

Descents and Flag Major Index on Conjugacy Classes of Colored Permutation Groups Without Short Cycles

Kevin Liu^a Mei Yin^b

Submitted: Mar 7, 2025; Accepted: Jul 12, 2025; Published: Sep 19, 2025

© The authors. Released under the CC BY-ND license (International 4.0).

Abstract

We consider the descent and flag major index statistics on the colored permutation groups, which are wreath products of the form $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r} = \mathbb{Z}_r \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$. We show that the k -th moments of these statistics on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ will coincide with the corresponding moments on all conjugacy classes without cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, 2k$. Using this, we establish the asymptotic normality of the descent and flag major index statistics on conjugacy classes of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ with sufficiently long cycles. Our results generalize prior work of Fulman involving the descent and major index statistics on the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n . Our methods involve an intricate extension of Fulman's work on \mathfrak{S}_n combined with the theory of the degree for a colored permutation statistic, as introduced by Campion Loth, Levet, Liu, Sundaram, and Yin.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05A05, 05E16, 60C05

1 Introduction

Statistics on the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n are a major area of study in combinatorics, and three commonly studied statistics are the descent, inversion, and major index statistics. Descents appear in the study of card shuffling [6], carries when adding numbers [14, 27], and flag varieties [19]. Inversions appear in the study of sorting objects [30] and testing randomness [37]. The major index statistic was originally introduced by MacMahon [32], who showed that it is equidistributed with the inversion statistic on \mathfrak{S}_n . Since then, the major index and its variations appeared in the study of random tableau [41], flag manifolds [21], and symmetric functions [39, Section 7.19]. The descent and inversion statistics on \mathfrak{S}_n also generalize to descent and length statistics on any Coxeter group [8], which contain the signed symmetric groups B_n as special cases. Many results on these

^aDepartment of Mathematics and Computer Science, The University Of The South, Sewanee, Tennessee, U.S.A. (keliu@sewanee.edu).

^bDepartment of Mathematics, University of Denver, Denver, Colorado, U.S.A. (mei.yin@du.edu).

statistics are known. See [5, 34, 22] for some examples on \mathfrak{S}_n and [3, 1, 33, 35] for some examples on B_n .

In this paper, we consider statistics defined over the colored permutation groups, which are wreath products of the form $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r} = \mathbb{Z}_r \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$. Colored permutation groups play an essential role in the classification of complex reflection groups [38], and they contain the symmetric groups $\mathfrak{S}_n \cong \mathfrak{S}_{n,1}$ and the signed symmetric groups $B_n \cong \mathfrak{S}_{n,2}$ as special cases. Similar to how elements of B_n can be viewed as certain bijections on $\{\pm 1, \dots, \pm n\}$, elements in $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ can be viewed as certain bijections on r copies of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, each indexed with an element in \mathbb{Z}_r .

Many statistics on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ have been studied, and many of these generalize ones on \mathfrak{S}_n and B_n . See [40] and [17] for numerous examples. We will focus on the descent and flag major index statistics on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$, which respectively generalize the descent and major index statistics on \mathfrak{S}_n . The descent statistic $\text{des}_{n,r}$ on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ was introduced by Steingrímsson [40], who showed that $\text{des}_{n,r}$ is equidistributed with the excedance statistic on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$, and its generating function satisfies

$$\frac{1}{(1-q)^{n+1}} \sum_{(\omega, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}} q^{\text{des}_{n,r}(\omega, \tau)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (ir+1)^n q^i. \quad (1)$$

The flag major index statistic $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}$ was introduced by Adin and Roichman [2], who showed that $\text{fmaj}_{n,2}$ on the signed symmetric group $B_n \cong \mathfrak{S}_{n,2}$ is equidistributed with the length statistic on B_n . This is an analog of MacMahon's result involving equidistribution of the major index and inversion statistics on \mathfrak{S}_n . Subsequent work by Haglund, Loehr, and Remmel [25] established that the distribution of $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}$ for general r is given by

$$\sum_{(\omega, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}} q^{\text{fmaj}_{n,r}(\omega, \tau)} = [r]_q [2r]_q \cdots [nr]_q, \quad (2)$$

where $[ir]_q = 1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{ir-1}$ is the q -integer of ir . This coincides with the Poincaré polynomial of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ as a complex reflection group [23, Theorem 1.4 and Table 1], but does not in general coincide with the generating function for the length statistic on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ [4, Theorem 4.4].

Main results

We study the statistics $\text{des}_{n,r}$ and $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}$ on conjugacy classes of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ with sufficiently long cycles. Recall that a conjugacy class in \mathfrak{S}_n is uniquely determined by the common cycle type of the permutations in the class. Elements in $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ can also be expressed in cycle notation, and this leads to a generalized notion of cycle type that determines conjugacy classes of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$. The precise definition is somewhat technical, so we will reserve a careful treatment for Section 2. Similar to the use of C_λ for conjugacy classes of \mathfrak{S}_n , we use C_λ to denote the conjugacy classes of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ indexed by λ .

Though there is some prior work involving statistics on conjugacy classes of \mathfrak{S}_n [18, 24, 9, 13] and $B_n \cong \mathfrak{S}_{n,2}$ [36, 20], statistics on conjugacy classes of general colored permutation

groups have not been explored heavily. The main theoretical advance appears in recent work by Campion Loth, Levet, Liu, Sundaram, and Yin, where they showed in [11, Theorem 1.1] that any fixed moment of a colored permutation statistic will coincide on all conjugacy classes of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ with sufficiently long cycles. Our main result strengthens this for the special cases of $\text{des}_{n,r}$ and $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}$.

Theorem 1. *Let C_λ be a conjugacy class of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$. If C_λ has no cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, 2k$, then the k -th moments of $\text{des}_{n,r}$ and $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}$ on C_λ match the respective k -th moments on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$.*

The descent and flag major index statistics are known to be asymptotically normal on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ [12]. Combining this fact with the Method of Moments and Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary, which shows asymptotic normality of $\text{des}_{n,r}$ and $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}$ on conjugacy classes with sufficiently long cycles.

Corollary 2. *For every $n \geq 1$, let C_{λ_n} be a conjugacy class of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ such that for all i , the number of cycles of length i in λ_n approaches 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let stat_n for $n \geq 1$ be either the descent or flag major index statistic on C_{λ_n} with mean μ_n and variance σ_n^2 . Then as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the random variable $\frac{\text{stat}_n - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}$ converges in distribution to the standard normal distribution.*

Related Work

Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 were inspired by prior work of Fulman [18], who proved the analogous results for the descent and major index statistics on conjugacy classes of \mathfrak{S}_n satisfying appropriate conditions on cycle lengths. Our method of proving Theorem 1 for $\text{des}_{n,r}$ is based on Fulman's original approach. For $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}$, we combine our work for $\text{des}_{n,r}$ with the theory of degree for a colored permutation statistic, as introduced in [11]. Since the descent and flag major index statistics on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,1}$ coincide with the classical descent and major index statistics on \mathfrak{S}_n , specializing Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 to \mathfrak{S}_n recovers the original results of Fulman.

In the case of $r = 2$, the descent statistic $\text{des}_{n,2}$ on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,2}$ does not coincide with the descent statistic des_{B_n} on B_n as a Coxeter group under the usual isomorphism between $\mathfrak{S}_{n,2}$ and B_n . See [8, Section 8.1] for a thorough discussion of des_{B_n} . Though $\text{des}_{n,2}$ and des_{B_n} do not coincide, [10, Theorem 3.4] and (1) show that these statistics share the same distribution on $B_n \cong \mathfrak{S}_{n,2}$. However, one can find conjugacy classes where $\text{des}_{n,2}$ and des_{B_n} do not share the same distribution. Consequently, Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 do not apply to des_{B_n} , though analogs of these results for des_{B_n} were previously established in [11], where the authors also derived explicit formulas for the distribution of des_{B_n} on conjugacy classes of B_n .

Outline of Paper

We start in Section 2 by outlining preliminary information involving colored permutation groups and statistics. We then establish Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 for the descent and

flag major index statistics in Section 3 and Section 4, respectively. We conclude with potential future directions in Section 5.

2 Preliminaries

We begin with preliminaries on the colored permutation groups $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$, their conjugacy classes, and the specific statistics considered in this paper. Our definitions are primarily based on what is given in [40] and [12]. For properties of the conjugacy classes of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$, we use [28] as a reference, which contains a more general treatment of wreath products.

2.1 Colored permutation groups and statistics

Let \mathbb{Z}_r be the group of integers modulo r and \mathfrak{S}_n be the symmetric group on $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The *colored permutation group* $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ is the wreath product $\mathbb{Z}_r \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$, which is the semidirect product $\mathbb{Z}_r^n \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n$ formed from the permutation action of \mathfrak{S}_n on \mathbb{Z}_r^n . An element in $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ is called a *colored permutation*, and it will be denoted (ω, τ) , where $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\tau : [n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_r$ is a function referred to as a *coloring*. For brevity, we will usually express τ in the form $(\tau(1), \dots, \tau(n))$. From its construction as a wreath product, the group operation is defined as

$$(\omega_1, \tau_1)(\omega_2, \tau_2) = (\omega_1\omega_2, (\tau_1 \circ \omega_2) + \tau_2).$$

The colored permutation group $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ can be embedded as a subgroup of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_{rn} , which we describe explicitly as follows. Let $[n]^r$ denote the set of rn elements

$$\{i^c : i \in [n], c \in \mathbb{Z}_r\},$$

where the superscript indicates the *color* of an element in $[n]$. One can view the colored permutation (ω, τ) as a bijection on $[n]^r$. We abuse notation and also denote this bijection (ω, τ) , and it is defined by $(\omega, \tau)(i^c) = \omega(i)^{\tau(i)+c}$ for all $i \in [n]$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}_r$. Observe that for all $i \in [n]$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega_1, \tau_1)(\omega_2, \tau_2)(i^0) &= (\omega_1, \tau_1)(\omega_2(i)^{\tau_2(i)}) \\ &= \omega_1\omega_2(i)^{\tau_1(\omega_2(i))+\tau_2(i)} \\ &= (\omega_1\omega_2, (\tau_1 \circ \omega_2) + \tau_2)(i^0), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

so this identification is a group homomorphism that identifies $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ as a subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_{nr} .

Since the images of i^0 for $i \in [n]$ are sufficient for determining $(\omega, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$, one can use these to form the two-line and one-line notations of (ω, τ) . The *two-line notation* of (ω, τ) is a $2 \times n$ array with $1^0, 2^0, \dots, n^0$ in the first line and $(\omega, \tau)(1^0), (\omega, \tau)(2^0), \dots, (\omega, \tau)(n^0)$ in the second line. The *one-line notation* of (ω, τ) results from deleting the first line of the two-line notation. We illustrate these with an example below.

Example 3. Consider $\omega = [3, 8, 5, 6, 2, 1, 4, 7] \in \mathfrak{S}_8$ expressed in one-line notation and the coloring $\tau = (1, 0, 0, 1, 2, 2, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^8$. This defines an element in $\mathfrak{S}_{8,3}$ whose corresponding bijection is determined by

$$\begin{aligned}(\omega, \tau)(1^0) &= 3^1, (\omega, \tau)(2^0) = 8^0, (\omega, \tau)(3^0) = 5^0, (\omega, \tau)(4^0) = 6^1 \\ (\omega, \tau)(5^0) &= 2^2, (\omega, \tau)(6^0) = 1^2, (\omega, \tau)(7^0) = 4^0, (\omega, \tau)(8^0) = 7^1.\end{aligned}$$

This can be expressed in the two-line and one-line notations as

$$\begin{aligned}(\omega, \tau) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1^0 & 2^0 & 3^0 & 4^0 & 5^0 & 6^0 & 7^0 & 8^0 \\ 3^1 & 8^0 & 5^0 & 6^1 & 2^2 & 1^2 & 4^0 & 7^1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [3^1 \ 8^0 \ 5^0 \ 6^1 \ 2^2 \ 1^2 \ 4^0 \ 7^1].\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

We will primarily focus on three statistics on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$: descents, major index, and flag major index. For any $(\omega, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$, an index $i \in [n]$ is a *descent* of (ω, τ) if $\tau(i) > \tau(i+1)$, or $\tau(i) = \tau(i+1)$ and $\omega(i) > \omega(i+1)$, where we use the convention $\tau(n+1) = 0$ and $\omega(n+1) = n+1$. One can alternatively fix the total order on $[n]^r$

$$1^0 < 2^0 < 3^0 < \dots < 1^1 < 2^1 < 3^1 < \dots < 1^{r-1} < 2^{r-1} < 3^{r-1} < \dots\tag{5}$$

and define a descent to be any $i \in [n]$ such that $(\omega, \tau)(i^0) > (\omega, \tau)((i+1)^0)$, with the convention that $(\omega, \tau)((n+1)^0) = (n+1)^0$.

Letting $\text{Des}_{n,r}(\omega, \tau)$ denote the set of descents of $(\omega, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$, the descent and major index statistics on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ are respectively defined as

$$\text{des}_{n,r}(\omega, \tau) = |\text{Des}_{n,r}(\omega, \tau)| \quad \text{and} \quad \text{maj}_{n,r}(\omega, \tau) = \sum_{i \in \text{Des}_{n,r}(\omega, \tau) \cap [n-1]} i.$$

Observe that when $r = 1$, these reduce to the usual descent and major index statistics on \mathfrak{S}_n . In this case, we will omit r from the subscript and denote these statistics as des_n and maj_n .

The *color* and *flag major index* statistics on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ are the nonnegative integers defined by

$$\text{col}_{n,r}(\omega, \tau) = \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(i) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{fmaj}_{n,r}(\omega, \tau) = r \cdot \text{maj}_{n,r}(\omega, \tau) + \text{col}_{n,r}(\omega, \tau).$$

Note that the $\text{col}_{n,r}$ statistic uses $\{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$ as representative elements in \mathbb{Z}_r and adds them as elements in \mathbb{Z} . In the case when $r = 1$, the color statistic is identically 0, so the flag major index coincides with the usual major index on \mathfrak{S}_n .

Example 4. Consider the permutation $(\omega, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}_{8,3}$ with one-line notation

$$(\omega, \tau) = [3^1, 8^0, 5^0, 6^1, 2^2, 1^2, 4^0, 7^1]$$

The descent set of (ω, τ) is $\{1, 2, 5, 6, 8\}$, and the sum of the colors that appear is 7. Using this, we calculate

$$\text{des}_{8,3}(\omega, \tau) = 5, \quad \text{maj}_{8,3}(\omega, \tau) = 14, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{fmaj}_{8,3}(\omega, \tau) = 3 \cdot 14 + 7 = 49.$$

For any statistic $X : \mathfrak{S}_{n,r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we can consider it as a random variable by equipping $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ with the uniform distribution. The corresponding probability distribution is defined by

$$\Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X = i] = |X^{-1}(i)|/|\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}|.$$

For each positive integer k , the k -th moment of X will be denoted $\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X^k]$. For the descent and flag major index statistics, Chow and Mansour established the following results involving their asymptotic distributions.

Theorem 5. [12, Theorem 3.1 & Theorem 3.4] *For any positive integers n and r , $\text{des}_{n,r}$ has mean $\mu_{n,r} = \frac{rn+r-2}{2r}$ and variance $\sigma_{n,r}^2 = \frac{n+1}{12}$, and as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the standardized random variable $\frac{\text{des}_{n,r} - \mu_{n,r}}{\sigma_{n,r}}$ converges to a standard normal distribution.*

Theorem 6. [12, Theorem 4.1 & Theorem 4.3] *For any positive integers n and r , $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}$ has mean $\mu_{n,r} = \frac{n(rn+r-2)}{4}$ and variance $\sigma_{n,r}^2 = \frac{2r^2n^3+3r^2n^2+(r^2-6)n}{72}$, and as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the standardized random variable $\frac{\text{fmaj}_{n,r} - \mu_{n,r}}{\sigma_{n,r}}$ converges to a standard normal distribution.*

For our results on the asymptotic distributions of $\text{des}_{n,r}$ and $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}$, we will need additional tools from probability theory. In general, two different probability distributions can share the same moments. We will be primarily interested in normal distributions, which are uniquely determined by their moments, so once we have that the moments of a random variable X coincide with those of a normal distribution, we can conclude that the distribution of X coincides with a normal distribution. We will use this property for normal distributions in conjunction with a tool called the Method of Moments. See [7, Section 30] for further details of this result.

Theorem 7 (Method of Moments). *Suppose $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and Y are real-valued random variables with finite k -th moments for all k . If Y is uniquely determined by its moments and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[X_n^k] = \mathbb{E}[Y^k],$$

for all k , then X_n converges in distribution to Y .

2.2 Conjugacy classes of colored permutation groups

Our work will focus on conjugacy classes of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$, which we now describe. Similar to permutations in \mathfrak{S}_n , colored permutations also have a cycle notation. Starting with (ω, τ) , one can express ω in the usual cycle notation with color 0 on all elements and then insert $\omega(i)^{\tau(i)}$ under i^0 for each $i \in [n]$. We will refer to this as the *two-line cycle notation*. Removing the first row in every cycle then results in the *cycle notation* for (ω, τ) . An example is shown below.

Example 8. Consider the permutation

$$(\omega, \tau) = [3^1, 8^0, 5^0, 6^1, 2^2, 1^2, 4^0, 7^1] \in \mathfrak{S}_{8,3}$$

given in Example 3. The two-line cycle notation is given by

$$(\omega, \tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 1^0 & 3^0 & 5^0 & 2^0 & 8^0 & 7^0 & 4^0 & 6^0 \\ 3^1 & 5^0 & 2^2 & 8^0 & 7^1 & 4^0 & 6^1 & 1^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Deleting the first line results in the cycle notation

$$(\omega, \tau) = (3^1 5^0 2^2 8^0 7^1 4^0 6^1 1^2).$$

As in \mathfrak{S}_n , the cycle notation for elements in $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ is not unique.

Similar to permutations in \mathfrak{S}_n , colored permutations have a notion of cycle type derived from the cycle notation. An r -partition of $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is an r -tuple of partitions $\lambda = (\lambda^j)_{j=0}^{r-1}$ where each λ^j is a partition of some nonnegative integer n_j such that $\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} n_j = n$. For any cycle in the cycle notation of $(\omega, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$, its *length* is the number of elements in it, and its *color* is the sum of the colors that appear (as an element in \mathbb{Z}_r). The *cycle type* of $(\omega, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ is the r -partition λ where λ^j records the cycle lengths for the cycles with color j .

Example 9. The permutation from Example 8 has a single cycle of length 8 with color $7 \equiv 1 \pmod 3$. Hence, its cycle type is $\lambda = (\emptyset, (8), \emptyset)$.

Example 10. For a larger example, consider the following colored permutation in $\mathfrak{S}_{9,3}$:

$$(\omega, \tau) = (1^0 3^2 7^1 6^0)(2^1)(4^2 5^0)(8^0)(9^1).$$

Since $r = 3$, the cycle type of this colored permutation is

$$\lambda = (\lambda^0, \lambda^1, \lambda^2) = ((1, 4), (1^2), (2)),$$

where each partition has been expressed in multiplicative notation $(1^{a_1}, 2^{a_2}, \dots, n^{a_n})$.

As in \mathfrak{S}_n , the conjugacy classes of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ are determined by cycle type.

Proposition 11. [28, Theorem 4.2.8 & Lemmas 4.2.9-4.2.10] *Elements $(\omega, \tau), (\omega', \tau') \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ are conjugate if and only if they share the same cycle type. Hence, each conjugacy class of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ is indexed by an r -partition.*

Throughout, we use C_λ for the conjugacy class corresponding to colored permutations with cycle type λ . For a statistic X on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$, we can restrict X to C_λ and equip C_λ with the uniform distribution to consider X as a random variable. X then has a discrete probability distribution given by

$$\Pr_{C_\lambda}[X = i] = |X^{-1}(i) \cap C_\lambda|/|C_\lambda|.$$

Note that this is equivalent to the conditional distribution $\Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X = i \mid C_\lambda]$, and the above notation is introduced for brevity. We will also sometimes consider more general sets $\Omega \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$, and we similarly use the notation $\Pr_\Omega[X = i]$ for the distribution of X on Ω equipped with the uniform distribution.

2.3 Statistics on conjugacy classes with sufficiently long cycles

[11] analyzes moments of statistics on conjugacy classes of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ with sufficiently long cycles. We will describe the parts of this work relevant to our results and refer the reader to [11] for a detailed account. See also [26] for further details specific to the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n .

A *partial colored permutation* on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ is a pair (K, κ) where $K = \{(i_h, j_h)\}_{h=1}^m$ consists of distinct ordered pairs of elements in $[n]$ and $\kappa : \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_r$ is any function, which we can represent as ordered pairs $\{(i_h, \kappa(i_h))\}_{h=1}^m$. We call m the *size* of (K, κ) , and also denote this as $|(K, \kappa)|$. For brevity, we will also express (K, κ) using a single set of ordered pairs of elements in $[n]^r$ as

$$(K, \kappa) = \left\{ \left(i_h^0, j_h^{\kappa(i_h)} \right) \right\}_{h=1}^m.$$

Indeed, the correspondence between these notations is clear.

Remark 12. In [11], the authors use the convention that $(\omega, \tau)(i^0) = \omega(i)^{\tau(\omega(i))}$ rather than $(\omega, \tau)(i^0) = \omega(i)^{\tau(i)}$. Our alternative convention in this paper simplifies our proofs significantly. We have adapted [11] appropriately to account for this differing convention. In particular, [11] uses the convention that κ is a function on $\{j_1, \dots, j_m\}$, while we instead define κ on $\{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$.

A permutation $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ *satisfies* K if $\omega(i_h) = j_h$ for all $h \in [m]$. A coloring $\tau : [n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_r$ *satisfies* κ if $\tau(i_h) = \kappa(i_h)$ for all $h \in [m]$. A colored permutation $(\omega, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ *satisfies* (K, κ) if ω satisfies K and τ satisfies κ . Viewing (ω, τ) as a bijection on $[n]^r$, this is equivalent to (ω, τ) mapping i_h^0 to $j_h^{\kappa(i_h)}$ for all $h \in [m]$. We use $I_{(K, \kappa)} : \mathfrak{S}_{n,r} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ to denote the indicator function for a colored permutation satisfying (K, κ) . In general, the probability of satisfying (K, κ) on a conjugacy class $C_\lambda \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ can be difficult to compute. However, when C_λ has all cycles of sufficiently long length, this probability is well-understood.

Lemma 13. [11, Lemma 3.11 & Corollary 3.12] *Let (K, κ) be a partial colored permutation statistic on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ of size m , and let C_λ be a conjugacy class of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ without cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, m$. Then*

$$\Pr_{C_\lambda}[\omega \text{ satisfies } K] = \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2) \cdots (n-m)},$$

$$\Pr_{C_\lambda}[\tau \text{ satisfies } \kappa] = \frac{1}{r^m},$$

$$\Pr_{C_\lambda}[(\omega, \tau) \text{ satisfies } (K, \kappa)] = \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2) \cdots (n-m)} \cdot \frac{1}{r^m}.$$

In particular, satisfying K and κ are independent.

One key insight of [11] is that the indicator functions $I_{(K, \kappa)}$ for a partial colored permutations can be viewed as building blocks for colored permutation statistics. Formally,

a colored permutation statistic $X : \mathfrak{S}_{n,r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has *degree* m if it is in the \mathbb{R} -vector space spanned by $\{I_{(K,\kappa)} : |(K,\kappa)| \leq m\}$ and not in the \mathbb{R} -vector space spanned by $\{I_{(K,\kappa)} : |(K,\kappa)| \leq m-1\}$. We give examples below using the statistics relevant to this paper.

Example 14. The descent, major index, and flag major index statistics on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ have degrees at most 2, as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{des}_{n,r} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j_1^{c_1} < j_2^{c_2}} I_{\{(i^0, j_2^{c_2}), ((i+1)^0, j_1^{c_1})\}} + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{c=1}^{r-1} I_{\{(n^0, j^c)\}}, \\ \text{maj}_{n,r} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j_1^{c_1} < j_2^{c_2}} i \cdot I_{\{(i^0, j_2^{c_2}), ((i+1)^0, j_1^{c_1})\}}, \\ \text{fmaj}_{n,r} &= r \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j_1^{c_1} < j_2^{c_2}} i \cdot I_{\{(i^0, j_2^{c_2}), ((i+1)^0, j_1^{c_1})\}} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{c=0}^{r-1} c \cdot I_{\{(i^0, j^c)\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the condition $j_1^{c_1} < j_2^{c_2}$ is with respect to the total order given in (5). One can show that for $n \geq 3$, these statistics have degrees exactly 2, e.g., see the approach in [11, Theorem 4.20]. However, we will not need this exact value for their degrees.

It is clear that if two statistics have degree at most m_1 and m_2 , respectively, then their sum has degree at most $\max\{m_1, m_2\}$. The corresponding property for products is given below.

Lemma 15. [11, Corollary 3.16] Suppose X_1 and X_2 are statistics on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ with degree at most m_1 and m_2 , respectively. Then $X_1 \cdot X_2$ has degree at most $m_1 + m_2$. In particular, for any integer $k \geq 1$ such that $m_1 k \leq n$, we have that X_1^k has degree at most km_1 .

Lemma 13 with Lemma 15 can then be used to show the following result. Note that we will primarily be interested in the application of these results to $\text{des}_{n,r}$, $\text{maj}_{n,r}$, and $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}$, and Example 14 showed that these have degree at most 2.

Theorem 16. [11, Theorem 1.1] Suppose $X : \mathfrak{S}_{n,r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has degree at most m . For any $k \geq 1$, the k th moment $\mathbb{E}_{C_\lambda}[X^k]$ coincides on all conjugacy classes C_λ of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ without cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, mk$.

3 Descents

In this section, we prove Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 for $\text{des}_{n,r}$. Our methods also apply to $\text{maj}_{n,r}$, so we include results for this statistic as well. Throughout, we define X_i to be the indicator function for a descent at position i ,

$$X_i(\omega, \tau) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in \text{Des}_{n,r}(\omega, \tau) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The descent and major index statistics can then be expressed as

$$\text{des}_{n,r} = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \quad \text{and} \quad \text{maj}_{n,r} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i \cdot X_i.$$

Observe that the above decompositions also allow us to decompose the k -th powers of the descent and major index statistics in terms of X_1, \dots, X_n as

$$\text{des}_{n,r}^k = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_k \in [n]} X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{maj}_{n,r}^k = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_k \in [n-1]} a_1 \cdots a_k X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_k}.$$

Note that the a_1, \dots, a_k need not be distinct. Since expectation is linear, an understanding of the mean of $X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_k}$ on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ or C_λ informs us of the k -th moments of $\text{des}_{n,r}$ and $\text{maj}_{n,r}$ on these sets.

3.1 Moments on colored permutation groups

We begin by deriving explicit formulas for the expectation of $X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_k}$ on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$. We start with the following definitions based on [18]. Our modifications account for the possibility of a descent at position n in $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$, which cannot occur in \mathfrak{S}_n .

Definition 17. The *Young subgroup* generated by $a_1, \dots, a_k \in [n]$ is the subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_n generated by the adjacent transpositions

$$\{(a_i, a_i + 1) : a_i \in \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \setminus \{n\}\}.$$

Definition 18. Let J be the Young subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_n generated by $a_1, \dots, a_k \in [n]$. The *blocks* induced by $a_1, \dots, a_k \in [n]$ are the equivalence classes $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_t \subseteq [n]$ generated by the following property: $i, j \in [n]$ are in the same equivalence class if some $\omega \in J$ maps i to j . Observe that one can equivalently express

$$J = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{B}_1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{B}_t},$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{B}_i}$ is the group of permutations on the elements in \mathcal{B}_i .

Example 19. The blocks induced by $1, 2, 4, 7 \in [8]$ are $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\mathcal{B}_2 = \{4, 5\}$, $\mathcal{B}_3 = \{6\}$, and $\mathcal{B}_4 = \{7, 8\}$. Note that the blocks induced by $1, 2, 4, 7, 8 \in [8]$ will be the same.

Fulman shows in [18, Proof of Theorem 3] that when the blocks induced by $a_1, \dots, a_k \in [n-1]$ are $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_t$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}[X_{a_1} X_{a_2} \cdots X_{a_k}] = \prod_{i=1}^t \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}_i|!}. \quad (6)$$

In $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$, we will derive the corresponding formulas for $\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{a_1} X_{a_2} \cdots X_{a_k}]$, and there will be two cases depending on whether or not a_1, \dots, a_k contains n . When a_1, \dots, a_k does not contain n , we show that Equation (6) translates directly to $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$.

Lemma 20. Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \in [n-1]$ with induced blocks $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_t$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{a_1}X_{a_2} \cdots X_{a_k}] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}[X_{a_1}X_{a_2} \cdots X_{a_k}] = \prod_{i=1}^t \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}_i|!}. \quad (7)$$

Proof. Let \mathfrak{S}_n act on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ by permuting entries in the one-line notation. This partitions $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ into orbits based on the elements that appear in the one-line notation. Each orbit Ω_c can be indexed by $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$, where $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_r$ is the color of element i in the one-line notation. Let $f_c : \{i^{c_i}\}_{i=1}^n \rightarrow [n]$ be the unique order-preserving bijection that maps $\{i^{c_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ with the ordering in (5) to $[n]$ with the usual ordering.

This induces a bijection $F_c : \Omega_c \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n$ that applies f_c on each element in the one-line notation. For example, in the permutation from Example 3 where $c = (1, 0, 0, 1, 2, 2, 0, 1)$, we have that

$$F_c([3^1 8^0 5^0 6^1 2^2 1^2 4^0 7^1]) = [43258716].$$

Since f_c is order-preserving, F_c preserves descents at positions $1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Therefore, for all $(\omega, \tau) \in \Omega_c$, we have that

$$X_{a_1}X_{a_2} \cdots X_{a_k}(\omega, \tau) = X_{a_1}X_{a_2} \cdots X_{a_k}(F_c(\omega, \tau)).$$

As F_c is a bijection, this implies that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{a_1}X_{a_2} \cdots X_{a_k} \mid \Omega_c] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}[X_{a_1}X_{a_2} \cdots X_{a_k}]. \quad (8)$$

Equation (8) holds for every Ω_c , so the Law of Total Expectation implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{a_1}X_{a_2} \cdots X_{a_k}] &= \sum_c \Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[\Omega_c] \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{a_1}X_{a_2} \cdots X_{a_k} \mid \Omega_c] \\ &= \sum_c \Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[\Omega_c] \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}[X_{a_1}X_{a_2} \cdots X_{a_k}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}[X_{a_1}X_{a_2} \cdots X_{a_k}]. \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from (6). □

It remains now to consider products involving X_n . We start with the case of random variables corresponding to consecutive indices $X_{m+1} \cdots X_n$ containing n . Based on the ordering used to define descents in (5), observe that the subset

$$\{(\omega, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,r} \mid X_{m+1} \cdots X_n(\omega, \tau) = 1\}$$

is equivalent to

$$\{(\omega, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,r} \mid X_{m+1} \cdots X_{n-1}(\omega, \tau) = 1 \text{ and } \tau(i) \neq 0 \forall i > m\}.$$

Using this equivalence, we compute the expectation of $X_{m+1} \cdots X_n$ on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$

Lemma 21. For any $1 \leq m < n$, the following holds:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{m+1} \cdots X_n] = \left(\frac{r-1}{r}\right)^{n-m} \cdot \frac{1}{(n-m)!}. \quad (9)$$

Proof. We first express

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{m+1} \cdots X_n] \\ &= \Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{m+1} \cdots X_n = 1] \\ &= \Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[\{\tau(i) \neq 0 \forall i > m\} \cap \{X_{m+1} \cdots X_{n-1} = 1\}] \\ &= \Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[\tau(i) \neq 0 \forall i > m] \cdot \Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{m+1} \cdots X_{n-1} = 1 \mid \tau(i) \neq 0 \forall i > m]. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is equal to $((r-1)/r)^{n-m}$, so it suffices to show the second term is $1/(n-m)!$. For this, we let \mathfrak{S}_{n-m} act on the set $\{(\omega, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,r} : \tau(i) \neq 0 \forall i > m\}$ by permuting the last $n-m$ entries in the one-line notation. Under this action, each orbit has size $(n-m)!$, and exactly one element in each orbit has these last $n-m$ elements in descending order. The same argument as in Lemma 20 shows then that

$$\Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{m+1} \cdots X_{n-1} = 1 \mid \tau(i) \neq 0 \forall i > m] = \frac{1}{(n-m)!}. \quad \square$$

Corollary 22. For any positive integers m and n ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{m+n,r}}[X_{m+1} X_{m+2} \cdots X_{m+n}].$$

Finally, we consider the expectation of arbitrary products of the X_i statistics that contain X_n . Our approach is to again use an action by a symmetric group of appropriate size.

Lemma 23. For any $a_1, \dots, a_j \in [m-1] \subseteq [n]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} X_{m+1} X_{m+2} \cdots X_n] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j}] \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{m+1} X_{m+2} \cdots X_n]. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Proof. Express

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} X_{m+1} X_{m+2} \cdots X_n] \\ &= \Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} X_{m+1} X_{m+2} \cdots X_n = 1] \\ &= \Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} = 1] \cdot \Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{m+1} \cdots X_n = 1 \mid X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} = 1] \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The first term is $\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j}]$, and the group action argument from Lemma 21 shows that

$$\Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{m+1} \cdots X_n = 1 \mid X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} = 1] = \left(\frac{r-1}{r}\right)^{n-m} \cdot \frac{1}{(n-m)!}. \quad \square$$

Corollary 24. Consider any $a_1, \dots, a_k \in [n]$ with induced blocks $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_t$, where \mathcal{B}_t contains n . If $n \in \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$, then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_k}] = \left(\frac{r-1}{r}\right)^{|\mathcal{B}_t|} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^t \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}_i|!}.$$

Proof. Since $n \in \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$, we can express $X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_k}$ equivalently as

$$X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} X_{m+1} X_{m+2} \cdots X_n,$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_j \in [m-1]$. By Lemma 23,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_k}] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j}] \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{m+1} \cdots X_n].$$

The result follows by applying Lemma 20 and Lemma 21. \square

3.2 Moments on conjugacy classes without short cycles

We now consider the expectation of $X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_k}$ on C_λ without cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, 2k$ and establish analogs of Lemma 20 and Corollary 24. Our arguments for Lemma 20 and Corollary 24 involved group actions where orbits have exactly one element with

$$X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_k}(\omega, \tau) = 1,$$

and we will define an appropriate action on C_λ with the same property.

Fix $a_1, \dots, a_k \in [n]$, let $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_t \subseteq [n]$ be the blocks induced by a_1, \dots, a_k , and let $J = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{B}_1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{B}_t}$ be the Young subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_n generated by a_1, \dots, a_k . Define an action of J on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ as follows: for all $\pi \in J$ and $(\omega, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$,

$$\pi \cdot (\omega, \tau) = (\pi, \mathbf{0})(\omega, \tau)(\pi, \mathbf{0})^{-1}, \quad (12)$$

where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero coloring. Alternatively, this is the conjugation action of J on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ after identifying J with the subgroup $J \times \mathbf{0}$. The following result describes orbits under the action given in (12).

Lemma 25. Let $(\omega, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$. Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\mathbf{0}$ be the zero coloring. If $(i_1^{c_1}, i_2^{c_2}, \dots, i_\ell^{c_\ell})$ is a cycle in (ω, τ) , then

$$(\pi, \mathbf{0})(i_1^{c_1}, i_2^{c_2}, \dots, i_\ell^{c_\ell})(\pi, \mathbf{0})^{-1} = (\pi(i_1)^{c_1}, \pi(i_2)^{c_2}, \dots, \pi(i_\ell)^{c_\ell})$$

is a cycle in $(\pi, \mathbf{0})(\omega, \tau)(\pi, \mathbf{0})^{-1}$.

Proof. First, observe that $(\pi, \mathbf{0})^{-1} = (\pi^{-1}, \mathbf{0})$. Now for any i_j , we consider the image of $\pi(i_j)^0$ under $(\pi, \mathbf{0})(\omega, \tau)(\pi, \mathbf{0})^{-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi, \mathbf{0})(\omega, \tau)(\pi, \mathbf{0})^{-1}(\pi(i_j)^0) &= (\pi, \mathbf{0})(\omega, \tau)(i_j^0) \\ &= (\pi, \mathbf{0})(i_{j+1}^{c_{j+1}}) \\ &= \pi(i_{j+1})^{c_{j+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the case of $j = \ell$, we replace $j+1$ with 1. Hence, $\pi(i_{j+1})^{c_{j+1}}$ follows $\pi(i_j)^{c_j}$ in the cycle notation as claimed. \square

Lemma 25 implies that the orbit of any $(\omega, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ under the action in (12) consists of colored permutations that can be obtained by fixing a cycle notation of (ω, τ) and permuting elements within each block $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_t$ without changing the location of colors. On conjugacy classes C_λ without cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, 2k$, we will show that these orbits are particularly well-behaved.

Lemma 26. *Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \in [n-1]$ with induced blocks $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_t$, and let $J = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{B}_1} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{B}_t}$ act on a conjugacy class C_λ of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ by (12). If C_λ contains no cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, 2k$, then each orbit under this action has size $|J| = \prod_{i=1}^t |\mathcal{B}_i|!$. Furthermore, there is a unique element in each orbit that has descents at a_1, \dots, a_k .*

To prove Lemma 26, we will define an algorithm that identifies necessary conditions for descents at a_1, \dots, a_k to appear and replaces elements in each block $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_t$ appropriately. This algorithm will generalize one used by Fulman in [18, Proof of Theorem 3]. Since our algorithm is very technical, we will start with an example.

Example 27. Consider indices $1, 2, 4, 5 \in [9]$ and the 9-cycle with color 2

$$(\omega, \tau) = (1^0 3^1 8^2 5^2 2^0 7^0 4^1 9^0 6^2) \in \mathfrak{S}_{9,3}.$$

The blocks induced by $1, 2, 4, 5$ are

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}, \mathcal{B}_2 = \{4, 5, 6\}, \mathcal{B}_3 = \{7\}, \mathcal{B}_4 = \{8\} \text{ and } \mathcal{B}_5 = \{9\}.$$

We wish to find an element in the orbit of (ω, τ) under the action in (12) that has descents at positions 1, 2, 4, and 5, so we start by replacing elements in the cycle notation with the smallest number in its corresponding block, resulting in

$$(1^0 1^1 8^2 4^2 1^0 7^0 4^1 9^0 4^2). \quad (13)$$

We must now find an appropriate way to replace the instances of 1 and 4 with elements in the same block. Ignoring colors for the moment, we observe that the elements 7, 8, and 9 appear exactly once, and they are respectively preceded by 1, 1, and 4. Both 1 and 4 appear multiple times in (13), so we can try to use the information involving 7, 8, or 9 to change this. For simplicity, we choose the largest element 9, which is preceded by a 4 in (13). The elements directly after appearances of 4's are 1^0 , 9^0 , and 1^0 . Regardless of how these two appearances of 1 are replaced with other elements in $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}$, the element 9^0 will still be the largest. Then for descents at positions 4 and 5 to occur, the element 4^0 must map to 9^0 . Using this information, we next consider

$$(1^0 1^1 8^2 5^2 1^0 7^0 4^1 9^0 5^2), \quad (14)$$

as we have determined the image of 4^0 , but we have not determined the images of 5^0 or 6^0 . Observe that since 9 appeared exactly once in (13), the element preceding it in (14) now appears exactly once.

Continuing in this manner, 8 is now the largest element that appears only once but whose preceding element 1 in (14) appears multiple times. The elements that follow these

appearances of 1 are 1^1 , 8^2 , and 7^0 . We wish for descents at positions 1 and 2, and the unique option for this is

$$(2^0 1^1 8^2 5^2 3^0 7^0 4^1 9^0 5^2). \quad (15)$$

Finally, we consider repeated instances of 5 to obtain

$$(2^0 1^1 8^2 5^2 3^0 7^0 4^1 9^0 6^2). \quad (16)$$

Observe that this is in the orbit of (ω, τ) under the action in (12), and it has descents at positions 1, 2, 4, and 5.

We now give an algorithm that formalizes the example above. We then use this to establish Lemma 26.

Algorithm 1: ColoredDescents

Input: $(\omega, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ without cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, 2k$; indices $a_1, \dots, a_k \in [n]$

Output: a colored permutation $(\omega', \tau') \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ in the orbit of (ω, τ) under (12)

```

1  $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_t :=$  blocks induced by  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ 
2  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m :=$  cycles of  $(\omega, \tau)$ 
3  $\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_m :=$  cycles obtained by starting with  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$  and replacing each
    $i \in [n]$  with the smallest number from the block that contains it
4 while  $\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_m$  contains repeated integers from  $[n]$  do
5    $S :=$  subset of  $[n]$  consisting of elements that appear exactly once in  $\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_m$ 
6    $j :=$  largest element in  $S$  whose preceding element  $i$  in  $\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_m$  appears
     multiple times
7    $\mathcal{B} :=$  block containing  $i$ 
8    $i_1, \dots, i_\ell :=$  elements in  $\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_m$  that are in the block  $\mathcal{B}$ 
9    $j_1^{c_1}, \dots, j_\ell^{c_\ell} :=$  elements respectively following  $i_1, \dots, i_\ell$  in  $\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_m$ 
10   $\leqslant :=$  partial order on  $j_1^{c_1}, \dots, j_\ell^{c_\ell}$  given by (5) with repeated elements treated
     as distinct, incomparable elements
11   $\preceq :=$  partial order on  $i_1, \dots, i_\ell$  formed by starting with  $\leqslant$ , replacing each  $j_h^{c_h}$ 
     with  $i_h$ , and reversing the relation in  $\leqslant$ 
12   $\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_m := \sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_m$  after replacing instances of  $i_1, \dots, i_\ell$  with minimal
     elements in  $\mathcal{B}$  in a manner that respects  $\preceq$ 
13 return  $\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_m$ 
```

Proof of Lemma 26. It was shown in [18, Proof of Theorem 3] that the conjugation action of J on any conjugacy class C_λ of \mathfrak{S}_n without cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, 2k$ results in orbits of size $|J| = \prod_{i=1}^t |\mathcal{B}_i|!$. Define $f : \mathfrak{S}_{n,r} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n$ to be the projection $f(\omega, \tau) = \omega$. Combining all of this with Lemma 25, we conclude that for any $(\omega, \tau) \in C_\lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|J \cdot (\omega, \tau)| &= |\{(\pi, \mathbf{0})(\omega, \tau)(\pi, \mathbf{0})^{-1} : \pi \in J\}| \\
&\geq |\{f((\pi, \mathbf{0})(\omega, \tau)(\pi, \mathbf{0})^{-1}) : \pi \in J\}| \\
&= |\{\pi \omega \pi^{-1} : \pi \in J\}| \\
&= |J|.
\end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Since $|J \cdot (\omega, \tau)| \leq |J|$ always holds, we conclude $|J \cdot (\omega, \tau)| = |J|$. It now suffices to show that there is a unique element in each orbit with descents at a_1, \dots, a_k , which we do using **ColoredDescents**. We start by showing that this algorithm is well-defined.

First, observe that the k elements in a_1, \dots, a_k can induce at most k blocks of size larger than 1, which accounts for at most $2k$ of the elements in $[n]$. Hence, some blocks in $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_t$ must initially consist of only one element. If $(\omega, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ has no cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, 2k$, then each cycle $\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_m$ in line 3 must contain some element from a block of size 1. Consequently, choosing j in the **while** loop is well-defined in the first iteration. After each iteration of the **while** loop, the number of elements that appear exactly once increases in at least one cycle in $\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_m$, as the element that precedes j appears multiple times at the start of the loop but appears exactly once at the end of the loop. Consequently, future iterations of the **while** loop are well-defined, and the algorithm will continue until it terminates at a colored permutation. Furthermore, **ColoredDescents** only replaces elements in the cycle notation with others in the same block while leaving colors unchanged, so by Lemma 25, the output of this algorithm is in the same J -orbit as the original colored permutation.

It remains to show that the output permutation from **ColoredDescents** is the unique one in the J -orbit of (ω, τ) with descents at a_1, \dots, a_k . Observe that at the start of the algorithm, the cycles $\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_m$ in **ColoredDescents** trivially satisfy the property that whenever $i_1 < i_2$ appear in $\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_m$ and belong to the same block, the elements $j_1^{c_1}$ and $j_2^{c_2}$ that follow them in $\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_m$ satisfy $j_1^{c_1} > j_2^{c_2}$ with respect to the ordering for descents given in (5). By the replacement procedure in the algorithm, this property is preserved after each iteration of the **while** loop, so the colored permutation resulting from **ColoredDescents** has the property that when $i_1 < i_2$ are in the same block, the elements following them in the cycle notation satisfy $j_1^{c_1} > j_2^{c_2}$. Consequently, the colored permutation resulting from the algorithm has descents at a_1, \dots, a_k . Additionally, it is clear that at each iteration of line 12, the algorithm identifies necessary conditions for descents to eventually occur at a_1, \dots, a_k , and the replacement used at this line is unique. Consequently, the output colored permutation must be the unique permutation in the orbit of (ω, τ) that has descents at a_1, \dots, a_k . \square

Lemma 28. *Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \in [n]$ with induced blocks $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_t$, where \mathcal{B}_t contains n . Let C_λ be any conjugacy class of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ that contains no cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, 2k$. If $a_1, \dots, a_k \in [n-1]$, then*

$$\mathbb{E}_{C_\lambda}[X_{a_1}X_{a_2} \cdots X_{a_k}] = \prod_{i=1}^t \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}_i|!}. \quad (18)$$

Otherwise,

$$\mathbb{E}_{C_\lambda}[X_{a_1}X_{a_2} \cdots X_{a_k}] = \left(\frac{r-1}{r}\right)^{|\mathcal{B}_t|} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^t \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}_i|!}. \quad (19)$$

Proof. First consider when $a_1, \dots, a_k \in [n-1]$. Define $J = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{B}_1} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{B}_t}$, and let $\omega \in J$ act on C_λ by conjugation as in (12). Lemma 26 shows that this action decomposes C_λ

into orbits of size $|J|$ where exactly one element in each orbit has descents at a_1, \dots, a_k . This implies (18).

For (19), we assume without loss of generality that $a_k = n$ and $a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} \in [n-1]$. Expressing $B_i = \{m+1, \dots, n\}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{C_\lambda}[X_{a_1}X_{a_2} \cdots X_{a_k}] \\ &= \Pr_{C_\lambda}[\tau(i) \neq 0 \forall i > m] \cdot \Pr_{C_\lambda}[X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_{k-1}} = 1 \mid \tau(i) \neq 0 \forall i > m]. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

By summing over all choices of nonzero colors and applying Lemma 13, the first term is $((r-1)/r)^{n-m}$. For the second term, Lemma 25 shows that the action of J preserves the property that $\tau(i) \neq 0$ for all $i > m$, as the colors on the elements following $m+1, \dots, n$ in the cycle notation are unaffected by the conjugation action of J . Hence, this action stabilizes the subset in C_λ where $\tau(i) \neq 0$ for all $i > m$. Lemma 26 then implies that the second term in (20) is $1/|J|$ as needed. \square

Combining our results, we can now establish Theorem 1 for $\text{des}_{n,r}$. In fact, this result holds for any statistic that is a linear combination of the statistics X_i , including $\text{maj}_{n,r}$.

Theorem 29. *Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i$ with $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$, and let C_λ be a conjugacy class of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$. If C_λ contains no cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, 2k$, then $\mathbb{E}_{C_\lambda}[X^k] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X^k]$. Furthermore, if $c_n = 0$, then this is also equal to $\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}[X^k]$.*

Proof. Using the decomposition $X = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i$ with $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and expanding, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_{C_\lambda}[X^k] = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_k \in [n]} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k c_{a_i} \right) \cdot \mathbb{E}_{C_\lambda}[X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_k}]. \quad (21)$$

Note that the summation ranges over all possible a_1, \dots, a_k , so it is possible that some of the X_i 's in the product $X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_k}$ are redundant. Regardless, using the fact that C_λ has no cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, 2k$ with Lemma 20, Corollary 24, and Lemma 28, each of the summands in (21) is equal to the corresponding summand in

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X^k] = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_k \in [n]} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k c_{a_i} \right) \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_k}], \quad (22)$$

so the k -th moments of X on C_λ and $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ coincide.

In the case where $c_n = 0$, we can restrict the summation in (22) to $a_1, \dots, a_k \in [n-1]$. Lemma 28 then implies that each term in the summation for $\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X^k]$ equals the corresponding one in

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}[X^k] = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_k \in [n-1]} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k c_{a_i} \right) \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}[X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_k}] \quad (23)$$

so the k -th moments of X on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ and \mathfrak{S}_n coincide. \square

Corollary 30. *Let C_λ be a conjugacy class of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$. If C_λ contains no cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, 2k$, then*

$$\mathbb{E}_{C_\lambda}[\text{des}_{n,r}^k] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[\text{des}_{n,r}^k] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}_{C_\lambda}[\text{maj}_{n,r}^k] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[\text{maj}_{n,r}^k] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}[\text{maj}_n^k].$$

We conclude this section with Corollary 2 for $\text{des}_{n,r}$. Our proof combines the preceding result with the Method of Moments and known asymptotic results.

Corollary 31. *For every $n \geq 1$, let C_{λ_n} be a conjugacy class of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$. Suppose that for all i , the number of cycles of length i in λ_n approaches 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then for sufficiently large n , $\text{des}_{n,r}$ has mean $\mu_{n,r} = \frac{rn+r-2}{2r}$ and variance $\sigma_{n,r}^2 = \frac{n+1}{12}$ on C_{λ_n} , and as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the random variable $\frac{\text{des}_{n,r} - \mu_{n,r}}{\sigma_{n,r}}$ converges in distribution to the standard normal distribution.*

Proof. The mean and variance follow from using Theorem 29 on the first two moments of $\text{des}_{n,r}$ with the assumption that there are no cycles of lengths 1, 2, 3, and 4 for sufficiently large n . For the asymptotic behavior, we fix k and express

$$\left(\frac{\text{des}_{n,r} - \mu_{n,r}}{\sigma_{n,r}} \right)^k = \frac{1}{\sigma_{n,r}^k} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} (-1)^{k-i} \mu_{n,r}^{k-i} \text{des}_{n,r}^i. \quad (24)$$

For all sufficiently large n , C_{λ_n} contains no cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, 2k$, so Corollary 30 implies that $\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[\text{des}_{n,r}] = \mathbb{E}_{C_{\lambda_n}}[\text{des}_{n,r}]$ when n is sufficiently large. Hence, the expectation of (24) on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ and C_{λ_n} coincide when n is sufficiently large. Consequently, this equality holds as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and the result now follows from the Method of Moments and Theorem 5. \square

Corollary 32. *For every $n \geq 1$, let C_{λ_n} be a conjugacy class of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$. Suppose that for all i , the number of cycles of length i in λ_n approaches 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then for sufficiently large n , $\text{maj}_{n,r}$ has mean $\mu_{n,r} = \frac{n(n-1)}{4}$ and variance $\sigma_{n,r}^2 = \frac{n(2n^2+3n-5)}{72}$ on C_{λ_n} , and as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the random variable $\frac{\text{maj}_{n,r} - \mu_{n,r}}{\sigma_{n,r}}$ converges in distribution to the standard normal distribution.*

Proof. Apply the same argument from Corollary 31, but comparing moments of $\frac{\text{maj}_{n,r} - \mu_{n,r}}{\sigma_{n,r}}$ on C_λ with the moments of $\frac{\text{maj}_n - \mu_{n,1}}{\sigma_{n,1}}$ on \mathfrak{S}_n . The asymptotic normality of Mahonian distributions is well-known [16]. \square

4 Flag major index

In this section, we establish Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 for the flag major index statistic $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}$. Our general approach follows the one that we used for $\text{des}_{n,r}$ and $\text{maj}_{n,r}$. However, we need several modifications to account for the $\text{col}_{n,r}$ statistic, and our techniques involve the degree of a colored permutation statistic, as described in Section 2.3.

Throughout this section, we define $Y_{i,c}$ to be the indicator function for the color of $i \in [n]$ being $c \in \mathbb{Z}_r$,

$$Y_{i,c}(\omega, \tau) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \tau(i) = c \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Using the same X_i indicator functions for descents, this allows us to express $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}$ as

$$\text{fmaj}_{n,r} = r \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} iX_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{c=0}^{r-1} cY_{i,c}.$$

In particular, $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}^k$ can be expressed as linear combinations of the random variables

$$X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} Y_{a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_k, c_k} \quad (25)$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_j \in [n-1]$, $a_{j+1}, \dots, a_k \in [n]$, and $c_{j+1}, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{Z}_r$. We will consider products of this form, and show that their expectations coincide on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ and all C_λ without cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, 2k$. We start with a definition and then give a result on the degree of (25).

Definition 33. Let $a_1, \dots, a_j \in [n-1]$, $a_{j+1}, \dots, a_k \in [n]$, and $c_{j+1}, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{Z}_r$. The *essential set* of the statistic $X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} Y_{a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_k, c_k}$ is

$$\text{Ess}(X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} Y_{a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_k, c_k}) = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^j \{a_i, a_i + 1\} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=j+1}^k \{a_i\} \right).$$

Each element in $\text{Ess}(X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} Y_{a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_k, c_k})$ will be called an *essential position*.

Lemma 34. Let $a_1, \dots, a_j \in [n-1]$, $a_{j+1}, \dots, a_k \in [n]$, and $c_{j+1}, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{Z}_r$. Then $Z = X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} Y_{a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_k, c_k}$ has degree at most $j + k$. Consequently, its mean coincides on all conjugacy classes C_λ of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ without cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, j + k$. The same holds for $ZY_{i,c}$ when $i \in \text{Ess}(Z)$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}_r$ is arbitrary.

Proof. By Theorem 16, it suffices to show that Z and $ZY_{i,c}$ have degree at most $j + k$. Observe that summands for $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}$ in Example 14 can be used to express each X_{a_i} using partial colored permutations of size 2 and each $Y_{a_i, c}$ using partial colored permutations of size 1. Using Lemma 15, Z has degree at most $2j + (k - j) = j + k$.

For $ZY_{i,c}$, first observe that the resulting expansion described above for Z consists of linear combinations of statistics of the form

$$\prod_{i=1}^j I_{\{(a_i^0, x_i^{c_i}), ((a_i+1)^0, y_i^{d_i})\}} \cdot \prod_{i=j+1}^n I_{\{(a_i^0, z_i^{c_i})\}}, \quad (26)$$

where $x_i^{c_i} > y_i^{d_i}$ and $z_i^{c_i}$ are elements in $[n]^r$. Additionally, we can express

$$Y_{i,c} = \sum_{x=1}^n I_{\{(i^0, x^c)\}}. \quad (27)$$

It now suffices to show that the product of (26) with any summand $I_{\{(i^0, x^c)\}}$ of (27) has degree at most $j + k$.

Since $i \in \text{Ess}(Z)$, there is some $I_{(K, \kappa)}$ in the product (26) where (K, κ) contains an ordered pair of the form (i^0, z^d) . If $x^c = z^d$, then multiplying (26) by $I_{(i^0, x^c)}$ has no effect, and hence, this additional indicator function can be omitted. Otherwise, $x^c \neq z^d$ implies that the product of (26) and $I_{\{(i^0, x^c)\}}$ is identically 0. Combined, we conclude that $ZY_{i,c}$ also has degree at most $j + k$. \square

In statistics of the form $X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} Y_{a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_k, c_k}$, some elements in a_{j+1}, \dots, a_k may be involved with descents at positions a_1, \dots, a_j , while others are not. Our next result allows us to reduce to when all elements in a_{j+1}, \dots, a_k are involved in descents at a_1, \dots, a_j .

Lemma 35. *Let $a_1, \dots, a_j \in [n - 1]$, $a_{j+1}, \dots, a_k \in [n]$, and $c_{j+1}, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{Z}_r$. If $a_k \notin \text{Ess}(X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} Y_{a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_{k-1}, c_{k-1}})$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} Y_{a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_{k-1}, c_{k-1}} Y_{a_k, c_k}] \\ = \frac{1}{r} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} Y_{a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_{k-1}, c_{k-1}}]. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The same holds on any C_λ without cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, j + k$.

Proof. For brevity, let $Z = X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} Y_{a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_{k-1}, c_{k-1}}$ and express

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[ZY_{a_k, c_k}] &= \Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[ZY_{a_k, c_k} = 1] \\ &= \Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[Z = 1] \cdot \Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[Y_{a_k, c_k} = 1 \mid Z = 1] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[Z] \cdot \Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[Y_{a_k, c_k} = 1 \mid Z = 1]. \end{aligned}$$

It now suffices to show the second term is $1/r$. Define an action of \mathbb{Z}_r on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ as follows: $c \in \mathbb{Z}_r$ acts on (ω, τ) by adding c to $\tau(a_k)$. Since a_k is not an essential position of Z , this group action is stable on the set of elements where $Z = 1$. Within each orbit of size r , exactly one satisfies $\tau(a_k) = c_k$. Hence,

$$\Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[Y_{a_k, c_k} = 1 \mid Z = 1] = 1/r$$

as desired.

For conjugacy classes without cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, j + k$, we let Ω_c be the conjugacy class of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ consisting of permutations with a single cycle of length n and color c , and we consider $\Omega = \bigcup_{c \in \mathbb{Z}_r} \Omega_c$. The same action of \mathbb{Z}_r on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ given above is stable on Ω , implying

$$\mathbb{E}_\Omega[ZY_{a_k, c_k}] = \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{E}_\Omega[Z].$$

Lemma 34 with the Law of Total Expectation implies that

$$\mathbb{E}_\Omega[Z] = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}_r} \Pr_\Omega[\Omega_c] \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\Omega_c}[Z] = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}_r} \frac{1}{r} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\Omega_0}[Z] = \mathbb{E}_{\Omega_0}[Z],$$

and the same holds when Z is replaced with ZY_{i_k, c_k} . Applying Lemma 34 again allows us to conclude that on any C_λ without cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, j+k$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{C_\lambda}[ZY_{i_k, c_k}] = \mathbb{E}_{\Omega_0}[ZY_{a_k, c_k}] = \mathbb{E}_\Omega[ZY_{a_k, c_k}] = \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{E}_\Omega[Z] = \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{E}_{\Omega_0}[Z] = \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{E}_{C_\lambda}[Z]. \quad \square$$

We now consider when a_{j+1}, \dots, a_k is the essential set of $X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j}$. In this case, our preceding work with `ColoredDescents` shows that the mean coincides on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ and any conjugacy classes without cycles of length $2j$.

Lemma 36. *Let $a_1, \dots, a_j \in [n-1]$, $a_{j+1}, \dots, a_k \in [n]$, and $c_{j+1}, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{Z}_r$, and define $Z = X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} Y_{a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_k, c_k}$. If*

$$\text{Ess}(X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j}) = \text{Ess}(Y_{a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_k, c_k}),$$

then on any conjugacy class C_λ of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ without cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, 2j$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[Z] = \mathbb{E}_{C_\lambda}[Z].$$

Proof. We can assume without loss of generality that all of the elements a_1, \dots, a_j are distinct, and all of the elements a_{j+1}, \dots, a_k are distinct. Let $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_t$ be the blocks induced by a_1, \dots, a_j . We first consider when there exists some i and $i+1$ in the same block, and both $Y_{i,c}$ and $Y_{i+1,c'}$ appear in Z for some c and c' . If $c < c'$, then a descent at position i is impossible. This implies $Z = 0$ on both the entire group and on conjugacy classes, so the result is clear. If $c > c'$, then removing X_i from the product defining Z results in the same statistic. Iterating this argument, we can assume without loss of generality that for any i and $i+1$ in the same block where some $Y_{i,c}$ and $Y_{i+1,c'}$ appear in Z , we have $c = c'$. Combined with the fact that

$$\text{Ess}(X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j}) = \text{Ess}(Y_{a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_k, c_k}),$$

this implies that the property $Y_{a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_k, c_k}(\omega, \tau) = 1$ is equivalent to τ satisfying some fixed $\kappa : \{a_{j+1}, \dots, a_k\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_r$ that is either constant on or not defined on each block $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_t$.

We now show the claimed equality, first by considering $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$. Express $\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[Z]$ as

$$\Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} = 1 \mid Y_{a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_k, c_k} = 1] \cdot \Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[Y_{a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_k, c_k} = 1].$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} = 1 \mid \tau \text{ satisfies } \kappa] \cdot \Pr_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[\tau \text{ satisfies } \kappa]. \quad (29)$$

There are $k-j$ elements in the domain of κ , so the second term in (29) is $1/r^{k-j}$. For the first term, we use a similar approach as the one for descents. Let $J = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{B}_1} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{B}_t}$ act by permuting the one-line notation within each block so that $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{B}_j}$ permutes the images of i^0 for $i \in \mathcal{B}_j$. Since κ is constant or undefined on each block, this action stabilizes the subset of colored permutations satisfying κ . Each orbit has size $|J|$ and contains

exactly one element where the one-line notation within each block is in descending order. Hence, exactly one element in each orbit has the appropriate descents at a_1, \dots, a_j , and we conclude

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[Z] = \frac{1}{r^{k-j}} \prod_{i=1}^t \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}_i|}. \quad (30)$$

For a conjugacy class C_λ without cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, 2j$, we similarly express

$$\mathbb{E}_{C_\lambda}[Z] = \Pr_{C_\lambda}[X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} = 1 \mid \tau \text{ satisfies } \kappa] \cdot \Pr_{C_\lambda}[\tau \text{ satisfies } \kappa]. \quad (31)$$

Since we assumed that

$$\text{Ess}(X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j}) = \text{Ess}(Y_{a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_k, c_k})$$

and this common essential set has at most $2j$ elements, we conclude that the domain of κ has size $k - j \leq 2j$. Since C_λ has no cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, 2j$, the second term in (31) above is $1/r^{k-j}$ by Lemma 13. For the first term, let $\pi \in J$ act on (ω, τ) as conjugation by $(\pi, \mathbf{0})$. If κ is constant on a block B_j , then any $(\omega, \tau) \in C_\lambda$ where τ satisfies κ has the property that the elements following $i \in \mathcal{B}_j$ in the cycle notation of (ω, τ) have the same color. Then Lemma 25 implies that this property is preserved under the action of J , and hence J stabilizes the elements in C_λ satisfying κ . This allows us to apply Lemma 26 to conclude that exactly one element in each orbit of size $|J|$ has descents at a_1, \dots, a_j . Thus, (31) becomes

$$\mathbb{E}_{C_\lambda}[Z] = \frac{1}{r^{k-j}} \prod_{i=1}^t \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}_i|},$$

and the right side coincides with the right side of (30). \square

Finally, we show that the mean of $X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} Y_{a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_k, c_k}$ coincides on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ and appropriate C_λ . We then conclude with Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 for $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}$.

Lemma 37. *Let $a_1, \dots, a_j \in [n-1]$, $a_{j+1}, \dots, a_k \in [n]$, and $c_{j+1}, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{Z}_r$, and define $Z = X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} Y_{a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_k, c_k}$. Then on any conjugacy class C_λ of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ without cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, j+k$,*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[Z] = \mathbb{E}_{C_\lambda}[Z].$$

Proof. We can assume without loss of generality that all of the elements a_1, \dots, a_j are distinct, and all of the elements a_{j+1}, \dots, a_k are distinct. Starting with Y , observe that if some $a_i \in \{a_{j+1}, \dots, a_k\}$ is not in the essential set of $X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j}$, then Lemma 35 implies that it suffices to remove Y_{a_i, c_i} and prove the result for the resulting statistic. Applying this repeatedly, we see that we can assume $\text{Ess}(Y_{a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_k, c_k}) \subseteq \text{Ess}(X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j})$.

Now suppose that this is a proper subset, so there exists some

$$i \in \text{Ess}(X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j}) \setminus \{a_{j+1}, \dots, a_k\}.$$

In this case, we can express

$$Z = \sum_{c=0}^{r-1} Z \cdot Y_{i,c},$$

where each statistic in the sum has degree at most $j + k$ by Lemma 34. Hence, it suffices to show the statements for each $Z \cdot Y_{i,c}$. Iterating this process, we see that it suffices to consider when $\text{Ess}(Y_{a_{j+1},c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_k,c_k}) = \text{Ess}(X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j})$, and this case follows from Lemma 36. \square

Theorem 38. *Let C_λ be a conjugacy class of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ without cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, 2k$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[\text{fmaj}_{n,r}^k] = \mathbb{E}_{C_\lambda}[\text{fmaj}_{n,r}^k].$$

Proof. As noted in (25), $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}^k$ can be expressed as linear combinations of the form

$$X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} Y_{a_{j+1},c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_k,c_k}$$

where $j \leq k$, $a_1, \dots, a_j \in [n-1]$, $a_{j+1}, \dots, a_k \in [n]$, and $c_{j+1}, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{Z}_r$. Lemma 37 implies that on any $C_\lambda \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ without cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, j+k$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} Y_{a_{j+1},c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_k,c_k}] = \mathbb{E}_{C_\lambda}[X_{a_1} \cdots X_{a_j} Y_{a_{j+1},c_{j+1}} \cdots Y_{a_k,c_k}].$$

Since $j \leq k$, this holds on all C_λ without cycles of lengths $1, 2, \dots, 2k$. By linearity of expectation, we conclude $\mathbb{E}_{C_\lambda}[\text{fmaj}_{n,r}^k] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}}[\text{fmaj}_{n,r}^k]$. \square

Corollary 39. *For every $n \geq 1$, let C_{λ_n} be a conjugacy class of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$. Suppose that for all i , the number of cycles of length i in λ_n approaches 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then for sufficiently large n , $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}$ has mean $\mu_{n,r} = \frac{n(rn+r-2)}{4}$ and variance $\sigma_{n,r}^2 = \frac{2r^2n^3+3r^2n^2+(r^2-6)n}{72}$ on C_{λ_n} . Furthermore, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the statistic $\frac{\text{fmaj}_{n,r} - \mu_{n,r}}{\sigma_{n,r}}$ converges in distribution to the standard normal distribution.*

Remark 40. The original definitions of major index and flag major index given in [2] are based on the total order

$$1^{r-1} < 2^{r-1} < 3^{r-1} < \cdots < 1^1 < 2^1 < 3^1 < \cdots < 1^0 < 2^0 < 3^0 \cdots. \quad (32)$$

However, similar to many statistics on \mathfrak{S}_n , modifying the total ordering used in $\text{maj}_{n,r}$ and $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}$ does not affect the resulting distributions on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$.

One method for showing this is to fix colors $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{Z}_r$ and partition $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ into subsets of the form

$$\Omega_{(c_1, \dots, c_n)} = \{(\omega, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,r} : \{\omega(i)^{\tau(i)}\}_{i=1}^n = \{i^{c_i}\}_{i=1}^n\}.$$

Any total order on $[n]^r$ will restrict to a total order on $\{i^{c_i}\}_{i=1}^n$. By replacing elements in the one-line notation of $(\omega, \tau) \in \Omega_{(c_1, \dots, c_n)}$ with their images under the unique order-preserving map from $\{i^{c_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ to $[n]$, one can show that

$$\sum_{(\omega, \tau) \in \Omega_{(c_1, \dots, c_n)}} q^{\text{maj}_{n,r}(\omega, \tau)} = \sum_{\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\text{maj}_n(\omega)} = [1]_q [2]_q \cdots [n]_q, \quad (33)$$

where $[i]_q = 1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{i-1}$ is the q -integer of i . For example, for the colored permutation $[3^1, 8^0, 5^0, 6^1, 2^2, 1^2, 4^0, 7^1] \in \mathfrak{S}_{8,3}$ from Example 4, this replacement results in the permutation $[4, 3, 2, 5, 8, 7, 1, 6] \in \mathfrak{S}_8$. This new permutation has the same descent set as the original colored permutation, and hence, has the same major index statistic.

The corresponding result for $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}$ with respect to any total order on $[n]^r$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\omega, \tau) \in \Omega_{(c_1, \dots, c_n)}} q^{\text{fmaj}_{n,r}(\omega, \tau)} &= q^{c_1 + c_2 + \cdots + c_n} \sum_{(\omega, \tau) \in \Omega_{(c_1, \dots, c_n)}} q^{r \cdot \text{maj}_{n,r}(\omega, \tau)} \\ &= q^{c_1 + c_2 + \cdots + c_n} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + q^r + q^{2r} + \cdots + q^{(i-1)r}). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Since (33) and (34) hold regardless of the total order on $[n]^r$, it follows that the distributions of $\text{maj}_{n,r}$ and $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}$ coincide on any $\Omega_{(c_1, \dots, c_n)}$ regardless of the total order. These distributions must therefore coincide on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$, so Theorem 6 holds regardless of the total order chosen for defining $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}$.

Theorem 38 and Corollary 39 can also be established for the flag major index statistic when defined using the total order in (32). One can replace the usage of the the total order (5) with (32) in `ColoredDescents` and prove analogs of the necessary results used throughout Sections 3 and 4. More generally, our arguments can be adapted to establish Theorem 38 and Corollary 39 when the flag major index is defined with any total order that can be obtained from permuting the colors in (5), changing the total order on $[n]$ used within all colors, or a combination of these two.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we analyzed the moments and asymptotic distributions of $\text{des}_{n,r}$ and $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}$ on conjugacy classes C_λ of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$ with sufficiently long cycles. Our methods showed that the moments and asymptotic distributions of these statistics on C_λ coincide with those on $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$. However, another natural question is to determine the actual distributions for these statistics on C_λ .

Problem 41. Study the distributions of $\text{des}_{n,r}$ and $\text{fmaj}_{n,r}$ on conjugacy classes of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$.

The distribution for des_n on conjugacy classes of \mathfrak{S}_n was established by Diaconis, McGrath, and Pitman [15]. Additionally, the distribution of des_{B_n} on conjugacy classes of B_n was established by Campion Loth, Levet, Liu, Sundaram, and Yin [11], and this built on prior work of Reiner involving a different notion of descents on B_n [36]. As noted in the introduction, des_{B_n} does not coincide with $\text{des}_{n,2}$, but the general approach may still be insightful for Problem 41.

Using the distribution of des_n on conjugacy classes of \mathfrak{S}_n , Kim and Lee [29] established asymptotic normality of the descent statistic on arbitrary conjugacy classes of \mathfrak{S}_n . Hence, one can consider the corresponding problem for $\text{des}_{n,r}$ on arbitrary conjugacy classes of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$, and results from Problem 41 may be useful for this.

Problem 42. Determine the asymptotic distribution for $\text{des}_{n,r}$ on arbitrary conjugacy classes of $\mathfrak{S}_{n,r}$.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Sara Billey, Michael Levet, Ricky Liu, Shayan Oveis Gharan, Farbod Shokrieh, and Sheila Sundaram for helpful feedback and discussions. Mei Yin's research was partially supported by Simons Foundation Grant MPS-TSM-00007227. An extended abstract of this paper appears in *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire* as part of the Proceedings for the 37th International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (FPSAC) [31].

References

- [1] R. Adin, I. Gessel, and Y. Roichman. Signed Mahonians. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 109:25–43, 2005.
- [2] R. Adin and Y. Roichman. The flag major index and group actions on polynomial rings. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 22:431–446, 2001.
- [3] R. M. Adin, F. Brenti, and Y. Roichman. Descent numbers and major indices for the hyperoctahedral group. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 27(2):210–224, 2001.
- [4] E. Bagno. Euler-Mahonian parameters on colored permutation groups. *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire*, Article B51f, 2004.
- [5] A. Baxter and D. Zeilberger. The number of inversions and the major index of permutations are asymptotically joint-independently normal, 2011. [arXiv:1004.1160](https://arxiv.org/abs/1004.1160).
- [6] D. Bayer and P. Diaconis. Trailing the dovetail shuffle to its lair. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 2, 1992.
- [7] Patrick Billingsley. *Probability and Measure*. Wiley, third edition, 2008.
- [8] A. Björner and F. Brenti. *Combinatorics of Coxeter groups*. Springer, New York, 2005.
- [9] F. Brenti. Permutation enumeration, symmetric functions, and unimodality. *Pacific J. Math.*, 157(1):1–28, 1993.
- [10] F. Brenti. q-Eulerian polynomials arising from Coxeter groups. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 15(5):417–441, 1994.
- [11] J. Campion Loth, M. Levet, K. Liu, S. Sundaram, and M. Yin. Moments of colored permutation statistics on conjugacy classes. *Annals of Combinatorics*, pages 1–45, 2025.
- [12] C.-O. Chow and T. Mansour. Asymptotic probability distributions of some permutation statistics for the wreath product $C_r \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$. *Online Analytic Journal of Combinatorics*, 7:Article #2, 2012.

- [13] M. Crossan Cooper, W. S. Jones, and Y. Zhuang. On the joint distribution of cyclic valleys and excedances over conjugacy classes of S_n . *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 115:101999, 15, 2020.
- [14] P. Diaconis and J. Fulman. Carries, shuffling, and an amazing matrix. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 116(9):788–803, 2009.
- [15] P. Diaconis, M. McGrath, and J. Pitman. Riffle shuffles, cycles, and descents. *Combinatorica*, 15(1):11–29, 1995.
- [16] W. Feller. *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, volume 1. Wiley, 1968.
- [17] M. Fire. Statistics on wreath products, 2005. [arXiv:math/0409421](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0409421).
- [18] J. Fulman. The distribution of descents in fixed conjugacy classes of the symmetric groups. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 84(2):171–180, 1998.
- [19] J. Fulman. Descent identities, Hessenberg varieties, and the Weil conjectures. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 87(2):390–397, 1999.
- [20] J. Fulman, G. B. Kim, S. Lee, and T. K. Petersen. On the joint distribution of descents and signs of permutations. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 28(3):#P3.37, 2021.
- [21] A. M. Garsia. Combinatorial methods in the theory of Cohen-Macaulay rings. *Advances in Mathematics*, 38(3):229–266, 1980.
- [22] A. M. Garsia and I. Gessel. Permutation statistics and partitions. *Advances in Mathematics*, 31(3):288–305, 1979.
- [23] M. Geck and G. Malle. Reflection groups. In M. Hazewinkel, editor, *Handbook of Algebra*, volume 4, pages 337–383. North-Holland, 2006.
- [24] I. M. Gessel and C. Reutenauer. Counting permutations with given cycle structure and descent set. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 64(2):189–215, 1993.
- [25] J. Haglund, N. Loehr, and J. B. Remmel. Statistics on wreath products, perfect matchings, and signed words. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 26(6):835–868, 2005.
- [26] Z. Hamaker and B. Rhoades. Characters of local and regular permutation statistics, 2022. [arXiv:2206.06567](https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.06567).
- [27] J. M. Holte. Carries, combinatorics, and an amazing matrix. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 104(2):138–149, 1997.
- [28] G. James and A. Kerber. *The representation theory of the symmetric group*, volume 16. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., 1981.
- [29] G. B. Kim and S. Lee. Central limit theorem for descents in conjugacy classes of S_n . *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 169:105123, 2020.
- [30] D. E. Knuth. *The Art of Computer Programming, Volume 3: (2nd Ed.) Sorting and Searching*. Addison Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc., USA, 1998.

- [31] K. Liu and M. Yin. Descents and flag major index on conjugacy classes of colored permutation groups without short cycles. *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire*, 93B:Article 47, 2025.
- [32] P. A. MacMahon. The indices of permutations and the derivation therefrom of functions of a single variable associated with the permutations of any assemblage of objects. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 35(3):281–322, 1913.
- [33] A. Mendes and J. Remmel. Descents, inversions, and major indices in permutation groups. *Discrete Mathematics*, 308(12):2509–2524, 2008.
- [34] T. Kyle Petersen. *Eulerian numbers*. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2015.
- [35] V. Reiner. Signed permutation statistics. *European J. Combin.*, 14(6):553–567, 1993.
- [36] V. Reiner. Signed permutation statistics and cycle type. *European J. Combin.*, 14(6):569–579, 1993.
- [37] A. C. Rosander. The use of inversions as a test of random order. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 37(219):352–358, 1942.
- [38] G. C. Shephard and J. A. Todd. Finite unitary reflection groups. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 6:274–304, 1954.
- [39] R. P. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics. Vol. 2*, volume 62. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [40] E. Steingrímsson. Permutation statistics of indexed permutations. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 15(2):187–205, 1994.
- [41] E. Strahov. A differential model for the deformation of the plancherel growth process. *Advances in Mathematics*, 217(6):2625–2663, 2008.