$ is contained in $\hat{G}_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ but is not contained in $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$. Then $u z$ is a pendant edge of $T$. As $T$ is a tree on $2 k+3$ vertices and $d_{\hat{G}_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}}(z) \geqslant d_{G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}}(z) \geqslant \alpha(n-1)$, one see that $\alpha(n-1)-(2 k+1) \geqslant 1$ for $n \geqslant \frac{88 k^{2}(k+1)^{2}}{\alpha^{4}(1-\alpha)}$. Hence, there exists at least one vertex, say $w$, not in $V(T)$ such that $w \sim z$. Thus one finds that $T-z u+z w$ is an isomorphic copy of $T$ in $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$, a contradiction.

Now, by Claim 13 we see $\hat{G}_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n, k}^{\prime}$, and so (7) gives a contradiction to the choice of $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$. Therefore, $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ is connected.

Lemma 14. For all $v \in L$, one has $d(v) \geqslant\left(1-\frac{1}{2(k+1)}\right) n$.
Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there is a vertex $v \in L$ such that $d(v)<\left(1-\frac{1}{2(k+1)}\right) n$. Applying (5) to $v$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{\alpha}^{2} \mathbf{x}_{v} & =\alpha d(v) \lambda_{\alpha} \mathbf{x}_{v}+\alpha(1-\alpha) \sum_{u \sim v} d(u) \mathbf{x}_{u}+(1-\alpha)^{2} \sum_{w \sim v} \sum_{u \sim w} \mathbf{x}_{u} \\
& \leqslant \alpha d(v) \lambda_{\alpha} \mathbf{x}_{v}+2 \alpha(1-\alpha) m\left(G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\right)+2(1-\alpha)^{2} m\left(G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that there exists a tree $T$ in $\mathcal{T}_{k}^{\prime}$ such that $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ is $T$-free. By Lemma 6, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{ex}(n, T) \leqslant(2 k+1) n \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (8) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{\alpha}-\alpha d(v)\right) \mathbf{x}_{v} \leqslant 2(1-\alpha)(2 k+1) n \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (1), Lemma 10 and $d(v)<\left(1-\frac{1}{2(k+1)}\right) n$, we obtain

$$
\lambda_{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{\alpha}-\alpha d(v)\right) \mathbf{x}_{v}>\alpha(n-1)\left[\alpha(n-1)-\alpha\left(1-\frac{1}{2(k+1)}\right) n\right] \mathbf{x}_{v}
$$

Together with (10) and $\mathbf{x}_{v} \geqslant \sigma$ for all $v \in L$, we obtain

$$
\frac{\alpha^{2} \sigma n^{2}}{2(k+1)}-\left[2(1-\alpha)(2 k+1)+\alpha^{2} \sigma+\frac{\alpha^{2} \sigma}{2(k+1)}\right] n+\alpha^{2} \sigma<0
$$

a contradiction for $n \geqslant \frac{88 k^{2}(k+1)^{2}}{\alpha^{4}(1-\alpha)} \geqslant \frac{8(k+1)^{2}}{\sigma \alpha^{2}}$.
For convenience, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f:=\alpha n+\frac{k}{\alpha}-k-1-\alpha \text { with } 0<\alpha<1, k \geqslant 2 \text { and } n>0 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 15. $|L|=k$.
Proof. If $|L| \geqslant k+1$, then there is a subset $L^{\prime}$ of $L$ such that $\left|L^{\prime}\right|=k+1$. Let $N$ be the set of common neighbors of the vertices in $L^{\prime}$. From Lemma 14, we see that $|N| \geqslant(k+1)\left(1-\frac{1}{2(k+1)}\right) n-k n=\frac{n}{2} \geqslant 2 k+2$ for $n \geqslant \frac{88 k^{2}(k+1)^{2}}{\alpha^{4}(1-\alpha)} \geqslant 4 k+4$. Now the graph $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\left[L^{\prime}, N\right]$ contains $K_{k+1,2 k+2}$, that is to say, $K_{k+1,2 k+2} \subseteq G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$. By Lemma 7, $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ contains all trees in $\mathcal{T}_{k}^{\prime}$, a contradiction.

In what follows, we show that $|L| \leqslant k-1$ will never happen. Recall that $z$ is the vertex with $\mathbf{x}_{z}=1$. If $|L| \leqslant k-1$, then applying (5) to the vertex $z$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{\alpha}^{2}=\lambda_{\alpha}^{2} \mathbf{x}_{z}=\alpha d(z) \lambda_{\alpha} \mathbf{x}_{z}+\alpha(1-\alpha) \sum_{u \sim z} d(u) \mathbf{x}_{u}+(1-\alpha)^{2} \sum_{w \sim z} \sum_{u \sim w} \mathbf{x}_{u} \\
& =\alpha \lambda_{\alpha} d(z)+\alpha(1-\alpha)\left(\sum_{\substack{u \sim \tilde{z} \\
u \in L}} d(u) \mathbf{x}_{u}+\sum_{\substack{u \sim z \\
u \in S}} d(u) \mathbf{x}_{u}\right) \\
& +(1-\alpha)^{2}\left(\sum_{\substack{w \sim z \\
w \in S}} \sum_{\substack{u \sim w \\
u \in S}} \mathbf{x}_{u}+\sum_{\substack{w \sim z \\
w \in S}} \sum_{\substack{u \sim w \\
u \in L}} \mathbf{x}_{u}+\sum_{\substack{w \sim z \\
w \in L}} \sum_{\substack{u \sim w \\
u \in S}} \mathbf{x}_{u}+\sum_{\substack{w \sim z \\
w \in L}} \sum_{u \in L}^{u \in w}\right\} \\
& \leqslant \alpha \lambda_{\alpha} d(z)+\alpha(1-\alpha)\left[(k-2) n+2 m\left(G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\right) \sigma\right] \\
& +(1-\alpha)^{2}\left[2 m\left(G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\right) \sigma+(k-1) n+(k-2) n \sigma+2 e(L)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by (9) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{\alpha}-\alpha d(z)\right) \leqslant\left[(1-\alpha) k-1+\alpha^{2}\right] n+(1-\alpha) 5 k n \sigma+(1-\alpha)^{2}(k-1)(k-2) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$
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In view of Lemma $10,(1),(11)$ and $d(z) \leqslant n-1$, we obtain

$$
\lambda_{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{\alpha}-\alpha d(z)\right) \geqslant f\left[f+\alpha-\frac{2 k(k+1)}{\alpha^{2} f}-\alpha(n-1)\right] .
$$

Together with (12), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\alpha^{2}-(k+1) \alpha+k\right] n+\left(\frac{k}{\alpha}-k-1-\alpha\right)\left(\frac{k}{\alpha}-k-1+\alpha\right)-\frac{2 k(k+1)}{\alpha^{2}} } \\
\leqslant & {\left[\alpha^{2}-(k+1) \alpha+k-1+\alpha\right] n+(1-\alpha) 5 k n \sigma+(1-\alpha)^{2}(k-1)(k-2), }
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies
$(1-\alpha)(1-5 k \sigma) n \leqslant \frac{2 k(k+1)}{\alpha^{2}}-\left(\frac{k}{\alpha}-k-1-\alpha\right)\left(\frac{k}{\alpha}-k-1+\alpha\right)+(1-\alpha)^{2}(k-1)(k-2)<\frac{4 k^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}$,
a contradiction to $n \geqslant \frac{88 k^{2}(k+1)^{2}}{\alpha^{4}(1-\alpha)} \geqslant \frac{4 k^{2}}{\alpha^{2}(1-\alpha)(1-5 k \sigma)}$.
This completes the proof.
By Lemmas 14 and 15, we have

$$
|L|=k \text { and } \quad d(v) \geqslant\left(1-\frac{1}{2(k+1)}\right) n \geqslant\left(1-\frac{1}{2 k}\right) n \text { for all } v \in L
$$

Let $N$ be the set of common neighbors of the vertices in $L$ and $V^{\prime}=V \backslash(N \cup L)$. Then $|N| \geqslant k\left(1-\frac{1}{2 k}\right) n-(k-1) n=\frac{n}{2}$, and so $\left|V^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \frac{n}{2}$. In order to show that $V^{\prime}=\emptyset$, (and so $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ contains $K_{k, n-k}$ as a spanning subgraph), we need the following lemma.

Lemma 16. It holds that $\mathbf{x}_{v} \geqslant 1-\frac{1}{k}$ for all $v \in L$.
Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there is a vertex $v \in L$ such that $\mathbf{x}_{v}<1-\frac{1}{k}$. Recall that $z$ is the vertex with $\mathbf{x}_{z}=1>1-\frac{1}{k}$. Therefore, $v \neq z$. Applying (5) to the vertex $z$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{\alpha}^{2}=\lambda_{\alpha}^{2} \mathbf{x}_{z}=\alpha d(z) \lambda_{\alpha} \mathbf{x}_{z}+\alpha(1-\alpha) \sum_{u \sim z} d(u) \mathbf{x}_{u}+(1-\alpha)^{2} \sum_{w \sim z} \sum_{u \sim w} \mathbf{x}_{u} \\
& \leqslant \alpha \lambda_{\alpha} d(z)+\alpha(1-\alpha)\left(\sum_{\substack{u \sim z \\
u \in L \backslash\{v\}}} d(u) \mathbf{x}_{u}+d(v) \mathbf{x}_{v}+\sum_{\substack{u \sim z \\
u \in S}} d(u) \mathbf{x}_{u}\right) \\
& +(1-\alpha)^{2}\left(\sum_{\substack{w \sim z \\
w \in S}} \sum_{\substack{u \sim w \\
u \in S}} \mathbf{x}_{u}+\sum_{\substack{w \sim z \\
w \in S}} \sum_{\substack{u \sim w \\
u=v}} \mathbf{x}_{u}+\sum_{\substack{w \sim z \\
w \in S}} \sum_{\substack{u \sim w \\
u \in \backslash \backslash\{v\}}} \mathbf{x}_{u}+\sum_{\substack{w \sim \sim \\
w \in L}} \sum_{\substack{u \sim w \\
u \in S}} \mathbf{x}_{u}+\sum_{\substack{w \sim \\
w \in L \\
w \in L \\
u \in L}} \sum_{u} \mathbf{x}_{u}\right) \\
& \leqslant \alpha \lambda_{\alpha} d(z)+\alpha(1-\alpha)\left[(k-2) n+d(v) \mathbf{x}_{v}+2 m\left(G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\right) \sigma\right]+(1-\alpha)^{2}\left[2 m\left(G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\right) \sigma\right. \\
& \left.+d(v) \mathbf{x}_{v}+(k-1) n+(k-1) n \sigma+2 e(L)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of (9), $d(v)<n$ and $\mathbf{x}_{v}<1-\frac{1}{k}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{\alpha}-\alpha d(z)\right)< & {\left[(1-\alpha) k+\alpha^{2}-1\right] n+(1-\alpha)(5 k+1) n \sigma } \\
& +(1-\alpha)^{2} k(k-1)+(1-\alpha) n\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of Lemma $10,(1),(11)$ and $d(z) \leqslant n-1$, we obtain

$$
\lambda_{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{\alpha}-\alpha d(z)\right) \geqslant f\left[f+\alpha-\frac{2 k(k+1)}{\alpha^{2} f}-\alpha(n-1)\right] .
$$

Together with (13), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\alpha^{2}-(k+1) \alpha+k\right] n+\left(\frac{k}{\alpha}-k-1-\alpha\right)\left(\frac{k}{\alpha}-k-1+\alpha\right)-\frac{2 k(k+1)}{\alpha^{2}} } \\
< & {\left[(1-\alpha) k+\alpha^{2}-1\right] n+(1-\alpha)(5 k+1) n \sigma+(1-\alpha)^{2} k(k-1)+(1-\alpha) n\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right), }
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{k}-(5 k+1) \sigma\right)(1-\alpha) n< & \frac{2 k(k+1)}{\alpha^{2}}+(1-\alpha)^{2} k(k-1) \\
& -\left(\frac{k}{\alpha}-k-1-\alpha\right)\left(\frac{k}{\alpha}-k-1+\alpha\right)<\frac{4 k^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction to $n \geqslant \frac{88 k^{2}(k+1)^{2}}{\alpha^{4}(1-\alpha)} \geqslant \frac{4 k^{2}}{\alpha^{2}(1-\alpha)\left[\frac{1}{k}-(5 k+1) \sigma\right]}$. Therefore, for all $v \in L$, we have $\mathbf{x}_{v} \geqslant 1-\frac{1}{k}$.

Lemma 17. The set $V^{\prime}$ is empty, and so $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ contains $K_{k, n-k}$ as a spanning subgraph. Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $V^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. Then the following (a)-(d) hold.
(a) For each $v \in V^{\prime}, e(\{v\}, L) \leqslant k-1$. Otherwise, $v$ is a common neighbor of the vertices in $L$, and so $v \in N$, a contradiction.
(b) For each $v \in V^{\prime}, e(\{v\}, N) \leqslant 2 k+1$. Otherwise, $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}[L \cup\{v\}, N]$ contains $K_{k+1,2 k+2}$, and so by Lemma $7, G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ contains all trees in $\mathcal{T}_{k}^{\prime}$, a contradiction.
(c) The number of edges of $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\left[V^{\prime}\right]$ satisfies $e\left(V^{\prime}\right) \leqslant(2 k+1)\left|V^{\prime}\right|$. Otherwise, by Lemma $6, G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\left[V^{\prime}\right]$ contains all trees in $\mathcal{T}_{k}^{\prime}$, and so $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ contains all trees in $\mathcal{T}_{k}^{\prime}$, a contradiction.
(d) There is a vertex $v \in V^{\prime}$ satisfying $d_{G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\left[V^{\prime}\right]}(v) \leqslant 5 k$. Otherwise, $2 e\left(V^{\prime}\right)>5 k\left|V^{\prime}\right|$, and so $e\left(V^{\prime}\right)>\frac{5}{2} k\left|V^{\prime}\right| \geqslant(2 k+1)\left|V^{\prime}\right|$, a contradiction to (c).

According to (d), we can choose a vertex $v \in V^{\prime}$ such that $d_{G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\left[V^{\prime}\right]}(v) \leqslant 5 k$. Then construct a new graph $\hat{G}_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$, which is obtained from $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ by deleting all the edges incident to $v$ and then adding the edges $u v$ for all $u \in L$. We see that $\hat{G}_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ does not contain any trees in $\mathcal{T}_{k}^{\prime}$ which are not contained in $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$.

Suppose to the contrary that there is a tree $T \in \mathcal{T}_{k}^{\prime}$ such that $T$ is contained in $\hat{G}_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ but is not contained in $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$. Then $T$ contains $v$. As $N$ is the set of common neighbors of the vertices in $L$, and $|N| \geqslant \frac{n}{2} \geqslant 2 k+3=|V(T)|$ for $n \geqslant \frac{88 k^{2}(k+1)^{2}}{\alpha^{4}(1-\alpha)}$. By replacing $v$ with a vertex $w$ in $N \backslash V(T)$, one finds an isomorphic copy of $T$ in $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$, a contradiction. This implies $\hat{G}_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n, k}^{\prime}$.

Furthermore, by the Courant-Fischer theorem (see [18, Section 2.6]), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{\alpha}\left(\hat{G}_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\right)-\lambda_{\alpha} & \geqslant \frac{\mathbf{x}^{T}\left(A_{\alpha}\left(\hat{G}_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\right)-A_{\alpha}\left(G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{x}} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{u \in L}\left[\alpha \mathbf{x}_{u}^{2}+2(1-\alpha) \mathbf{x}_{u} \mathbf{x}_{v}+\alpha \mathbf{x}_{v}^{2}\right]-\sum_{u \sim v}\left[\alpha \mathbf{x}_{u}^{2}+2(1-\alpha) \mathbf{x}_{u} \mathbf{x}_{v}+\alpha \mathbf{x}_{v}^{2}\right]}{\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{x}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\lambda_{\alpha}\left(\hat{G}_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\right)-\lambda_{\alpha}\right] \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{x} \geqslant \sum_{\substack{u \nsim v \\
u \in L}}\left[\alpha \mathbf{x}_{u}^{2}+2(1-\alpha) \mathbf{x}_{u} \mathbf{x}_{v}+\alpha \mathbf{x}_{v}^{2}\right]} \\
& \quad-\sum_{\substack{u \sim v \\
u \in N}}\left[\alpha \mathbf{x}_{u}^{2}+2(1-\alpha) \mathbf{x}_{u} \mathbf{x}_{v}+\alpha \mathbf{x}_{v}^{2}\right]-\sum_{\substack{u \sim v \\
u \in V^{\prime}}}\left[\alpha \mathbf{x}_{u}^{2}+2(1-\alpha) \mathbf{x}_{u} \mathbf{x}_{v}+\alpha \mathbf{x}_{v}^{2}\right] . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of Lemma 16 and (a), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{u \nsim v \\ u \in L}}\left[\alpha \mathbf{x}_{u}^{2}+2(1-\alpha) \mathbf{x}_{u} \mathbf{x}_{v}+\alpha \mathbf{x}_{v}^{2}\right] \geqslant \alpha\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right)^{2}+2(1-\alpha)\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right) \mathbf{x}_{v}+\alpha \mathbf{x}_{v}^{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of $(\mathrm{b}), d_{G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\left[V^{\prime}\right]}(v) \leqslant 5 k$ and $\mathbf{x}_{u}<\sigma$ for each $u \in V^{\prime} \cup N$, we obtain

$$
\sum_{\substack{u \sim v \\ u \in N}}\left[\alpha \mathbf{x}_{u}^{2}+2(1-\alpha) \mathbf{x}_{u} \mathbf{x}_{v}+\alpha \mathbf{x}_{v}^{2}\right]+\sum_{\substack{u \sim v \\ u \in V^{\prime}}}\left[\alpha \mathbf{x}_{u}^{2}+2(1-\alpha) \mathbf{x}_{u} \mathbf{x}_{v}+\alpha \mathbf{x}_{v}^{2}\right]<8 k\left[\alpha \sigma^{2}+2(1-\alpha) \sigma \mathbf{x}_{v}+\alpha \sigma^{2}\right] .
$$

Together with (14) and (15), we have

$$
\left[\lambda_{\alpha}\left(\hat{G}_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\right)-\lambda_{\alpha}\right] \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{x}>\alpha\left[\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right)^{2}-16 k \sigma^{2}\right]+2(1-\alpha)\left(1-\frac{1}{k}-8 k \sigma\right) \mathbf{x}_{v}
$$

In view of $k \geqslant 2, \sigma=\frac{1}{11 k^{2}}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{v}>0$, we obtain $\lambda_{\alpha}\left(\hat{G}_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\right)>\lambda_{\alpha}$. This gives a contradiction to the choice of $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$.

Therefore, $V^{\prime}=\emptyset$, and so $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ contains $K_{k, n-k}$ as a spanning subgraph.
Proof of Theorem 4. It follows from Lemma 17 that both $G_{n, k, \alpha}$ and $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$ contain $K_{k, n-k}$ as a spanning subgraph, where the part on $k$ vertices is the set $L$ and the part on $n-k$ vertices is the set $N$. By Lemma $7, e(N)=0$ in $G_{n, k, \alpha}$ and $e(N) \leqslant 1$ in $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}$. Therefore,
$G_{n, k, \alpha} \subseteq S_{n, k}$ and $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime} \subseteq S_{n, k}^{+}$. By the Perron-Frobenius theory, one has $\lambda_{\alpha}\left(G_{n, k, \alpha}\right) \leqslant$ $\lambda_{\alpha}\left(S_{n, k}\right), \lambda_{\alpha}\left(G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \lambda_{\alpha}\left(S_{n, k}^{+}\right)$. And $\lambda_{\alpha}\left(G_{n, k, \alpha}\right)=\lambda_{\alpha}\left(S_{n, k}\right)$ if and only if $G_{n, k, \alpha} \cong S_{n, k}$, $\lambda_{\alpha}\left(G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime}\right)=\lambda_{\alpha}\left(S_{n, k}^{+}\right)$if and only if $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime} \cong S_{n, k}^{+}$. On the other hand, $S_{n, k} \in \mathcal{G}_{n, k}$ and $S_{n, k}^{+} \in \mathcal{G}_{n, k}^{\prime}$ imply $G_{n, k, \alpha} \cong S_{n, k}$ and $G_{n, k, \alpha}^{\prime} \cong S_{n, k}^{+}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

## 4 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we confirm the $A_{\alpha}$ spectral version of the Erdős-Sós conjecture. Consequently, the signless Laplacian spectral version of the Erdős-Sós conjecture is also confirmed (see Theorem 5). In 2017, Nikiforov [29] posed the following two problems:

Problem $18([29])$. Given a graph $F$, what is the maximum $\lambda_{\alpha}(G)$ of a graph $G$ of order $n$, with no subgraph isomorphic to $F$ ?

Problem 19 ([29]). Solve Problem 18 if $F$ is a path or a cycle of given order.
Nikiforov [29] solved Problem 18 when $F$ is a complete graph; Tian, Chen and Cui [34] solved Problem 18 and so Problem 19 when $F$ is $C_{4}$ for $\frac{1}{2} \leqslant \alpha<1, n \geqslant 10$ and when $F$ is $C_{5}$ for $0 \leqslant \alpha<\frac{1}{2}, n>\frac{11}{1-2 \alpha}+4$.

As $S_{n, k}$ (resp. $S_{n, k}^{+}$) has no subgraph isomorphic to $P_{2 k+2}$ (resp. $P_{2 k+3}$ ), the following corollary is a direct consequence of our main result (i.e., Theorem 4) in this paper.

Corollary 20. Let $0<\alpha<1, k \geqslant 2$ and $G$ be a graph of order $n \geqslant \frac{88 k^{2}(k+1)^{2}}{\alpha^{4}(1-\alpha)}$.
(a) If $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \geqslant \lambda_{\alpha}\left(S_{n, k}\right)$, then $G$ contains $P_{2 k+2}$ unless $G=S_{n, k}$;
(b) If $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \geqslant \lambda_{\alpha}\left(S_{n, k}^{+}\right)$, then $G$ contains $P_{2 k+3}$ unless $G=S_{n, k}^{+}$.

Then the value $\lambda_{\alpha}\left(S_{n, k}\right)$ (resp. $\lambda_{\alpha}\left(S_{n, k}^{+}\right)$) is the maximum $\lambda_{\alpha}(G)$ of a graph $G$ of order $n$, with no subgraph isomorphic to $P_{2 k+2}$ (resp. $P_{2 k+3}$ ). Therefore, our results solved Problem 18 and so Problem 19 when $F$ is $P_{\ell}$ for $\ell \geqslant 6,0<\alpha<1$, and $n \geqslant \frac{88\left(\left\lfloor\frac{\ell}{2}\right\rfloor-1\right)^{2}\left\lfloor\frac{\ell}{2}\right\rfloor^{2}}{\alpha^{4}(1-\alpha)}$.
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