

The α -spectral Turán type problems for graphs

Jiadong Wu^a Yongchun Lu^a Liying Kang^{a,b}

Submitted: Dec 2, 2025; Accepted: Apr 23, 2026; Published: Jun 5, 2026

© The authors. Released under the CC BY-ND license (International 4.0).

Abstract

For $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, the α -spectral radius of a graph G is defined as the largest eigenvalue of $A_\alpha(G) = \alpha D(G) + (1 - \alpha)A(G)$, where $D(G)$ and $A(G)$ are the diagonal matrix of degrees and adjacency matrix of G , respectively. A graph is called color-critical if it contains an edge whose deletion reduces its chromatic number. The celebrated Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem asserts that $\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F}) = (1 - \frac{1}{\chi(\mathcal{F})-1} + o(1))\frac{n^2}{2}$, where $\chi(\mathcal{F})$ is the chromatic number of \mathcal{F} . Nikiforov and Zheng et al. established the adjacency spectral and signless Laplacian spectral versions of this theorem, respectively. In this paper, we present the α -spectral version of this theorem, which unifies the aforementioned results. Furthermore, we characterize the α -spectral extremal graphs for color-critical graphs, thereby extending the existing results on adjacency spectral and signless Laplacian spectral extremal graphs for such graphs.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C50, 05C35

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a simple graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. As usual, we use $|G|$ and $e(G)$ to represent the number of vertices and edges of a graph G , respectively. For $v \in V(G)$, the set of neighbors of v in G are denoted by $N_G(v)$, and $d_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$ denotes its degree in G . If there is no ambiguity, we simplify $N_G(v)$ and $d_G(v)$ as $N(v)$ and $d(v)$, respectively. We write $\Delta(G)$ for the maximum degree of G and $\delta(G)$ for the minimum degree of G . Let $T_{n,r}$ denote the r -partite Turán graph of order n . The k -vertex independent set is denoted by I_k . For two graphs G and H , the disjoint union of G and H is denoted by $G \cup H$. The *join* of G and H , denoted by $G \vee H$, is the graph obtained from $G \cup H$ by adding all possible edges between G and H . Denote $S_{n,k} = K_k \vee I_{n-k}$ and $S_{n,k}^+ = K_k \vee (K_2 \cup I_{n-k-2})$. Let M_{k+1} be a matching of size $k + 1$

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, P.R. China
(1753381890@qq.com, luyongchun@shu.edu.cn, lykang@shu.edu.cn. Corresponding author:
Liying Kang).

^bNewtouch Center for Mathematics of Shanghai University, Shanghai, China, 200444.

and P_k be a path of order k . A cycle on k vertices is denoted by C_k . A graph is called *color-critical* if it contains an edge whose deletion reduces its chromatic number.

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs. A graph is called \mathcal{F} -free if it does not contain any member of \mathcal{F} as a subgraph. The classic *Turán type problem* is to determine the maximum number of edges over all \mathcal{F} -free graphs of order n . The *Turán number* of \mathcal{F} is defined as follows:

$$\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F}) = \max\{e(G) \mid G \text{ is an } n\text{-vertex } \mathcal{F}\text{-free graph}\}.$$

Let $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = \min_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \chi(F)$. When $\mathcal{F} = \{F\}$, we simplify the notation to $\text{ex}(n, F)$ instead of $\text{ex}(n, \{F\})$. The same simplification applies to all other relevant functions. The *Turán density* of \mathcal{F} is defined as

$$\pi(\mathcal{F}) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})}{\binom{n}{2}},$$

for which the existence is guaranteed by an averaging argument due to Katona, Nemetz and Simonovits in [11].

Let G be a graph with adjacency matrix $A(G)$, and let $D(G)$ be the diagonal matrix of the degrees of G . The *signless Laplacian matrix* of a graph G is defined as $Q(G) := D(G) + A(G)$. In 2017, Nikiforov [18] proposed to study the convex combinations $A_\alpha(G)$ of $A(G)$ and $D(G)$ defined by

$$A_\alpha(G) := \alpha D(G) + (1 - \alpha)A(G), \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1.$$

Obviously, $A(G) = A_0(G)$ and $Q(G) = 2A_{1/2}(G)$. The largest eigenvalue of $A_\alpha(G)$ is called the α -spectral radius of G , denoted by $\lambda_\alpha(G)$. The $\lambda_0(G)$ (resp. $2\lambda_{1/2}(G)$) of G is usually referred to as the spectral radius (resp. signless Laplacian spectral radius) of G , denoted by $\lambda(G)$ (resp. $q(G)$). In 2017, Nikiforov [18] proposed the following problem.

Proposition 1. *Given a family of graphs \mathcal{F} , what is the maximum $\lambda_\alpha(G)$ of a graph G of order n , with no subgraph isomorphic to any $F \in \mathcal{F}$?*

Let $\text{ex}_\alpha(n, \mathcal{F})$ denote the maximum α -spectral radius among all \mathcal{F} -free graphs of order n . An \mathcal{F} -free graph of order n that achieves $\text{ex}_\alpha(n, \mathcal{F})$ is called an α -spectral extremal graph for \mathcal{F} , and we let $\text{Ex}_\alpha(n, \mathcal{F})$ denote the family of all such graphs. For specific spectral parameters, $\text{ex}_\lambda(n, \mathcal{F})$ stands for the maximum spectral radius of \mathcal{F} -free graphs of order n , while $\text{ex}_q(n, \mathcal{F})$ denotes the maximum signless Laplacian spectral radius of \mathcal{F} -free graphs on n vertices.

The study of α -spectral Turán problem was initiated by Nikiforov [18], who determined the α -spectral extremal graphs corresponding to complete graphs. For matching M_{k+1} , Yuan and Shao [22] showed that $\text{Ex}_\alpha(n, M_{k+1}) = S_{n,k}$. Further advancing the field, Chen, Liu, and Zhang [3] proved that $\text{Ex}_\alpha(n, P_{2k+2}) = S_{n,k}$ and $\text{Ex}_\alpha(n, P_{2k+3}) = S_{n,k}^+$, shedding light on the α -spectral extremal structures for paths of specific lengths. For cycles, Li and Yu [12] showed that $\text{Ex}_\alpha(n, C_{2k+2}) = S_{n,k}^+$ and $\text{Ex}_\alpha(n, \{C_{2k+1}, C_{2k+2}\}) = S_{n,k}$. Expanding to disjoint cycles, Li, Yu, and Zhang [13] determined the α -spectral extremal graphs and they obtained $\text{Ex}_\alpha(n, \mathcal{F}) = S_{n,2k-1}$, where \mathcal{F} is the family of all disjoint unions of k

cycles. A nice result was achieved by Chen et al. [4], who proved the α -spectral Erdős-Sós theorem. This theorem asserts that every n -vertex graph G with $\lambda_\alpha(G) \geq \lambda_\alpha(S_{n,k})$ contains all trees on $2k + 2$ vertices unless $G = S_{n,k}$. Moreover, if $\lambda_\alpha(G) \geq \lambda_\alpha(S_{n,k}^+)$, then G contains all trees on $2k + 3$ vertices unless $G = S_{n,k}^+$. Most recently, Byrne, Desai, and Tait [2] established a unified framework that characterizes the α -spectral extremal graphs for a broad class of graph families.

1.1 The spectral version of the Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem

The famous Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem gives an asymptotic value of the Turán number for a family of graphs.

Theorem 2 (Erdős-Stone-Simonovits [5, 6]). *If \mathcal{F} is a family of graphs with $\chi(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2$, then*

$$\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F}) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi(\mathcal{F}) - 1} + o(1)\right) \frac{n^2}{2}.$$

As a direct consequence of Theorem 2, we have $\pi(\mathcal{F}) = 1 - \frac{1}{\chi(\mathcal{F}) - 1}$. Nikiforov [17] later proposed a spectral analog of the Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem, stated as follows.

Theorem 3 (Nikiforov [17]). *If F is a graph with $\chi(F) \geq 2$, then*

$$\text{ex}_\lambda(n, F) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi(F) - 1} + o(1)\right) n.$$

More recently, Zheng, Li, and Su [24] established the signless Laplacian spectral counterpart of this theorem.

Theorem 4 (Zheng, Li, and Su [24]). *If F is a graph with $\chi(F) \geq 3$, then*

$$\text{ex}_q(n, F) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi(F) - 1} + o(1)\right) 2n.$$

For a bipartite graph F , Zheng, Li, and Su [24] also derived the following result.

Theorem 5 (Zheng, Li, and Su [24]). *If F is a bipartite graph, i.e., $\chi(F) = 2$, then*

$$\text{ex}_q(n, F) = \begin{cases} (1 + o(1))n & \text{if } F \text{ is not a star;} \\ 2(k - 1) & \text{if } F \text{ is a star } K_{1,k}. \end{cases}$$

1.2 The spectral extremal results for color-critical graphs

In 2007, Nikiforov [15] showed that if G is a K_{r+1} -free graph on n vertices, then $\lambda(G) \leq \lambda(T_{n,r})$, with equality if and only if $G = T_{n,r}$. Subsequently, He, Jin, and Zhang [9] extended this line of research to the signless Laplacian spectral radius, establishing the following theorem:

Theorem 6 (He, Jin, and Zhang [9]). *If G is an n -vertex K_{r+1} -free graph, then*

$$q(G) \leq q(T_{n,r}).$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if G is a complete bipartite graph for $r = 2$ and the r -partite Turán graph $T_{n,r}$ for every $r \geq 3$.

Later, Nikiforov [18] generalized the aforementioned results and obtained the following theorem.

Theorem 7 (Nikiforov [18]). *Let $r \geq 2$ and G be a K_{r+1} -free graph of order n .*

- (1) *If $0 \leq \alpha < 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, then $\lambda_\alpha(G) < \lambda_\alpha(T_{n,r})$, unless $G = T_{n,r}$.*
- (2) *If $1 > \alpha > 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, then $\lambda_\alpha(G) < \lambda_\alpha(S_{n,r-1})$, unless $G = S_{n,r-1}$.*
- (3) *If $\alpha = 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, then $\lambda_\alpha(G) \leq (1 - \frac{1}{r})n$, with equality if and only if G is a complete r -partite graph.*

Color-critical graphs form a broad and fundamental class of graphs. Examples include cliques, odd cycles, book graphs, and even wheels—all of which are color-critical. Let F be a color-critical graph with $\chi(F) = r + 1$. Simonovits [19] determined the Turán number of F , proving that $\text{Ex}(n, F) = T_{n,r}$ for sufficiently large n . Nikiforov [16] established a spectral counterpart to this result, showing that $\text{ex}_\lambda(n, F) = \lambda(T_{n,r})$. Subsequently, Zheng, Li, and Li [23] settled the signless Laplacian spectral extremal problem for F , determining $\text{ex}_q(n, F)$ explicitly.

Theorem 8 (Zheng, Li, and Li [23]). *Let F be a color-critical graph with $\chi(F) = r + 1 \geq 4$. Then there exists n_0 such that for every F -free graph G on $n \geq n_0$ vertices, we have*

$$q(G) \leq q(T_{n,r}),$$

where the equality holds if and only if G is the r -partite Turán graph $T_{n,r}$.

2 Main results

Given a family of graphs with $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = r + 1 \geq 3$, we first establish the asymptotic value of $\text{ex}_\alpha(n, \mathcal{F})$ as follows.

Theorem 9. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs with $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = r + 1 \geq 3$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. Then*

$$\text{ex}_\alpha(n, \mathcal{F}) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} + o(1)\right)n.$$

Remark. Theorem 9 does not hold when $\alpha > 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. Nikiforov [18] proved that $\text{Ex}_\alpha(n, K_{r+1}) = S_{n,r-1}$ for $r \geq 2$ and $1 > \alpha > 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. Clearly, $\lambda_\alpha(S_{n,r-1}) \geq \alpha(n-1) > (1 - \frac{1}{r} + o(1))n$ for sufficiently large n .

Suppose G is an \mathcal{F} -free graph of order n . By Lemma 15 (stated in Section 3, which asserts $e(G) \leq \lambda_\alpha(G)\frac{n}{2}$) and Theorem 9, we have $e(G) \leq \lambda_\alpha(G)\frac{n}{2} \leq (1 - \frac{1}{r} + o(1))\frac{n^2}{2}$. Thus, Theorem 9 implies Theorem 2 in the case where $\chi(\mathcal{F}) \geq 3$. For families \mathcal{F} containing a bipartite graph, we establish the following result.

Theorem 10. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs with $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = 2$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Then

- (1) $\text{ex}_\alpha(n, \mathcal{F}) = (\alpha + o(1))n$ if \mathcal{F} does not contain $K_{1,k} \cup sK_1$ for any $k \geq 1, s \geq 0$.
- (2) $\text{ex}_\alpha(n, \mathcal{F}) = O(1)$ if \mathcal{F} contains $K_{1,k} \cup sK_1$ for some $k \geq 1, s \geq 0$. Particularly, if $\mathcal{F} = \{K_{1,k}\}$, then $\text{ex}_\alpha(n, \mathcal{F}) = k - 1$.

When $\alpha = 0$, Theorem 9 combined with Theorem 10 implies Theorem 3. Furthermore, setting $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, Theorems 9 and 10 readily yield Theorems 4 and 5.

For color-critical graphs F , we further determine the maximum α -spectral radius among all F -free graphs of order n .

Theorem 11. Let F be a color-critical graph with $\chi(F) = r + 1 \geq 3$, and let G be an F -free graph of order n . For sufficiently large n and $0 \leq \alpha < 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, we have

$$\lambda_\alpha(G) \leq \lambda_\alpha(T_{n,r}),$$

where equality holds if and only if $G = T_{n,r}$.

Remark. Theorem 11 does not hold when $\alpha = 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. Indeed, for this specific value of α , Theorem 7 asserts that $\text{Ex}_\alpha(n, K_{r+1})$ consists of all complete r -partite graphs.

Clearly, Theorem 11 extends Theorem 7 for $0 \leq \alpha < 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. When $\alpha = 0$, it recovers Nikiforov's spectral result for color-critical graphs [16]; when $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, it implies Theorem 8.

The *even wheel* of order $2k + 2$ is defined as $W_{2k+2} := K_1 \vee C_{2k+1}$. Notably, W_{2k+2} is color-critical with $\chi(W_{2k+2}) = 4$. By Theorem 11, we immediately obtain the following result.

Corollary 12. For $k \geq 1, 0 \leq \alpha < \frac{2}{3}$ and sufficiently large n , if G is a W_{2k+2} -free graph of order n , then

$$\lambda_\alpha(G) \leq \lambda_\alpha(T_{n,3}),$$

where equality holds if and only if $G = T_{n,3}$.

The *generalized book graph* $B_{r,k}$ is defined as $B_{r,k} := K_r \vee I_k$. One can see that $B_{r,k}$ is a color-critical graph and $\chi(B_{r,k}) = r + 1$. Thus, Theorem 11 implies the following result.

Corollary 13. Suppose $k \geq 1$ and $r \geq 2$. For sufficiently large n and $0 \leq \alpha < 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, if G is a $B_{r,k}$ -free graph of order n , then

$$\lambda_\alpha(G) \leq \lambda_\alpha(T_{n,r}),$$

with equality if and only if $G = T_{n,r}$.

3 Preliminaries

For a graph G and integer $p \geq 1$, the *blow-up* of G , denoted by G^p , is the graph obtained from G by replacing each vertex of G by a set of p independent vertices and each edge of G by a complete bipartite graph $K_{p,p}$. A family of graphs \mathcal{G} is called *multiplicative* if $G \in \mathcal{G}$ implies $G^p \in \mathcal{G}$ for all integers $p \geq 1$. A family \mathcal{G} is said to be *hereditary* if it is closed under taking induced subgraphs.

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs and \mathcal{G} be a class of \mathcal{F} -free graphs. If there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N > 0$ such that every \mathcal{F} -free graph G on $n \geq N$ vertices with $\delta(G) \geq (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)n$ is a subgraph of some member of \mathcal{G} , then we say that \mathcal{F} is *degree-stable* with respect to \mathcal{G} .

Given a family of graphs \mathcal{G} , let \mathcal{G}_n denote the collection of all n -vertex graphs contained in \mathcal{G} . For $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, we define

$$\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n) = \max_{G \in \mathcal{G}_n} \lambda_\alpha(G).$$

Nikiforov [18] established the following results, which will be invoked in our proofs.

Lemma 14 (Nikiforov [18]). *Let G be a graph and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Then*

$$\alpha\Delta(G) \leq \lambda_\alpha(G) \leq \alpha\Delta(G) + (1 - \alpha)\lambda(G).$$

Lemma 15 (Nikiforov [18]). *Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. If G is of order n and m edges, then*

$$\lambda_\alpha(G) \geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{u \in V(G)} d^2(u)} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_\alpha(G) \geq \frac{2m}{n}.$$

Equality holds in the second inequality if and only if G is regular. If $\alpha > 0$, equality holds in the first inequality if and only if G is regular.

Lemma 16. *For $r \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, let G be a graph of order n and let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a non-negative unit eigenvector of $A_\alpha(G)$ corresponding to $\lambda_\alpha(G)$. Suppose $w \in V(G)$ satisfies $x_w = \min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Then*

$$\lambda_\alpha(G - w) \geq \lambda_\alpha(G) \frac{1 - 2x_w^2}{1 - x_w^2} - \alpha \frac{1 - nx_w^2}{1 - x_w^2}.$$

Proof. For simplicity, we write $x = x_w$. By the Rayleigh principle, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\alpha(G) &= \mathbf{x}^T A_\alpha(G) \mathbf{x} \\ &= \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (\alpha x_u^2 + 2(1 - \alpha)x_u x_v + \alpha x_v^2) \\ &= \sum_{uv \in E(G-w)} (\alpha x_u^2 + 2(1 - \alpha)x_u x_v + \alpha x_v^2) + \sum_{u \in N(w)} (\alpha x^2 + 2(1 - \alpha)x x_u + \alpha x_u^2) \\ &\leq (1 - x^2) \lambda_\alpha(G - w) + \alpha d(w)x^2 + 2(1 - \alpha)x \sum_{u \in N(w)} x_u + \alpha \sum_{u \in N(w)} x_u^2. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Note that $(1 - \alpha) \sum_{u \in N(w)} x_u = (\lambda_\alpha(G) - \alpha d(w))x$. Consequently, we obtain the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha d(w)x^2 + 2(1 - \alpha)x \sum_{u \in N(w)} x_u + \alpha \sum_{u \in N(w)} x_u^2 \\ & \leq \alpha d(w)x^2 + 2(\lambda_\alpha(G) - \alpha d(w))x^2 + \alpha(1 - (n - d(w))x^2) \\ & = 2\lambda_\alpha(G)x^2 - \alpha nx^2 + \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (1), we obtain

$$\lambda_\alpha(G - w) \geq \lambda_\alpha(G) \frac{1 - 2x^2}{1 - x^2} - \alpha \frac{1 - nx^2}{1 - x^2}.$$

□

Lemma 17. *Let \mathcal{G} be a hereditary graph family. For $r \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, if $\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n) > (1 - \frac{1}{r})n - (1 - \frac{1}{r})$, then the limit*

$$\pi_\alpha(\mathcal{G}) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n}$$

exists, and it satisfies

$$\pi_\alpha(\mathcal{G}) \leq \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n - 1}.$$

Proof. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$ satisfy $\lambda_\alpha(G) = \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n)$, and let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a non-negative unit eigenvector of $A_\alpha(G)$ corresponding to $\lambda_\alpha(G)$. Suppose $w \in V(G)$ such that $x_w = \min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Since \mathcal{G} is hereditary, $G - w \in \mathcal{G}_{n-1}$, which implies that $\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_{n-1}) \geq \lambda_\alpha(G - w)$. For simplicity, let $x = x_w$. Note that $x^2 \leq \frac{1}{n}$. By Lemma 16, we obtain

$$\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_{n-1}) \geq \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n) \frac{1 - 2x^2}{1 - x^2} - \alpha \frac{1 - nx^2}{1 - x^2}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_{n-1})}{n - 2} & \geq \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n - 1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n - 2} \right) \frac{1 - 2x^2}{1 - x^2} - \alpha \frac{1 - nx^2}{(n - 2)(1 - x^2)} \\ & = \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n - 1} \left(1 + \frac{1 - nx^2}{(n - 2)(1 - x^2)} \right) - \alpha \frac{1 - nx^2}{(n - 2)(1 - x^2)} \\ & \geq \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n - 1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds as $\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n) > (1 - \frac{1}{r})n - (1 - \frac{1}{r})$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r}$.

Therefore, $\frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_{n-1})}{n-2} \geq \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n-1} > 0$. This implies that the limit $\pi_\alpha(\mathcal{G}) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n}$ exists. Moreover, $\pi_\alpha(\mathcal{G}) \leq \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n-1}$. □

4 Proofs of Theorems 9 and 10

Lemma 18. For $r \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, let G be a graph on n vertices, and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a non-negative unit eigenvector of $A_\alpha(G)$ corresponding to $\lambda_\alpha(G)$. Denote $x = \min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $\delta = \delta(G)$. If $x > 0$, then

$$\lambda_\alpha(G) \leq \alpha\delta + (1 - \alpha)\sqrt{\delta^2 + \left(\frac{1}{nx^2} - 1\right)n\delta}.$$

Proof. Let $u \in V(G)$ such that $d(u) = \delta$. According to the eigenvector equation with respect to u , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{1 - \alpha}(\lambda_\alpha(G) - \alpha\delta)x_u = \sum_{v \in N(u)} x_v.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \alpha}\right)^2 (\lambda_\alpha(G) - \alpha\delta)^2 x^2 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{1 - \alpha}\right)^2 (\lambda_\alpha(G) - \alpha\delta)^2 x_u^2 \\ &\leq \delta \sum_{v \in N(u)} x_v^2 \\ &\leq \delta(1 - (n - \delta)x^2), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\lambda_\alpha(G) \leq \alpha\delta + (1 - \alpha)\sqrt{\delta^2 + \left(\frac{1}{nx^2} - 1\right)n\delta}.$$

□

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs with $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = r + 1 \geq 3$, and let \mathcal{G} denote the collection of all \mathcal{F} -free graphs. Clearly, \mathcal{G} is a hereditary graph family, and $\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n) = \text{ex}_\alpha(n, \mathcal{F})$. Note that the r -partite Turán graph $T_{n,r} \in \mathcal{G}_n$. By Lemma 15, we thus have

$$\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n) \geq \lambda_\alpha(T_{n,r}) \geq \frac{2e(T_{n,r})}{n} \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)n - \frac{r}{4n}.$$

Furthermore, Lemma 17 guarantees the existence of the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{ex}_\alpha(n, \mathcal{F})}{n}$. We denote this limit as $\pi'_\alpha(\mathcal{F})$, so that $\pi'_\alpha(\mathcal{F}) = \pi_\alpha(\mathcal{G})$.

Lemma 19. For $r \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs with $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = r + 1 \geq 3$. Then $\pi'_\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \geq \pi(\mathcal{F})$. Furthermore, if $\pi'_\alpha(\mathcal{F}) > 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, then $\pi'_\alpha(\mathcal{F}) = \pi(\mathcal{F})$.

Proof. We first prove that $\pi'_\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \geq \pi(\mathcal{F})$. Let $G \in \text{Ex}(n, \mathcal{F})$. By Lemma 15,

$$\frac{\text{ex}_\alpha(n, \mathcal{F})}{n} \geq \frac{\lambda_\alpha(G)}{n} \geq \frac{2\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})}{n^2},$$

which implies that $\pi'_\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \geq \pi(\mathcal{F})$.

Now, suppose $\pi'_\alpha(\mathcal{F}) > 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. We will show $\pi'_\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \leq \pi(\mathcal{F})$, thus finishing the proof. Clearly, $\pi'_\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \leq 1$. So we assume $\pi'_\alpha(\mathcal{F}) = 1 - \frac{1}{r} + \varepsilon$, where $0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{r}$. Let \mathcal{G} be the collection of all \mathcal{F} -free graphs. Let $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$ such that $\lambda_\alpha(G_n) = \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n)$. Suppose $\mathbf{x}^{(n)} = (x_1^{(n)}, \dots, x_n^{(n)})$ is a non-negative unit eigenvector of $A_\alpha(G_n)$ corresponding to $\lambda_\alpha(G_n)$. Denote $x^{(n)} = \min \{x_1^{(n)}, \dots, x_n^{(n)}\}$.

Claim 20. *There exist infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$(x^{(n)})^2 > \frac{1}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ln n}\right) > 0.$$

Suppose, for contradiction, that there exists some n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$0 \leq (x^{(n)})^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ln n}\right).$$

Note that \mathcal{G} is a hereditary graph family. By Lemma 16, we thus have

$$\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_{n-1}) \geq \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n) \frac{1 - 2(x^{(n)})^2}{1 - (x^{(n)})^2} - \alpha \frac{1 - n(x^{(n)})^2}{1 - (x^{(n)})^2}.$$

Moreover, since $\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n) \geq \lambda_\alpha(T_{n,r}) \geq (1 - \frac{1}{r})n - \frac{r}{4n}$, it follows from Lemma 17 that $\frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n-1} \geq \pi_\alpha(\mathcal{G}) = \pi'_\alpha(\mathcal{F}) = 1 - \frac{1}{r} + \varepsilon$. Now, let $n \geq n_0$ and let $x = x^{(n)}$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_{n-1})}{n-2} &\geq \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n-2}\right) \frac{1 - 2x^2}{1 - x^2} - \alpha \frac{1 - nx^2}{(n-2)(1 - x^2)} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n-1} \left(1 + \left(1 - \alpha \frac{n-1}{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n)}\right) \frac{1 - nx^2}{(n-2)(1 - x^2)}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon(1 - nx^2)}{(n-2)(1 - x^2)}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{n \ln n}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality holds as $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r}$ and $\frac{n-1}{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n)} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{r} + \varepsilon}$, and the last inequality holds as $x^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ln n}\right)$. Thus, for any $i \geq n_0$, the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_{i-1})}{i-2} - \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_i)}{i-1} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{i \ln i} \cdot \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_i)}{i-1}.$$

Summing both sides over i from n_0 to $2n$, we further obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_{n_0-1})}{n_0-2} - \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_{2n})}{2n-1} &= \sum_{i=n_0}^{2n} \left(\frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_{i-1})}{i-2} - \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_i)}{i-1} \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=n_0}^{2n} \frac{\varepsilon}{i \ln i} \cdot \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_i)}{i-1} \\ &\geq \varepsilon \pi'_\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \sum_{i=n_0}^{2n} \frac{1}{i \ln i}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds as $\frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_i)}{i-1} \geq \pi_\alpha(\mathcal{G}) = \pi'_\alpha(\mathcal{F})$. Taking n sufficiently large, we get a contradiction as $\sum_{i=n_0}^{2n} \frac{1}{i \ln i}$ diverges. This completes the proof of Claim 1. \square

By Claim 1, there exists an infinite sequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of positive integers such that for each $n \in \{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$,

$$(x^{(n)})^2 > \frac{1}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ln n} \right) > 0.$$

In light of Lemma 18, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda_\alpha(G_n)}{n} &\leq \frac{\alpha \delta(G_n)}{n} + (1-\alpha) \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta(G_n)}{n} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{n(x^{(n)})^2} - 1 \right) \frac{\delta(G_n)}{n}} \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha \delta(G_n)}{n} + (1-\alpha) \left(\frac{\delta(G_n)}{n} + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\ln n}} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})}{n^2} + (1-\alpha) \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\ln n}} - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\pi'_\alpha(\mathcal{F}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_\alpha(G_n)}{n} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})}{n^2} + (1-\alpha) \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\ln n}} - 1 \right) \right) = \pi(\mathcal{F}).$$

Thus, $\pi'_\alpha(\mathcal{F}) = \pi(\mathcal{F})$. The proof is complete. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 9.

Proof of Theorem 9. It suffices to show that $\pi'_\alpha(\mathcal{F}) = 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. We prove the assertion by contradiction. Recall that $\pi'_\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \geq \pi(\mathcal{F}) = 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. If $\pi'_\alpha(\mathcal{F}) > 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, then by Lemma 19, we deduce that $\pi'_\alpha(\mathcal{F}) = \pi(\mathcal{F}) = 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\pi'_\alpha(\mathcal{F}) = \pi(\mathcal{F}) = 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. This completes the proof. \square

Next, we give the proof of Theorem 10.

Proof of Theorem 10. We first consider the case where \mathcal{F} does not contain $K_{1,k} \cup sK_1$ for any $k \geq 1, s \geq 0$. In this case, $K_{1,n-1}$ is \mathcal{F} -free. Let $G \in \text{Ex}_\alpha(n, \mathcal{F})$. By Lemma 14, we have $\lambda_\alpha(G) \geq \lambda_\alpha(K_{1,n-1}) \geq \alpha(n-1)$. Since $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = 2$, there exists a number $t > 0$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F \subset K_{t,t}$. By the well-known Kővári-Sós-Turán theorem, $\text{ex}(n, K_{t,t}) = O(n^{2-\frac{1}{t}})$. Therefore, by Lemma 14, we obtain

$$\alpha(n-1) \leq \lambda_\alpha(G) \leq \alpha\Delta(G) + (1-\alpha)\lambda(G) \leq \alpha(n-1) + (1-\alpha)\sqrt{2e(G)} = \alpha n + o(n).$$

Then $\text{ex}_\alpha(n, \mathcal{F}) = (\alpha + o(1))n$. Next, we assume \mathcal{F} contains $K_{1,k} \cup sK_1$ for some $k \geq 1, s \geq 0$. Then $\Delta(G) \leq k-1$. It follows from Lemma 14 that

$$\lambda_\alpha(G) \leq \alpha\Delta(G) + (1-\alpha)\lambda(G) \leq \Delta(G) \leq k-1.$$

Thus, $\text{ex}_\alpha(n, \mathcal{F}) = O(1)$. If $\mathcal{F} = \{K_{1,k}\}$, then $K_k \cup I_{n-k}$ is \mathcal{F} -free. Thus $\lambda_\alpha(G) \geq \lambda_\alpha(K_k \cup I_{n-k}) = k-1$. Hence, $\text{ex}_\alpha(n, \mathcal{F}) = k-1$. The proof is complete. \square

5 Proof of Theorem 11

5.1 Some auxiliary lemmas

Keevash, Lenz, and Mubayi [10] established a criterion that allows spectral extremal problems involving the p -spectral radius of hypergraphs to be deduced from their corresponding hypergraph Turán problems. Zheng, Li, and Li [23] later proposed a similar criterion for the signless Laplacian spectral radius. Motivated by these works, we develop a criterion for the α -spectral radius, which reduces α -spectral Turán-type problems to classical extremal problems satisfying the degree-stable property.

Theorem 21. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs with $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = r+1 \geq 3$. Suppose $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, $\sigma < \frac{\varepsilon^3}{7}$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r} - \varepsilon$. Let \mathcal{G}'_n be the set of all \mathcal{F} -free graphs on n vertices with minimum degree larger than $(\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)n$. Suppose that there exists $N > 0$ such that for every $n > N$, we have*

$$|\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F}) - \text{ex}(n-1, \mathcal{F}) - \pi(\mathcal{F})n| \leq \sigma n \tag{2}$$

and

$$\left| \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_n) - \frac{2\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})}{n} \right| \leq \sigma. \tag{3}$$

Then there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that for any \mathcal{F} -free graph G on $n \geq n_0$ vertices, we have

$$\lambda_\alpha(G) \leq \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_n).$$

In addition, if the equality holds, then $G \in \mathcal{G}'_n$.

Now we prove Theorem 21. Let G be an \mathcal{F} -free graph on n vertices. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a non-negative unit eigenvector of $A_\alpha(G)$ corresponding to $\lambda_\alpha(G)$. Suppose $w \in V(G)$ such that $x = x_w = \min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Let $\delta = \delta(G)$.

From (3), it is readily seen that $\frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_n)}{n} - \frac{2\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})}{n^2} = o(1)$. Recalling that $\pi(\mathcal{F}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})}{n^2}$, this immediately implies

$$\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_n) = (\pi(\mathcal{F}) + o(1))n. \quad (4)$$

Clearly, $\delta(T_{n,r}) \geq (1 - 1/r)n - 1 > (1 - 1/r - \varepsilon)n$, and $T_{n,r}$ is \mathcal{F} -free. Thus, $T_{n,r} \in \mathcal{G}'_n$. Combining Lemma 15 with the known lower bound $e(T_{n,r}) \geq \frac{r-1}{2r}n^2 - \frac{r}{8}$, we deduce

$$\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_n) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)n - \frac{r}{4n}. \quad (5)$$

Lemma 22. $\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_n) \geq \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_{n-1}) + \pi(\mathcal{F}) - 5\sigma$.

Proof. By the triangle inequality, combining (2) and (3), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_n) - \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_{n-1}) - \pi(\mathcal{F}) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{2\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})}{n} - \frac{2\text{ex}(n-1, \mathcal{F})}{n-1} - \pi(\mathcal{F}) \right| + 2\sigma \\ & = \left| \frac{2}{n}(\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F}) - \text{ex}(n-1, \mathcal{F}) - \pi(\mathcal{F})n) + \pi(\mathcal{F}) - \frac{2\text{ex}(n-1, \mathcal{F})}{n(n-1)} \right| + 2\sigma \\ & \leq \left| \pi(\mathcal{F}) - \frac{2\text{ex}(n-1, \mathcal{F})}{n(n-1)} \right| + 4\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\text{ex}(n-1, \mathcal{F})}{n(n-1)} = \pi(\mathcal{F})$. Thus, for sufficiently large n , we have

$$\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_n) \geq \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_{n-1}) + \pi(\mathcal{F}) - 5\sigma.$$

□

Lemma 23. $x^2 \leq \frac{\delta(1-\alpha)^2}{(\lambda_\alpha(G) - \alpha\delta)^2 + \delta(n-\delta)(1-\alpha)^2}$.

Proof. Let $u \in V(G)$ such that $d(u) = \delta$. According to the eigenvector equation with respect to the vertex u , we get

$$(\lambda_\alpha(G) - \alpha\delta)x_u = (1 - \alpha) \sum_{v \in N(u)} x_v.$$

Combining this with Power Mean inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha} (\lambda_\alpha(G) - \alpha\delta)x \right)^2 & \leq \left(\sum_{v \in N(u)} x_v \right)^2 \leq \delta \sum_{v \in N(u)} x_v^2 \\ & \leq \delta (1 - (n - \delta)x^2), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$x^2 \leq \frac{\delta(1-\alpha)^2}{(\lambda_\alpha(G) - \alpha\delta)^2 + \delta(n-\delta)(1-\alpha)^2}.$$

□

Lemma 24. *If $\lambda_\alpha(G) \geq \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_n)$ and $\delta \leq (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)n$, then for sufficiently large n , we have*

$$x^2 < \frac{1 - \varepsilon^2}{n}.$$

Proof. If $\delta = 0$, then $x = 0$, the result follows. In the following, we assume $\delta \geq 1$. Set $\theta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. By the assumption that $\lambda_\alpha(G) \geq \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_n)$ and (5), we get

$$\lambda_\alpha(G) \geq \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_n) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)n - \frac{r}{4n} \geq (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \theta)n. \quad (6)$$

Let

$$f(y, z) = \frac{1}{y}(z - \alpha y)^2 + (n - y)(1 - \alpha)^2,$$

where $1 \leq y \leq (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)n$ and $z \geq (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \theta)n$.

A straightforward calculation shows

$$\frac{\partial f(y, z)}{\partial y} = -\left(\frac{z^2}{y^2} + 1 - 2\alpha\right) \text{ and } \frac{\partial f(y, z)}{\partial z} = 2\left(\frac{z}{y} - \alpha\right),$$

which implies that $\frac{\partial f(y, z)}{\partial y} \leq 0$ and $\frac{\partial f(y, z)}{\partial z} > 0$ for $1 \leq y \leq (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)n$ and $z \geq (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \theta)n$.

Combining this with (6) and assumption $\delta \leq (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)n$, we get

$$f(\delta, \lambda_\alpha(G)) \geq f((\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)n, (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \theta)n).$$

By Lemma 23, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 n &\leq \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{f(\delta, \lambda_\alpha(G))} n \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{f((\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)n, (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \theta)n)} n \\ &= \frac{(1-\alpha)^2(\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)}{((1-\alpha)(\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon) + \varepsilon - \theta)^2 + (1-\alpha)^2(\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)(1 - \pi(\mathcal{F}) + \varepsilon)} \\ &= \frac{(1-\alpha)^2(\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)}{(1-\alpha)^2(\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon) + 2(1-\alpha)(\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)(\varepsilon - \theta) + (\varepsilon - \theta)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2(\varepsilon - \theta)}{1-\alpha}} < 1 - \varepsilon^2, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof. □

Lemma 25. Suppose G satisfies $\lambda_\alpha(G) \geq \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_n)$ and $x^2 < \frac{1-\varepsilon^2}{n}$. Then, for sufficiently large n , we have

$$\lambda_\alpha(G - w) \geq \lambda_\alpha(G) \left(1 - \frac{1 - \varepsilon^3}{n - 1}\right) \quad (7)$$

and

$$\lambda_\alpha(G - w) > \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_{n-1}). \quad (8)$$

Proof. By Lemma 16, we obtain

$$\lambda_\alpha(G - w) \geq \lambda_\alpha(G) \frac{1 - 2x^2}{1 - x^2} - \alpha \frac{1 - nx^2}{1 - x^2}.$$

Combining this with the assumption $\lambda_\alpha(G) \geq \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_n)$, (5), and $\alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r} - \varepsilon$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda_\alpha(G - w)}{n - 2} &\geq \frac{\lambda_\alpha(G)}{n - 1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n - 2}\right) \frac{1 - 2x^2}{1 - x^2} - \alpha \frac{1 - nx^2}{(n - 2)(1 - x^2)} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_\alpha(G)}{n - 1} \left(1 + \left(1 - \alpha \frac{n - 1}{\lambda_\alpha(G)}\right) \frac{1 - nx^2}{(n - 2)(1 - x^2)}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda_\alpha(G)}{n - 1} \left(1 + \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} - \varepsilon\right) \frac{n - 1}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)n - \frac{r}{4n}}\right) \cdot \frac{1 - nx^2}{(n - 2)(1 - x^2)}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda_\alpha(G)}{n - 1} \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \frac{1}{r}} \cdot \frac{1 - nx^2}{(n - 2)(1 - x^2)}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda_\alpha(G)}{n - 1} \left(1 + \frac{r\varepsilon^3}{(r - 1)(n - 1)}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where the last inequality holds as $x^2 < \frac{1-\varepsilon^2}{n}$.

Then, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\alpha(G - w) &\geq \lambda_\alpha(G) \left(1 - \frac{1}{n - 1}\right) \left(1 + \frac{r\varepsilon^3}{(r - 1)(n - 1)}\right) \\ &\geq \lambda_\alpha(G) \left(1 - \frac{1 - \varepsilon^3}{n - 1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Next we prove (8). By (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\lambda_\alpha(G-w)}{n-2} &\geq \frac{\lambda_\alpha(G)}{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1-nx^2}{(n-2)(1-x^2)}\right) - \alpha \frac{1-nx^2}{(n-2)(1-x^2)} \\
&= \frac{\lambda_\alpha(G)}{n-1} + \left(\frac{\lambda_\alpha(G)}{n-1} - \alpha\right) \frac{1-nx^2}{(n-2)(1-x^2)} \\
&\geq \frac{\lambda_\alpha(G)}{n-1} + \left(\frac{(1-\frac{1}{r})(n-1)}{n-1} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} - \varepsilon\right)\right) \frac{1-nx^2}{(n-2)(1-x^2)} \\
&= \frac{\lambda_\alpha(G)}{n-1} + \frac{\varepsilon(1-nx^2)}{(n-2)(1-x^2)} \\
&> \frac{\lambda_\alpha(G)}{n-1} + \frac{\varepsilon^3}{n-2}, \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality holds as $\lambda_\alpha(G) \geq (1 - \frac{1}{r})(n-1)$ and $\alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r} - \varepsilon$, and the last inequality holds as $x^2 < \frac{1-\varepsilon^2}{n}$.

For sufficiently large n , by (4), we have $\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_{n-1}) \leq (\pi(\mathcal{F}) + \sigma)(n-1)$. Combining this with (10), Lemma 22 and the assumption $\lambda_\alpha(G) \geq \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_n)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_\alpha(G-w) &\geq \lambda_\alpha(G) \left(1 - \frac{1}{n-1}\right) + \varepsilon^3 \\
&\geq (\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_{n-1}) + \pi(\mathcal{F}) - 5\sigma) \left(1 - \frac{1}{n-1}\right) + \varepsilon^3 \\
&\geq \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_{n-1}) - 7\sigma + \varepsilon^3 \\
&> \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_{n-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds as $\sigma < \frac{\varepsilon^3}{7}$. The proof is complete. \square

The following facts, proved by Zheng, Li, and Li [23], will be employed in our proof

Fact 1. If $0 < x < \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 < a < 1$, then $\ln(1-ax) + ax + x^2 > 0$.

Fact 2. If $x > 1$, then $\frac{1}{x} < \ln x - \ln(x-1)$ and $\frac{1}{x^2} < \frac{1}{x-1} - \frac{1}{x}$.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 21.

Proof of Theorem 21. We prove by contradiction. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an \mathcal{F} -free graph G of order n such that $\lambda_\alpha(G) \geq \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_n)$ and $G \notin \mathcal{G}'_n$. Let N be a sufficiently large integer such that Lemma 24 and Lemma 25 hold for $n > N$. Moreover, according to (5), we assume $\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_n) \geq (1-\varepsilon)\pi(\mathcal{F})n$ for $n > N$. Let $n_0 = \left(\frac{N\varepsilon}{(1-\varepsilon)\pi(\mathcal{F})}\right)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}}$. Note that $n_0 > N$. Let $\mathbf{x}^{(n)} = (x_1^{(n)}, \dots, x_n^{(n)})$ be a non-negative unit eigenvector of $A_\alpha(G)$

corresponding to $\lambda_\alpha(G)$. Suppose $w^{(n)} \in V(G)$ such that $x_{w^{(n)}} = \min \{x_1^{(n)}, \dots, x_n^{(n)}\}$. Recall that $\lambda_\alpha(G) \geq \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_n)$ and $\delta(G) \leq (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)n$. Then, by Lemma 24, $x_{w^{(n)}}^2 < \frac{1-\varepsilon^2}{n}$. For $n \geq n_0$, denote $G_n = G$ and $G_{n-1} = G_n - w^{(n)}$. Then, it follows from Lemma 25 that

$$\lambda_\alpha(G_{n-1}) \geq \lambda_\alpha(G_n) \left(1 - \frac{1 - \varepsilon^3}{n - 1}\right)$$

and

$$\lambda_\alpha(G_{n-1}) > \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_{n-1}).$$

Since $\lambda_\alpha(G_{n-1}) > \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_{n-1})$, $G_{n-1} \notin \mathcal{G}'_{n-1}$. So $\delta(G_{n-1}) \leq (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)(n - 1)$. Let $\mathbf{x}^{(n-1)} = (x_1^{(n-1)}, \dots, x_{n-1}^{(n-1)})$ be a non-negative unit eigenvector of $A_\alpha(G_{n-1})$ corresponding to $\lambda_\alpha(G_{n-1})$. Suppose $w^{(n-1)} \in V(G_{n-1})$ such that $x_{w^{(n-1)}} = \min \{x_1^{(n-1)}, \dots, x_{n-1}^{(n-1)}\}$. Denote $G_{n-2} = G_{n-1} - w^{(n-1)}$. Continue this operation, we can obtain a sequence of graphs G_n, G_{n-1}, \dots , which satisfies

$$\lambda_\alpha(G_{i-1}) \geq \lambda_\alpha(G_i) \left(1 - \frac{1 - \varepsilon^3}{i - 1}\right)$$

and

$$\lambda_\alpha(G_{i-1}) > \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_{i-1})$$

for each $n \geq i > N$.

Then, for $i = N + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\alpha(G_N) &\geq \lambda_\alpha(G_{N+1}) \left(1 - \frac{1 - \varepsilon^3}{N}\right) \\ &\geq \lambda_\alpha(G_n) \prod_{i=N+1}^n \left(1 - \frac{1 - \varepsilon^3}{i - 1}\right) \\ &\geq \lambda_\alpha(G_n) \exp \left(- \sum_{i=N+1}^n \left(\frac{1 - \varepsilon^3}{i - 1} + \left(\frac{1}{i - 1} \right)^2 \right) \right) \\ &\geq \lambda_\alpha(G_n) \exp \left(-(1 - \varepsilon^3) \ln \frac{n}{N - 1} - 1 \right) \\ &\geq (1 - \varepsilon) \pi(\mathcal{F}) n \left(\frac{n}{N - 1} \right)^{-(1 - \varepsilon^3)} e^{-1} \\ &\geq (1 - \varepsilon) \pi(\mathcal{F}) n^{\varepsilon^3} e^{-1} \\ &\geq (1 - \varepsilon) \pi(\mathcal{F}) n_0^{\varepsilon^3} e^{-1} = N, \end{aligned}$$

where the third inequality holds as Fact 1 and the fourth inequality holds as Fact 2. This contradicts the fact that $\lambda_\alpha(G_N) \leq N - 1$. The proof is complete. \square

5.2 Proof of Theorem 11

Andrásfai, Erdős, and Sós [1] showed that K_{r+1} is degree-stable with respect to the family of r -partite graphs. Erdős and Simonovits [7] extended the result to all color-critical graphs.

Lemma 26 (Erdős and Simonovits [7]). *Let F be a color-critical graph with $\chi(F) = r + 1 \geq 3$. There is n_0 such that if G is an F -free graph on $n \geq n_0$ vertices with $\delta(G) > \frac{3r-4}{3r-1}n$, then G is r -partite.*

Next, we derive an upper bound for $\lambda_\alpha(T_{n,r})$. To this end, we first state the following lemma.

Lemma 27. *Let \mathcal{G} be a hereditary and multiplicative graph family, and let $r \geq 2$. If for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, the inequality $\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n) > (1 - \frac{1}{r})n - (1 - \frac{1}{r})$ holds, then*

$$\lambda_\alpha(G) \leq \pi_\alpha(\mathcal{G})n$$

for every $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$.

Proof. Let $H \in \mathcal{G}_n$ satisfy $\lambda_\alpha(H) = \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n)$. Since \mathcal{G} is multiplicative, $H^p \in \mathcal{G}_{pn}$ for all $p \geq 1$. Using the quotient matrix method, it can be verified that $\lambda_\alpha(H^p) = p\lambda_\alpha(H)$. Consequently,

$$\frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n} = \frac{\lambda_\alpha(H)}{n} = \frac{\lambda_\alpha(H^p)}{pn} \leq \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_{pn})}{pn}.$$

By Lemma 17 and the assumption $\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n) > (1 - \frac{1}{r})n - (1 - \frac{1}{r})$, we have $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_{pn})}{pn} = \pi_\alpha(\mathcal{G})$. For any $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$, we thus have

$$\frac{\lambda_\alpha(G)}{n} \leq \frac{\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n} \leq \pi_\alpha(\mathcal{G}),$$

which implies that $\lambda_\alpha(G) \leq \pi_\alpha(\mathcal{G})n$. □

Lemma 28. *For $r \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, we have $\lambda_\alpha(T_{n,r}) \leq (1 - \frac{1}{r})n$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{G} denote the collection of all K_{r+1} -free graphs. It is straightforward that \mathcal{G} is both hereditary and multiplicative. Since $T_{n,r} \in \mathcal{G}_n$, $\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}_n) \geq (1 - \frac{1}{r})n - \frac{r}{4n}$. By Theorem 9, $\pi_\alpha(\mathcal{G}) = 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, and thus Lemma 27 yields $\lambda_\alpha(T_{n,r}) \leq (1 - \frac{1}{r})n$. □

We are now ready to give the proof of Theorem 11.

Proof of Theorem 11. Let F be a color-critical graph with $\chi(F) = r + 1 \geq 3$, and let G be an arbitrary F -free n -vertex graph. Recall that $\text{ex}(n, F) = e(T_{n,r}) = (1 - \frac{1}{r})\frac{n^2}{2} + O(1)$ and $\pi(F) = 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\sigma < \frac{\varepsilon^3}{7}$ be two sufficiently small constants. For sufficiently large n , we have

$$|\text{ex}(n, F) - \text{ex}(n-1, F) - \pi(F)n| = O(1) \leq \sigma n.$$

Let \mathcal{G}'_n be the set of all F -free graphs on n vertices with minimum degree more than $(\pi(F) - \varepsilon)n$. Note that $1 - \frac{1}{r} - \varepsilon > \frac{3r-4}{3r-1}$ as ε is sufficiently small. Then, for sufficiently large n , every graph in \mathcal{G}'_n is an r -partite graph by Lemma 26. Clearly, $T_{n,r} \in \mathcal{G}'_n$, which implies that $\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_n) = \lambda_\alpha(T_{n,r})$ by Theorem 7. Combining with (5) and Lemma 28, we deduce that $\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_n) = \lambda_\alpha(T_{n,r}) = (1 - \frac{1}{r})n + o(1)$. Hence,

$$\left| \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_n) - \frac{2\text{ex}(n, F)}{n} \right| = o(1) \leq \sigma.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 21, $\lambda_\alpha(G) \leq \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{G}'_n)$ with equality if and only if $G = T_{n,r}$. This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the reviewers for carefully reading and the invaluable comments and suggestions, which improves the presentation greatly. This research was partially supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (grant numbers 12331012, 12571375).

References

- [1] B. Andrásfai, P. Erdős, V. T. Sós. On the connection between chromatic number, maximal clique and minimum degree of a graph. *Discrete Math.*, 8: 205–218, 1974.
- [2] J. Byrne, D. N. Desai, and M. Tait. A general theorem in spectral extremal graph theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1090/tran/9691>, 2025.
- [3] M. Chen, A. Liu, and X. Zhang. On the A_α -spectral radius of graphs without linear forests. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 450: 128005, 2023.
- [4] M. Chen, S. Li, Z. Li, Y. Yu, and X. Zhang. An A_α -Spectral Erdős-Sós Theorem. *Electron. J. Combin.* 30(3): #P3.34, 2023.
- [5] P. Erdős, A. H. Stone. On the structure of linear graphs. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.*, 52: 1087–1091, 1946.
- [6] P. Erdős, M. Simonovits. A limit theorem in graph theory. *Studia Sci. Math. Hung.*, 1: 51–57, 1966.
- [7] P. Erdős, M. Simonovits. On a valence problems in extremal graph theory. *Discrete Math.*, 5: 323–334, 1973.
- [8] M. A. A. de Freitas, V. Nikiforov, and L. Patuzzi. Maxima of the Q -index: forbidden 4-cycle and 5-cycle. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra.*, 26: 905–916, 2013.
- [9] B. He, Y. Jin, and X. Zhang. Sharp bounds for the signless Laplacian spectral radius in terms of clique number. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 438: 3851–3861, 2013.
- [10] P. Keevash, J. Lenz, and D. Mubayi. Spectral extremal problems for hypergraphs. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 28(4): 1838–1854, 2014.

- [11] G. Katona, T. Nemetz, and M. Simonovits. On a problem of Turán in the theory of graphs. *Mat. Lapok*, 15: 228–328, 1964.
- [12] S. Li, Y. Yu. On A_α spectral extrema of graphs forbidding even cycles. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 668: 11–27, 2023.
- [13] S. Li, Y. Yu, and H. Zhang. An A_α -spectral Erdős-Pósa theorem. *Discrete Math.*, 346(9): 113494, 2023.
- [14] Y. Li, W. Liu, and L. Feng, A survey on spectral conditions for some extremal graph problems. *Adv. Math. (Chinese)*, 51(2): 193–258, 2022.
- [15] V. Nikiforov. Bounds on graph eigenvalues II. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 427: 183–189, 2007.
- [16] V. Nikiforov. Spectral saturation: inverting the spectral Turán theorem. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 16(1): R33, 2009.
- [17] V. Nikiforov. A spectral Erdős-Stone-Bollobás theorem. *Comb. Probab. Comput.*, 18: 455–458, 2009.
- [18] V. Nikiforov. Merging the A - and Q -spectral theories. *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.*, 11(1): 81–107, 2017.
- [19] M. Simonovits. A method for solving extremal problems in graph theory, stability problems, In *Theory of Graphs (Proc. Colloq., Tihany, 1966)*, pp. 279–319, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [20] P. Turán. On an extremal problem in graph theory. *Mat. Fiz. Lapok*, 48: 436–452, 1941.
- [21] X. Yuan. Maxima of the Q -index: forbidden odd cycles. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 458: 207–216, 2014.
- [22] X. Yuan, Z. Shao. On the maximal α -spectral radius of graphs with given matching number. *Linear Multilinear Algebra.*, 71(10): 1681–1690, 2023.
- [23] J. Zheng, Y. Li, and H. Li. The signless Laplacian spectral Turán problems for color-critical graphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 730(1): 546–565, 2026.
- [24] J. Zheng, H. Li, and L. Su. A signless Laplacian spectral Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem. *Discrete Math.*, 349: 114665, 2026.