

# Clique number of xor-powers of Kneser graphs

Zoltán Füredi<sup>a</sup>      András Imolay<sup>b</sup>      Ádám Schweitzer<sup>c</sup>

Submitted: Dec 4, 2025; Accepted: Apr 27, 2026; Published: Jun 5, 2026

© The authors. Released under the CC BY-ND license (International 4.0).

## Abstract

Let  $f_\ell(n, k)$  denote the clique number of the xor-product of  $\ell$  isomorphic Kneser graphs  $KG(n, k)$ . Alon and Lubetzky investigated the case of complete graphs as a coding theory problem and showed  $f_\ell(n, 1) \leq \ell n + 1$ . Imolay, Kocsis, and Schweitzer proved that  $f_2(n, k) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor + c(k)$ .

Here, the order of magnitude of  $c(k)$  is determined to be  $\Theta\left(k \binom{2k}{k}\right)$ . By explicit constructions and by an algebraic proof, it is shown that  $\ell n - 2\ell - 1 \leq f_\ell(n, 1) \leq \ell n - \ell + 1$  (for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $\ell \geq 3$ ). Finally, it is proved that the order of magnitude of  $f$  lies between  $\Omega\left(n^{\lfloor \log_2(\ell+1) \rfloor}\right)$  and  $O\left(n^{\lfloor \frac{\ell+1}{2} \rfloor}\right)$  (as  $\ell, k$  are given and  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

We conjecture that the lower bound gives the correct exponent.

**Mathematics Subject Classifications:** 05D05, 05C69, 05C76

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Kneser graphs

A Kneser graph  $G := KG(A, k)$  has a *base set*  $A$ , the vertex set of  $G$  consists of all subsets of  $k$  elements of  $A$ . We denote this as  $V(G) := \binom{A}{k}$ , and a pair  $\{X, Y\}$  forms an edge of  $G$  when  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ . We also use  $KG(n, k)$  for a Kneser graph with an  $n$ -element base set. A complete subgraph in the Kneser graph corresponds to a family of mutually disjoint  $k$ -element sets in the base set  $A$ . So, the size of the largest clique  $\omega(KG(n, k)) = \lfloor n/k \rfloor$ .

The parameters of Kneser graphs are widely studied in combinatorics. Lovász [13] determined the chromatic number of Kneser graphs and Erdős, Ko and Rado [7] determined their independence number. Brešar and Valencia-Pabon [5] examined the independence

---

<sup>a</sup>Alfréd Rényi Institute for Mathematics, Reáltanoda u. 13–15, H-1364 Budapest, Hungary  
(furedi.zoltan@renyi.hu).

<sup>b</sup>Eötvös Loránd University, H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C  
(andras.imolay@ttk.elte.hu).

<sup>c</sup>KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Kungliga Tekniska högskolan, 100 44 Stockholm  
(adasch@kth.se).

number of Kneser graphs of different graph products. In this article, we study the clique number of the xor-products.

## 1.2 The xor-product

Given two graphs  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  and  $H = (V(H), E(H))$ , their *xor-product*  $G \cdot H$  is a graph with the vertex set  $V(G) \times V(H)$  and two vertices  $(g, h)$  and  $(g', h')$  are connected in  $G \cdot H$  if and only if either  $gg' \in E(G)$  and  $hh' \notin E(H)$  or  $gg' \notin E(G)$  and  $hh' \in E(H)$ . The xor-product is not as well understood as other graph products, but there are a number of highly non-trivial results about it, e.g., by Alon and Lubetzky [2] and Thomason [15]. They were also motivated to compare it to the Shannon capacity of graphs, see Alon and Lubetzky [3], Lovász [14]. Let  $f_\ell(n, k)$  denote the clique number of the xor-product of  $\ell$  isomorphic Kneser graphs  $KG(n, k)$ .

Taking a clique  $C \subset V(G)$  and a vertex  $b \in V(H)$  the set  $C \times \{b\}$  forms a clique in  $G \cdot H$  so we obtain  $\omega(G \cdot H) \geq \max\{\omega(G), \omega(H)\}$ . Hence

$$\omega(KG(n, k) \cdot KG(n, k)) \geq \lfloor n/k \rfloor.$$

Imolay, Kocsis, and Schweitzer [11] showed that the function  $f_2(n, k) - \lfloor n/k \rfloor$  is bounded for any given  $k$ . Define

$$c(k) := \sup_{n \geq k} \left\{ f_2(n, k) - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \right\rfloor \right\}.$$

Our first result determines the order of magnitude of  $c(k)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem 1.** *For all  $k \geq 1$  and  $n \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \binom{2k}{k} - 2 \right) k^2$ ,*

$$f_2(n, k) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \right\rfloor + \binom{2k}{k} \frac{k}{2} - k. \tag{1.1}$$

*On the other hand, as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  we have*

$$c(k) \leq (1 + o(1))k \binom{2k}{k}. \tag{1.2}$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is presented in Section 2. It might be easier to determine  $f_2(n, k)$  for large  $n$ . In [11] it was proved that  $f_2(n, 2) = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 4$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Let us define

$$c_\infty(k) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ f_2(n, k) - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \right\rfloor \right\}.$$

We have  $c_\infty(1) = c(1) = 0$ ,  $c_\infty(2) = 4$ , and in general the true order of magnitudes

$$\binom{2k}{k} \frac{k}{2} - k \leq c_\infty(k) \leq c(k) \leq (1 + o(1))k \binom{2k}{k}.$$

We conjecture that here the equality holds for  $c_\infty(k)$  for all  $k \geq 1$  and the best construction is the one from Section 2.1.

**Conjecture 2.** For all  $k$ , if  $n$  is large enough then

$$f_2(n, k) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \right\rfloor + \binom{2k}{k} \frac{k}{2} - k.$$

Maybe more is true and  $c_\infty(k) = c(k)$  for all  $k$ .

### 1.3 Multiproducts of the complete graphs

The rest of our article tackles the question of higher powers of Kneser graphs. We investigate  $f_\ell(n, k)$  the clique number of the  $\ell$ -th xor-power (the xor-product of  $\ell$  isomorphic copies) of the Kneser graph  $KG(n, k)$ .

Even the case  $k = 1$  is not trivial when  $\ell \geq 3$ . Note that  $KG(n, 1)$  is the complete graph on  $n$  vertices. The function  $f_\ell(n, 1)$  was considered by Alon and Lubetzky in [2]. They proved an upper bound  $f_\ell(n, 1) \leq \ell n + 1$ . Here we give tighter bounds.

**Theorem 3.** For all  $\ell \geq 3$  and  $n \geq 1$

$$\ell n - 2\ell - 1 \leq f_\ell(n, 1) \leq \ell n - \ell + 1.$$

### 1.4 Higher powers of Kneser graphs

We give bounds for the magnitude of  $f_\ell(n, k)$  for large  $n$ . In particular, we show that it is not necessarily linear in  $n$ .

**Theorem 4.** We have

$$f_\ell(n, k) \leq 2^{\lfloor \frac{\ell}{2} \rfloor} \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{\ell}{2} \right\rfloor! \cdot n^{\lfloor \frac{\ell+1}{2} \rfloor}. \quad (1.3)$$

On the other hand, if  $k \geq \lfloor \log_2(\ell + 1) \rfloor$ , then

$$f_\ell(n, k) \geq \left( \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \right\rfloor \right)^{\lfloor \log_2(\ell+1) \rfloor}. \quad (1.4)$$

This settles the exact magnitude for the cases  $\ell \leq 4$ . We conjecture that the lower bound has the correct order of magnitude.

**Conjecture 5.** For any fixed  $\ell$  and  $k$  if  $k$  is large enough then

$$f_\ell(n, k) = \Theta(n^{\lfloor \log_2(\ell+1) \rfloor}).$$

### 1.5 Semi-intersecting families

The vertex set of a Kneser graph  $KG(A, k)$  is a  $k$ -uniform hypergraph. Given  $\ell$  Kneser graphs  $KG(A_i, k)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ ) with pairwise disjoint  $n$ -element base sets  $A_1, \dots, A_\ell$  the vertices of their xor-product are naturally correspond to those  $k\ell$ -element subsets  $S$  of  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_\ell$  where  $|S \cap A_i| = k$  for each  $i$ . The set pair  $\{S, S'\}$  corresponds to an edge in the xor-product  $KG(A_1, k) \cdot \dots \cdot KG(A_\ell, k)$  if  $S \cap S' \cap A_i = \emptyset$  in an odd number of cases for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ .

**Definition 6.** A family of sets  $\mathcal{S}$  on the pairwise disjoint base sets  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_\ell$  is called an  $\ell$ -semi-intersecting family with parameters  $n$  and  $k$  if

- $|A_1| = |A_2| = \dots = |A_\ell| = n$ ,
- $|S \cap A_1| = |S \cap A_2| = \dots = |S \cap A_\ell| = k$  for each  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ , and
- for distinct  $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$ , we have  $S \cap T \cap A_i = \emptyset$  for an odd number of  $i$ 's,  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ .

There is a one-to-one correspondence between  $\ell$ -semi-intersecting families and cliques in  $KG(n, k)^\ell$ . Hence  $f_\ell(n, k) = \omega(KG(n, k)^\ell)$  is the maximum size of an  $\ell$ -semi-intersecting family with parameters  $n$  and  $k$ .

We prefer to work with this equivalent hypergraph reformulation. Similar questions in extremal combinatorics with two part set systems were studied extensively, see, e.g., [10] and [12].

## 2 Determining the order of magnitude of $c(k)$

In this section we prove the bounds stated in Theorem 1. In this case  $\ell = 2$ , we use simply semi-intersecting instead of 2-semi-intersecting, and we denote the base sets  $A_1, A_2$  by  $A$  and  $B$ .

### 2.1 Lower bound construction

Here we give a construction yielding (1.1).

*Proof.* For easier notation introduce  $m := \frac{1}{2} \binom{2k}{k}$ . Choose a subset of  $K \subset A$  with  $|K| = 2k$ . Label the  $k$ -element subsets of  $K$  by

$$H_1, H_2, \dots, H_m, G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m$$

such that  $H_i$  and  $G_i$  are disjoint ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ). Let  $L_2, L_3, \dots, L_m$  be pairwise disjoint  $k^2$ -element subsets of  $B$ . This is possible as  $n \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \binom{2k}{k} - 2 \right) k^2$ . Let us arrange the elements of  $L_i$  to a  $k \times k$  rectangular point lattice. There are  $n - (m - 1)k^2$  elements of  $B \setminus \bigcup L_i$ , so we can select additional pairwise disjoint  $k$ -element subsets  $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_d$  from them, where  $d = \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor - (m - 1)k$ .

Define a semi-intersecting family  $\mathcal{S}$  as follows. We let  $S \subset A \cup B$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  if one of the following holds.

- $S \cap A = H_i$  and  $S \cap B$  corresponds to a row of the lattice in  $L_i$ ,
- $S \cap A = G_i$  and  $S \cap B$  corresponds to a column of the lattice in  $L_i$ ,
- $S \cap A = H_1$  and  $S \cap B = F_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq d$ .

This  $\mathcal{S}$  is a semi-intersecting family with parameters  $n$  and  $k$ , because if  $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $S \neq T$ , and they are disjoint in  $A$  then  $\{S \cap A, T \cap A\} = \{H_i, G_i\}$  for some  $2 \leq i \leq m$ . On the other hand, this is the only case when  $S$  and  $T$  intersect in  $B$ , as they intersect only if  $S \cap B$  is a row (or column) of some  $L_i$  and  $T \cap B$  is a column (or row) of the same  $L_i$ .

It remains to count the members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . There are  $(m-1)k$  sets from both the first and second bullet point, and  $d$  from the third one. Hence

$$|\mathcal{S}| = 2(m-1)k + d = \left( \binom{2k}{k} - 2 \right) k + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \right\rfloor - \frac{\binom{2k}{k} - 2}{2} k = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \right\rfloor + \binom{2k}{k} \frac{k}{2} - k.$$

□

## 2.2 Cross intersecting matchings

A set of hypergraphs  $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_t$  is called a  $k$ -uniform *cross intersecting* matching of size  $t$  and of type  $(d_1, \dots, d_t)$  if  $t, k \geq 2$ ,  $d_i \geq 2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , each  $\mathcal{A}_i$  consists of  $d_i$  pairwise disjoint  $k$ -element sets and  $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  for  $X \in \mathcal{A}_i, Y \in \mathcal{A}_j$  whenever  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq t$ . Obviously,  $d_i \leq k$  for every  $i$ . The classical set-pair theorem of Bollobás [4] implies that  $t \leq \frac{1}{2} \binom{2k}{k}$  and here equality holds only if  $d_1 = \dots = d_t = 2$  and each  $\mathcal{A}_i$  consists of a complementary pair of  $k$ -sets of a base set  $L$ ,  $|L| = 2k$ . There are many generalizations of Bollobás's theorem, see, e.g., Alon [1] where the exterior algebra method was introduced. Even the case of 2-independent  $d$ -partitions is highly non-trivial, i.e., when  $\cup \mathcal{A}_i$  is the same  $dk$ -element set for all  $i$ . For this case Gargano, Körner, and Vaccaro [9] showed that for any fixed  $d$  one can have  $t = \Omega(4^{k(1-o(1))})$  using their Sperner capacity method in information theory. Here we show an upper bound.

**Lemma 7.** *There exists a sequence  $\gamma(2), \gamma(3), \dots$  with  $\gamma(k) \rightarrow 0$  exponentially as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , such that for every  $k$ -uniform cross intersecting matching of type  $(d_1, \dots, d_t)$ ,*

$$\sum_i d_i(d_i - 1) \leq (1 + \gamma(k)) \binom{2k}{k}. \quad (2.1)$$

We conjecture that the true value of  $\gamma$  is zero, for all  $k$ .

*Proof.* Define  $A := \cup_i (\cup \mathcal{A}_i)$ ,  $n := |A|$ . Given any ordering  $\pi$  of  $A$  and two non-empty subsets  $X, X' \subset A$ , we say that  $X <_\pi X'$  if  $\pi(x) < \pi(x')$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $x' \in X'$ . We call  $\pi$  of *type*  $i$  if there are two sets  $X, X' \in \mathcal{A}_i$  with  $X <_\pi X'$ . Every permutation  $\pi$  can have only at most one type. Indeed, if  $X_i, X'_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  with  $X_i <_\pi X'_i$  and  $X_j, X'_j \in \mathcal{A}_j$  with  $X_j <_\pi X'_j$  then the cross intersection property implies that there are elements  $u \in X_i \cap X'_j$  and  $v \in X'_i \cap X_j$ . From  $X_i <_\pi X'_i$  we get  $\pi(u) < \pi(v)$  and from  $X_j <_\pi X'_j$  we get  $\pi(v) < \pi(u)$ , a contradiction.

Consider a uniform probability distribution on the  $n!$  possible orderings of  $A$ . Let  $E_i$  denote the event that the random variable  $\pi$  is of type  $i$ . We have  $\sum_i \Pr(E_i) \leq 1$  because for  $i \neq j$  the events  $E_i$  and  $E_j$  cannot occur simultaneously. Fix  $i$ , our goal is to

approximate  $\Pr(E_i)$ . To simplify the presentation we leave out the index  $i$  from  $d_i$  in the following calculation. From now on, let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{d_i}$  denote the members of  $\mathcal{A}_i$ .

Let  $O_{\alpha,\beta}$  be the event that  $X_\alpha < X_\beta$ . There are  $d(d-1)$  such events, because  $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq d$  and  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Since  $E_i = \bigcup_{\alpha \neq \beta} O_{\alpha,\beta}$  the inclusion-exclusion principle yields

$$\Pr(E_i) \geq \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \Pr(O_{\alpha,\beta}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha_1 \neq \beta_1, \alpha_2 \neq \beta_2, (\alpha_1, \beta_1) \neq (\alpha_2, \beta_2)} \Pr(O_{\alpha_1, \beta_1} \cap O_{\alpha_2, \beta_2}).$$

In the first sum,  $\Pr(O_{\alpha,\beta}) = \frac{1}{\binom{2k}{k}}$ , because this is the probability that  $\pi$  arranges the elements of  $X_\alpha \cup X_\beta$  such that  $X_\alpha < X_\beta$ . Now we calculate  $\Pr(O_{\alpha_1, \beta_1} \cap O_{\alpha_2, \beta_2})$  for all possible  $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2$ . We categorize them into six groups.

—  $\alpha_1 = \beta_2$  and  $\alpha_2 = \beta_1$ . In this case

$$\Pr(O_{\alpha_1, \beta_1} \cap O_{\alpha_2, \beta_2}) = 0.$$

— The numbers  $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2$  and  $\beta_2$  are all distinct. In this case  $O_{\alpha_1, \beta_1}$  and  $O_{\alpha_2, \beta_2}$  are independent events, hence

$$\Pr(O_{\alpha_1, \beta_1} \cap O_{\alpha_2, \beta_2}) = \frac{1}{\binom{2k}{k} \binom{2k}{k}}.$$

Here there are  $d(d-1)(d-2)(d-3)$  possibilities for  $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2$ .

—  $|\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2\}| = 3$  and  $\alpha_2 = \beta_1$ . In this case  $X_{\alpha_1} < X_{\beta_1} = X_{\alpha_2} < X_{\beta_2}$ , therefore

$$\Pr(O_{\alpha_1, \beta_1} \cap O_{\alpha_2, \beta_2}) = \frac{1}{\binom{3k}{2k} \binom{2k}{k}}.$$

There are  $d(d-1)(d-2)$  such possibilities.

—  $|\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2\}| = 3$  and  $\alpha_1 = \beta_2$ . This can be calculated in the same way as the previous case.

—  $|\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2\}| = 3$  and  $\beta_1 = \beta_2$ . This means that  $X_{\alpha_1} < X_{\beta_1}$  and  $X_{\alpha_2} < X_{\beta_1}$  are both true. Hence

$$\Pr(O_{\alpha_1, \beta_1} \cap O_{\alpha_2, \beta_2}) = \frac{1}{\binom{3k}{k}}.$$

As in the previous cases, there are  $d(d-1)(d-2)$  possibilities for this.

—  $|\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2\}| = 3$  and  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ . This is the same calculation as the previous case.

Combining the calculations above and using  $2 \leq d \leq k$  we arrive at the following inequalities.

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(E_i) &\geq \frac{d(d-1)}{\binom{2k}{k}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d(d-1)(d-2)(d-3)}{\binom{2k}{k} \binom{2k}{k}} - \frac{d(d-1)(d-2)}{\binom{3k}{2k} \binom{2k}{k}} - \frac{d(d-1)(d-2)}{\binom{3k}{k}} \\ &= \frac{d(d-1)}{\binom{2k}{k}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(d-2)(d-3)}{\binom{2k}{k}} - \frac{(d-2)}{\binom{3k}{2k}} - \frac{(d-2) \binom{2k}{k}}{\binom{3k}{k}} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{d(d-1)}{\binom{2k}{k}} \left( 1 - \frac{(k-2)(k-3)}{2 \binom{2k}{k}} - \frac{(k-2)}{\binom{3k}{2k}} - \frac{(k-2) \binom{2k}{k}}{\binom{3k}{k}} \right) := \frac{d(d-1)}{\binom{2k}{k}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \gamma(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\gamma(2) = 0$ ,  $\gamma(3) = 1/3$ ,  $\gamma(4) < 0.44$ ,  $\gamma(5) < 0.36$  and then it exponentially converges to 0 as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Summing these lower bounds for all  $i$  we get (2.1). □

### 2.3 Proof of the upper bound for $c(k)$

We prove (1.2) in the following form. For all  $n, k \geq 2$ ,

$$f_2(n, k) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \right\rfloor + (1 + \gamma(k))k \binom{2k}{k},$$

where we define  $\gamma(2) = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $\gamma(k)$  comes from the proof of Lemma 7 for  $k \geq 3$ .

Consider a semi-intersecting family  $\mathcal{S}$  with parameters  $n$  and  $k$  and base sets  $A$  and  $B$ . Since  $(1 + \gamma(k))k \binom{2k}{k} \geq 2k^3$  we may suppose  $|\mathcal{S}| > 2k^3$ . For  $x \in A \cup B$ , we use  $\deg_{\mathcal{S}}(x)$  to denote the degree of  $x$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ , i.e., the number of sets in  $\mathcal{S}$  containing  $x$ .

**Lemma 8.** *If  $|\mathcal{S}| > 2k^3$  then either all degrees in  $A$  are at most  $k$ , or all degrees in  $B$  are at most  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that there is an  $a \in A$  with degree more than  $k$ . Let  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{k+1} \in \mathcal{S}$  be some (distinct) sets containing  $a$  and define  $X := \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} (S_i \cap A)$ . Note that  $|X| \leq k^2$  as we take the union of  $k+1$  sets with  $k$  elements, all containing  $a$ . The sets  $B \cap S_i$  are pairwise disjoint for  $1 \leq i \leq k+1$  so no  $T \in \mathcal{S}$  can intersect each of them in  $B$ . Hence  $T \cap X \neq \emptyset$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{S}$ .

We claim that the degree of each  $y \in B$  is at most  $|X|$ , i.e.,  $\deg_{\mathcal{S}}(y) \leq k^2$ . Indeed, a set  $T \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $y \in T$  contains a pair  $\{x, y\}$  with  $x \in X$  and every such pair can appear in at most one member of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Similarly,  $b \in B$  and  $\deg_{\mathcal{S}}(b) > k$  imply  $\deg_{\mathcal{S}}(x) \leq k^2$  for every  $x \in A$ .

Fix any member  $T \in \mathcal{S}$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is intersecting we obtain  $|\mathcal{S}| \leq \sum_{z \in T} \deg_{\mathcal{S}}(z) \leq 2k \cdot k^2$ , and we are done. □

From now on, we may suppose that  $\deg_{\mathcal{S}}(y) \leq k$  for all  $y \in B$ . Starting with  $\mathcal{S}_0 := \mathcal{S}$  we define a series of families  $\mathcal{S}_0 \supset \mathcal{S}_1 \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{S}_q$  as follows. If the families  $\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_{i-1}$  have already been created, and the members of  $\mathcal{S}_{i-1}$  were pairwise disjoint in  $B$  then we let  $q := i - 1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_q := \mathcal{S}_{i-1}$ . Note that,  $|\mathcal{S}_q| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \right\rfloor$ .

Otherwise, define  $\mathcal{S}_i$  as follows. Take a vertex  $p_i \in B$  with maximum degree in  $\mathcal{S}_{i-1}$ , let  $\mathcal{Z}_i \subset \mathcal{S}_{i-1}$  be the family of sets containing  $p_i$ , and let  $d_i := |\mathcal{Z}_i|$ . We have  $2 \leq d_i \leq k$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{M}_i \subset \mathcal{S}_{i-1} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_i$  the family of sets which intersect at least one set of  $\mathcal{Z}_i$  in  $B$ . Finally, let  $\mathcal{S}_i := \mathcal{S}_{i-1} \setminus (\mathcal{Z}_i \cup \mathcal{M}_i)$ .

Now we give an upper bound for  $|\mathcal{S}_{i-1} \setminus \mathcal{S}_i|$ . Since  $p_i \in \bigcap_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}_i} Z$  we have  $|B \cap \bigcup_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}_i} Z| \leq 1 + (k-1)d_i$ . An element of  $B \cap (\bigcup_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}_i} Z) \setminus \{p_i\}$  can meet at most  $d_i - 1$  members of  $\mathcal{M}_i$ , so we get  $|\mathcal{M}_i| \leq (k-1)d_i(d_i - 1)$ . Hence  $|\mathcal{S}_{i-1} \setminus \mathcal{S}_i| = |\mathcal{Z}_i \cup \mathcal{M}_i| \leq d_i + (k-1)d_i(d_i - 1)$  and

$$|\mathcal{S}| = |\mathcal{S}_q| + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq q} |\mathcal{S}_{i-1} \setminus \mathcal{S}_i| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \right\rfloor + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq q} (d_i + (k-1)d_i(d_i - 1)).$$

We get

$$|\mathcal{S}| - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \right\rfloor \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq q} d_i + (k-1) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq q} d_i(d_i - 1) \leq k \sum_{1 \leq i \leq q} d_i(d_i - 1).$$

We need to bound  $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq q} d_i(d_i - 1)$ .

Observe that the sets in the family  $\mathcal{Z}_i$  are pairwise disjoint in  $A$  as they have a common element in  $B$ . Define  $\mathcal{A}_i$  as  $\{Z \cap A : Z \in \mathcal{Z}_i\}$ . If  $S \in \mathcal{S}_i$  and  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_i$  then  $S \cap Z \cap B = \emptyset$ , as any set from  $\mathcal{S}_{i-1}$  that intersects  $Z$  in  $B$  is in  $\mathcal{Z}_i \cup \mathcal{M}_i$  by definition. Hence  $S \cap Z \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . In particular, any  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_i$  and  $Z' \in \mathcal{Z}_j$  intersect in  $A$  if  $i < j$ , i.e.,  $X \cap X' \neq \emptyset$  if  $X \in \mathcal{A}_i$ ,  $X' \in \mathcal{A}_j$ , and  $i \neq j$ .

If  $q \geq 2$  then  $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_q$  form a  $k$ -uniform cross intersecting matching and then Lemma 7 completes the proof. In case of  $q \leq 1$  we have  $|\mathcal{S}| \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor + 2k^2(k-1)$  and we are done.  $\square$

### 3 Multiproducts of the complete graphs

#### 3.1 Algebraic upper bound for the product of complete graphs

Complete graphs are also Kneser graphs with  $k = 1$ . We prove the upper bound  $f_\ell(n, 1) \leq n\ell - \ell + 1$  in Theorem 3 in the following stronger form. Suppose that  $\ell \geq 2$ ,  $n_1, \dots, n_\ell$  are positive integers and  $A_1, \dots, A_\ell$  are disjoint sets of sizes  $n_1, \dots, n_\ell$ ,  $V := A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_\ell$ .

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $G$  be the xor-product of the complete graphs  $K_{n_1}, \dots, K_{n_\ell}$ . Then  $\omega(G) \leq |V| - \ell + 1$ .*

The vertices of  $G$  corresponds to  $\ell$ -element sets  $T$  with  $|T \cap A_i| = 1$  for each  $i$ . A clique in  $G$  corresponds to an  $\ell$ -semi-intersecting family  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\ell$ -element subsets of  $V$ , i.e., for distinct  $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$  we have  $|S \setminus T| = \ell - |S \cap T|$  is odd, so  $(\ell + 1 + |S \cap T|)$  is even. Let  $\mathbf{F}_2$  be the 2-element field. For every subset  $X \subseteq V$  let  $\widehat{X} \in \mathbf{F}_2^V$  denote the characteristic vector of  $X$ . Thus  $\widehat{\emptyset}$  is the  $|V|$  dimensional zero-vector. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the family  $\{A_1, \dots, A_\ell\}$ .

**Lemma 10.** *Suppose that the cardinality  $|\mathcal{S}|$  is odd and suppose that the vectors  $\{\widehat{X} : X \in \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{A}\}$  have a non-trivial linear dependency,  $\sum_{X \in \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{A}} \alpha(X) \widehat{X} = \widehat{\emptyset}$  for some  $\alpha(X) \in \mathbf{F}_2$ , not all coefficients are zero. Then this dependency is unique and  $\alpha(X) = 1$  for each  $X \in \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 10.* The scalar product  $\langle \widehat{X}, \widehat{Y} \rangle = |X \cap Y|$ . So for any  $Y \subseteq V$ ,

$$0 = \langle \widehat{\emptyset}, \widehat{Y} \rangle = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{A}} \alpha(X) |X \cap Y|.$$

Here every integer is taken modulo 2. Substituting to  $Y$  a single element  $v \in A_i$ , then a

fixed member  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$ , and finally a member  $T \in \mathcal{S}$  we get

$$0 = \left( \sum_{S: v \in S \in \mathcal{S}} \alpha(S) \right) + \alpha(A_i), \quad (3.1)$$

$$0 = \left( \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \alpha(S) \right) + \alpha(A_i)|A_i|, \quad (3.2)$$

$$0 = \left( \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} |S \cap T| \alpha(S) \right) + \left( \sum_j \alpha(A_j) \right). \quad (3.3)$$

Add  $(\ell + 1)$  times (3.2) to (3.3). For given  $T$  and  $A_i$  we get

$$0 = \left( \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} (\ell + 1 + |S \cap T|) \alpha(S) \right) + (\ell + 1) \alpha(A_i) |A_i| + \left( \sum_j \alpha(A_j) \right). \quad (3.4)$$

For distinct  $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$   $(\ell + 1 + |S \cap T|)$  is even and for  $S = T$  we have  $\ell + 1 + |S \cap T| = 2\ell + 1 = 1$  (in  $\mathbf{F}_2$ ). So the first term in (3.4) is exactly  $\alpha(T)$ . The second and the third terms of (3.4) are independent from  $T$ , so we obtain that all  $\alpha(T)$  are equal.

If  $\alpha(T) = 0$  for each  $T \in \mathcal{S}$  then (3.1) gives that  $\alpha(A_i) = 0$  for all  $i$ , a contradiction. Therefore each  $\alpha(T) = 1$ , so the first term in (3.2) is  $|\mathcal{S}|$ . By our assumptions this is odd, so  $\alpha(A_i)|A_i|$  should be odd. In particular  $\alpha(A_i) = 1$  for all  $i$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 9.* If  $|\mathcal{S}|$  is odd, then by Lemma 10 the vectors  $\{\widehat{X} : X \in \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{A}\}$  are either linearly independent in  $\mathbf{F}_2^V$  or has a unique linear dependency. So they generate a subspace of dimension at least  $|\mathcal{S}| + |\mathcal{A}| - 1$ . This is at most  $|V|$  and we are done.

If  $|\mathcal{S}|$  is even then we can assume that  $|\mathcal{S}| \geq 2$ . Take two distinct members  $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then  $|\mathcal{S} \setminus \{T_i\}|$  is odd (for  $i = 1, 2$ ). If either of the set of vectors  $\{\widehat{X} : X \in (\mathcal{S} \setminus \{T_i\}) \cup \mathcal{A}\}$  is independent, we get  $|\mathcal{S}| + |\mathcal{A}| - 1 \leq |V|$  as desired. If both are dependent, then again by Lemma 10 they have unique linear dependencies, namely  $\sum \{\widehat{X} : X \in (\mathcal{S} \setminus \{T_i\}) \cup \mathcal{A}\} = \widehat{\emptyset}$ . Adding up these equations we get  $\widehat{T}_1 + \widehat{T}_2 = \widehat{\emptyset}$ . This contradiction completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3.2 An explicit construction for the case of complete graphs

We prove the lower bound  $f_\ell(n, 1) \geq \ell n - 2\ell - 1$  in Theorem 3 in the following stronger form. Suppose that  $\ell \geq 3$ ,  $A_1, \dots, A_\ell$  are disjoint sets of sizes  $n_1, \dots, n_\ell$ ,  $V := A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_\ell$ .

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $G$  be the xor-product of the complete graphs  $K_{n_1}, \dots, K_{n_\ell}$ . Suppose that  $\ell \geq 3$  and  $n_i \geq 2$  for each  $i \in [\ell]$ . Then  $\omega(G) \geq |V| - 2\ell - 1$ .*

*Proof.* We show a construction. For a given partition  $A_1, \dots, A_\ell$  ( $\ell \geq 3$ ) we call the family of sets  $\mathcal{B} := \{B_1, \dots, B_\ell\}$  an  $\ell$ -core if

- (i) each  $B_i$  is an  $(\ell - 1)$ -set with  $B_i \cap A_i = \emptyset$  but  $|B_i \cap A_j| = 1$  for  $i \neq j$  and

(ii)  $|B_i \cap B_j| \not\equiv \ell \pmod{2}$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq \ell$ .

This intersection condition can be reformulated as  $|B_i \cap B_j| + \ell$  is always odd. Let  $U(\mathcal{B})$  denote  $\cup B_i$ . A core  $\mathcal{B}$  generates an  $\ell$ -uniform family  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B})$  by enlarging each core set by extra elements as follows.

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B}) := \{B_i \cup \{x\} : i \in [\ell], x \in A_i \setminus U(\mathcal{B})\}.$$

We claim that  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B})$  is an  $\ell$ -semi-intersecting family with  $k = 1$  of size  $|V| - |U|$ . Indeed, by definition each  $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B})$  intersects every  $A_i$  in exactly one element. Let  $S, T \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B})$  with  $S \neq T$ . Say  $S = B_i \cup \{x\}$  and  $T = B_j \cup \{y\}$ . Then  $S \cap T = B_i \cap B_j$ . So  $S \cap T \cap A_\alpha = \emptyset$  in  $\ell - |B_i \cap B_j|$  cases of  $\alpha$ . Here  $\ell - |B_i \cap B_j|$  is odd by (ii), so  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B})$  is an  $\ell$ -semi-intersecting family.

The next step in the proof of Theorem 11 is to find a core  $\mathcal{B}$  with small  $|U(\mathcal{B})|$ . We need a couple of more definitions. The *type* of  $\mathcal{B}$  is the tuple

$$(|U(\mathcal{B}) \cap A_1|, |U(\mathcal{B}) \cap A_2|, \dots, |U(\mathcal{B}) \cap A_\ell|).$$

The set  $U(\mathcal{B}) \cap A_i$  is called the *i*th class of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Lemma 12.** *Suppose that  $p, q \geq 3$ ,  $\mathcal{B}'_p$  is a  $p$ -core of type  $(x_1, \dots, x_p)$  and  $\mathcal{B}''_q$  is a  $q$ -core of type  $(y_1, \dots, y_q)$ . Then there exists a  $(p + q - 1)$ -core  $\mathcal{B}$  of type  $(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}, x_p + y_1, y_2, \dots, y_q)$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 12.* We may assume that  $U(\mathcal{B}'_p)$  and  $U(\mathcal{B}''_q)$  are disjoint. We have  $|\mathcal{B}'_p| = p$ ,  $|\mathcal{B}''_q| = q$ . The classes of  $\mathcal{B}'_p$  are denoted by  $A'_1, \dots, A'_p$  ( $|A'_i| = x_i$ ), its hyperedges are  $B'_1, \dots, B'_p$ . The classes of  $\mathcal{B}''_q$  are denoted by  $A''_1, \dots, A''_q$  ( $|A''_j| = y_j$ ), its hyperedges are  $B''_1, \dots, B''_q$ . We define the core  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_{p+q-1}$  as follows. Its classes are  $A_1, \dots, A_{p+q-1}$  where  $A_i := A'_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p - 1$ ,  $A_p := A'_p \cup A''_1$ , and  $A_j := A''_{j-p+1}$  for  $p + 1 \leq j \leq p + q - 1$ . The hyperedges  $B_1, \dots, B_{p+q-1}$  of  $\mathcal{B}_{p+q-1}$  are defined as unions of the form  $B'_\alpha \cup B''_\beta$  as follows:  $B_p := B'_p \cup B''_1$  and in general  $B_i := B'_i \cup B''_1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p$  and  $B_j := B'_p \cup B''_{j-p+1}$  for  $p + 1 \leq j \leq p + q - 1$ .

We claim that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $(p + q - 1)$ -core.  $B_\alpha \cap A_\alpha = \emptyset$  and  $|B_\alpha \cap A_\beta| = 1$  for  $\alpha \neq \beta$  follows from the definition of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Consider  $|B_\alpha \cap B_\beta|$ . We have to show that  $|B_\alpha \cap B_\beta| + (p + q - 1)$  is odd. Write  $B_\alpha$  in the form  $B'_e \cup B''_f$  and let  $B_\beta = B'_g \cup B''_h$  where  $B'_e, B'_g \in \mathcal{B}'$  and  $B''_f, B''_h \in \mathcal{B}''$ . We have  $B_\alpha \cap B_\beta = (B'_e \cup B''_f) \cap (B'_g \cup B''_h)$  which is the disjoint union of  $B'_e \cap B'_g$  and  $B''_f \cap B''_h$ . Since  $|B'_e \cap B'_g| + p$  and  $|B''_f \cap B''_h| + q$  are both odd their sum is even, so

$$|B_\alpha \cap B_\beta| + p + q - 1 = |B'_e \cap B'_g| + |B''_f \cap B''_h| + p + q - 1$$

is odd. So  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $(p + q - 1)$ -core, completing the proof of Lemma 12.  $\square$

The procedure described in the proof of Lemma 12 will be referred to as the *fusion* of  $\mathcal{B}'_p$  and  $\mathcal{B}''_q$ . Using this construction, we prove by induction that there exists an  $\ell$ -core  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $|U(\mathcal{B})| \leq 2\ell + 1$  if  $\max_i n_i \geq 3$ . Note that we can assume that  $\max_i n_i \geq 3$  as if each  $n_i = 2$  then  $|V| = 2\ell$ , so the lower bound from Theorem 11 obviously holds. First, we define an  $\ell$ -core for  $\ell = 3, 4, 5$ .

There is a 3-core  $\mathcal{B}_3$  of type  $(2, 2, 2)$  with three sets  $B_\alpha := \{a_{\alpha-1, \alpha}, a_{\alpha+1, \alpha}\}$  (indices are taken modulo 3) where these  $a$ 's are six distinct vertices with  $a_{i,j} \in A_i$  ( $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ ).

There is a 4-core  $\mathcal{B}_4$  of type  $(2, 2, 2, 1)$  on 7 vertices  $\{a_{1,2}, a_{1,3}, a_{2,1}, a_{2,3}, a_{3,1}, a_{3,2}, a_4\}$  where  $\{a_{1,2}, a_{1,3}\} \subset A_1$ ,  $\{a_{2,1}, a_{2,3}\} \subset A_2$ ,  $\{a_{3,1}, a_{3,2}\} \subset A_3$ , and  $a_4 \in A_4$ . The core sets are  $B_1 := \{a_{2,1}, a_{3,1}, a_4\}$ ,  $B_2 := \{a_{1,2}, a_{3,2}, a_4\}$ ,  $B_3 := \{a_{1,3}, a_{2,3}, a_4\}$ , and  $B_4 := \{a_{1,3}, a_{2,1}, a_{3,2}\}$ .

There is a 5-core  $\mathcal{B}_5$  of type  $(2, 2, 2, 1, 1)$  on 8 vertices  $\{a_{1,2}, a_{1,3}, a_{2,1}, a_{2,3}, a_{3,1}, a_{3,2}, a_4, a_5\}$  where  $\{a_{1,2}, a_{1,3}\} \subset A_1$ ,  $\{a_{2,1}, a_{2,3}\} \subset A_2$ ,  $\{a_{3,1}, a_{3,2}\} \subset A_3$ ,  $a_4 \in A_4$ , and  $a_5 \in A_5$ . The core sets are  $B_1 := \{a_{2,1}, a_{3,1}, a_4, a_5\}$ ,  $B_2 := \{a_{1,2}, a_{3,2}, a_4, a_5\}$ ,  $B_3 := \{a_{1,3}, a_{2,3}, a_4, a_5\}$ ,  $B_4 := \{a_{1,3}, a_{2,1}, a_{3,2}, a_5\}$ , and  $B_5 := \{a_{1,2}, a_{2,3}, a_{3,1}, a_4\}$ .

Now we give the construction for any  $\ell \geq 3$ . Fusing  $m$  copies of  $\mathcal{B}_5$  of type  $(1, 2, 2, 2, 1)$  we obtain a  $(4m + 1)$ -core  $\mathcal{B}_{4m+1}$  of type  $(1, 2, \dots, 2, 1)$  for each  $m \geq 1$ . The fusion of a  $\mathcal{B}_4$  of type  $(2, 2, 2, 1)$  and a  $\mathcal{B}_{4m+1}$  defines a  $(4m + 4)$ -core  $\mathcal{B}_{4m+4}$  of type  $(2, 2, \dots, 2, 1)$  for each  $m \geq 0$ . Fusing this with a  $\mathcal{B}_4$  of type  $(1, 2, 2, 2)$  yields a  $(4m + 7)$ -core of type  $(2, \dots, 2)$  (for each  $m \geq 0$ ). Finally, fusing  $\mathcal{B}_3$  of type  $(2, 2, 2)$  and a  $4m + 4$ -core of type  $(1, 2, \dots, 2)$  ( $m \geq 0$ ) one gets a  $(4m + 6)$ -core of type  $(2, 2, 3, 2, \dots, 2)$ , which finishes the proof.  $\square$

### 3.3 Remarks

Note that in the proof of Theorem 9 we have adapted a method of Deza, Frankl and Singhi [6]. Their ‘even town theorem’ can be applied to prove  $f_\ell(n, 1) \leq \ell n - \ell + 1$  when  $\ell$  and  $n$  are both even. If  $\ell$  is even and  $n$  is odd one can still apply the even town theorem to get  $\ell n + 1$ , the same upper bound as in Alon and Lubetzky [2]. In the case  $\ell$  is odd the bound  $f_\ell(n, 1) \leq \ell n$  follows by a theorem of Frankl and Wilson [8].

Suppose that there exists a finite projective plane of order  $\ell - 1$ , i.e., an  $\ell$ -uniform,  $\ell$ -regular set system  $\mathcal{L}$  of size  $\ell^2 - \ell + 1$  such that  $|L \cap L'| = 1$  for all pairwise intersections. Also suppose that  $\ell$  is even. Take any vertex  $v$ , we have  $\ell$  lines containing it,  $L_1, \dots, L_\ell$ . Set  $A_i := L_i \setminus \{v\}$ , and let  $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{P} \setminus \{L_1, \dots, L_\ell\}$ . This  $\mathcal{S}$  is an  $\ell$ -semi-intersecting family of size  $(\ell - 1)^2$  on  $\ell \times (\ell - 1)$  vertices. Since such finite planes exist whenever  $\ell - 1$  is a power of an odd prime, we got infinitely many cases when the lower bound is tight in Theorem 9. This example was also mentioned by Alon and Lubetzky [2, 3]. They were more interested from coding theory point of view, i.e., when  $n$  is fixed and  $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ .

Finding the exact value of  $f_\ell(n, 1)$  is still open.

## 4 Higher powers, the general case

In this section we study the order of magnitude of  $f_\ell(n, k)$ .

### 4.1 Proof of the upper bound (1.3) by induction on $\ell$

Suppose that  $\ell \geq 2$  and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be an  $\ell$ -semi-intersecting family with parameters  $n$  and  $k$  and base sets  $A_1, \dots, A_\ell$ . Take any  $v \in A_i$ . Define  $\mathcal{S}[v] := \{S \setminus A_i : v \in S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}[v]$  does not contain multiple hyperedges, it is an  $(\ell - 1)$ -semi-intersecting family. Hence

$|\mathcal{S}[v]| = \deg_{\mathcal{S}}(v) \leq f_{\ell-1}(n, k)$ . Take this inequality for each  $v \in A_i$  and suppose that  $|\mathcal{S}|$  has maximum size. We get

$$f_{\ell}(n, k) = |\mathcal{S}| = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{v \in A_i} \deg_{\mathcal{S}}(v) \leq \frac{n}{k} f_{\ell-1}(n, k). \quad (4.1)$$

If  $\ell$  is even then  $\mathcal{S}$  is intersecting. Taking the degrees of any given  $T \in \mathcal{S}$  we obtain

$$f_{\ell}(n, k) = |\mathcal{S}| \leq 1 + \sum_{v \in T} (\deg_{\mathcal{S}}(v) - 1) \leq 1 + k\ell (f_{\ell-1}(n, k) - 1) \leq k\ell f_{\ell-1}(n, k). \quad (4.2)$$

We have  $f_1(n, k) \leq n/k$ . Apply (4.2), we get  $f_2(n, k) \leq 2kf_1(n, k) \leq 2n$ . Then (4.1) gives  $f_3(n, k) \leq (n/k)f_2(n, k) \leq 2n^2/k$ . Apply again (4.2), we get  $f_4(n, k) \leq 4kf_3(n, k) \leq 2 \cdot 4 \cdot n^2$ . Continuing this way, we get for each even  $\ell$  that  $f_{\ell}(n, k) \leq 2 \cdot 4 \cdot \dots \cdot \ell \cdot n^{\ell/2}$  and  $f_{\ell}(n, k) \leq 2 \cdot 4 \cdot \dots \cdot (\ell - 1) \cdot n^{(\ell+1)/2}/k$  when  $\ell$  is odd.  $\square$

## 4.2 Construction showing the lower bound (1.4)

Note that  $f_{\ell}(n, k)$  is monotonous in  $n$  and also increases monotonously in  $\ell$ , since an  $\ell$ -semi-intersecting family  $\mathcal{S}$  can be extended to an  $(\ell + 1)$ -semi-intersecting family by adding  $A_{\ell+1}$  to the base sets and a fixed  $k$ -element  $S_{\ell+1} \subset A_{\ell+1}$  to all  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ . So it is enough to prove the theorem for  $\ell = 2^t - 1$  where  $t \geq 2$  is an integer, and we also suppose that  $k \geq t$ . Let  $m := \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor$ .

Take  $\ell$  disjoint sets  $A_1, \dots, A_{\ell}$  of sizes  $|A_{\alpha}| = mk$ . We are going to define an  $\ell$ -semi-intersecting family  $\mathcal{S}$  of size  $m^t$  with parameters  $mk$  and  $k$  with these base sets. Let  $H$  be 0-1 matrix of size  $\ell \times t$  with  $2^t - 1$  pairwise distinct nonzero rows. Note that this matrix is unique up to a permutation of its rows. Let  $C$  be any  $\ell \times t$  matrix with non-negative integer entries and row sums equal to  $k$  (i.e.  $\sum_{1 \leq \beta \leq t} C_{\alpha, \beta} = k$ ) and so that  $C_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$  if and only if  $H_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$ . Such a matrix exists as  $k \geq t$ . Partition each  $A_{\alpha}$  into subsets  $A_{\alpha, \beta}^p$  where  $1 \leq p \leq m$  and  $|A_{\alpha, \beta}^p| = C_{\alpha, \beta}$ . In particular, let  $A_{\alpha, \beta}^p = \emptyset$  if  $C_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$ . Make another partition of  $\cup A_{\alpha}$  into  $mt$  sets by joining some of the  $A_{\alpha, \beta}^p$  as follows. For each  $\beta$  and  $p$  where  $1 \leq \beta \leq t$  and  $1 \leq p \leq m$  define

$$S_{\beta}^p := \bigcup_{1 \leq \alpha \leq \ell} A_{\alpha, \beta}^p.$$

For each of the  $m^t$  functions  $\varphi : [t] \rightarrow [m]$  define  $S_{\varphi} := \cup_{1 \leq \beta \leq t} S_{\beta}^{\varphi(\beta)}$ . Finally, let  $\mathcal{S} := \{S_{\varphi} : \varphi : [t] \rightarrow [m]\}$ .

Let us prove that  $\mathcal{S}$  is an  $\ell$ -semi-intersecting family. Each  $S_{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}$  intersects every  $A_{\alpha}$  in  $k$  elements, as

$$|S_{\varphi} \cap A_{\alpha}| = \left| \bigcup_{1 \leq \beta \leq t} (A_{\alpha} \cap S_{\beta}^{\varphi(\beta)}) \right| = \left| \bigcup_{1 \leq \beta \leq t} A_{\alpha, \beta}^{\varphi(\beta)} \right| = \sum_{1 \leq \beta \leq t} C_{\alpha, \beta} = k.$$

Let  $D_{\beta} \subset [\ell]$  denote the set of indices of nonzero elements of the column  $\beta$  of  $H$ , i.e.,  $D_{\beta} := \{\alpha : H_{\alpha, \beta} = 1\}$ . For any set  $X \subset \cup A_{\alpha}$  let  $\pi(X) \subset [\ell]$  denote its projection,

$\pi(X) := \{\alpha \in [\ell] : A_\alpha \cap X \neq \emptyset\}$ . We have  $\pi(S_\beta^p) = D_\beta$  for all  $p$ . Even more, each such set has the type  $(C_{1,\beta}, \dots, C_{\ell,\beta})$ , i.e.,  $|S_\beta^p \cap A_\alpha|$  is exactly  $C_{\alpha,\beta}$ . Note that for any  $Q \subseteq [t]$  we have  $|\cup_{q \in Q} D_q| = 2^t - 2^{t-|Q|}$ , an even number except in the case  $Q = [t]$ .

Given two functions  $\varphi, \sigma$  we claim that  $|\pi(S_\varphi \cap S_\sigma)|$  is even except in the case  $\varphi = \sigma$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{S}$  is  $\ell$ -semi-intersecting, as claimed. We have  $S_\varphi \cap S_\sigma = \left(\cup S_\beta^{\varphi(\beta)}\right) \cap \left(\cup S_\beta^{\sigma(\beta)}\right)$ . Since the sets  $S_\beta^p$  form a partition of  $\cup A_\alpha$  we have that  $S_\varphi \cap S_\sigma = \cup\{S_\beta^p : \varphi(\beta) = \sigma(\beta)\}$ . Hence  $\pi(S_\varphi \cap S_\sigma) = \cup\{D_\beta : \varphi(\beta) = \sigma(\beta)\}$ . This set has even cardinality whenever  $\varphi \neq \sigma$ . So we find that  $S_\varphi$  and  $S_\sigma$  are disjoint in an odd number of base sets  $A_\alpha$  which finishes the proof.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

The first author was supported in part by the National Research Development and Innovation Office, NKFIH, grants K-132696 and KKP 133819. The second author was supported by the EKÖP-KDP-25 University Research Scholarship Program, Cooperative Doctoral Program of the Ministry for Culture and Innovation, from the source of the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund.

## References

- [1] Noga Alon. An extremal problem for sets with applications to graph theory. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 40(1):82–89, 1985. doi:10.1016/0097-3165(85)90048-2.
- [2] Noga Alon and Eyal Lubetzky. Codes and xor graph products. *Combinatorica*, 27:13–33, 2007. doi:10.1007/s00493-007-0042-5.
- [3] Noga Alon and Eyal Lubetzky. Graph powers, Delsarte, Hoffman, Ramsey, and Shannon. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 21:329–348, 2007.
- [4] Béla Bollobás. On generalized graphs. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 16(3–4):447–452, 1965.
- [5] Boštjan Brešar and Mario Valencia-Pabon. Independence number of products of Kneser graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 342(4):1017–1027, 2019. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2018.12.017.
- [6] Michel Deza, Peter Frankl, and N. M. Singhi. On functions of strenght. *Combinatorica*, 3(3):331–339, 1983.
- [7] P. Erdős, Chao Ko, and R. Rado. Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets. *Q. J. Math., Oxf. II. Ser.*, 12:313–320, 1961.
- [8] Peter Frankl and Richard M. Wilson. Intersection theorems with geometric consequences. *Combinatorica*, 1(4):357–368, 1981.
- [9] L. Gargano, J. Körner, and U. Vaccaro. Sperner capacities. *Graphs Combin.*, 9(1):31–46, 1993. doi:10.1007/BF01195325.

- [10] Dániel Gerbner, Nathan Lemons, Cory Palmer, Balázs Patkós, and Vajk Szécsi. Almost intersecting families of sets. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 26(4):1657–1669, 2012.
- [11] András Imolay, Anett Kocsis, and Ádám Schweitzer. Clique number of xor products of Kneser graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 345(7):112886, 2022.
- [12] Gyula O. H. Katona. A general 2-part Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem. *Opuscula Mathematica*, 37, 2017. doi:[10.7494/OpMath.2017.37.4.577](https://doi.org/10.7494/OpMath.2017.37.4.577).
- [13] László Lovász. Kneser’s conjecture, chromatic number, and homotopy. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 25(3):319–324, 1978.
- [14] László Lovász. On the Shannon capacity of a graph. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 25(1):1–7, 1979. doi:[10.1109/TIT.1979.1055985](https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.1979.1055985).
- [15] A. Thomason. Graph products and monochromatic multiplicities. *Combinatorica*, 17:125–134, 1997.