

# COUNTING FORESTS BY DESCENTS AND LEAVES

IRA GESSEL

Department of Mathematics  
Brandeis University  
Waltham, MA 02254-9110  
ira@cs.brandeis.edu

Submitted: January 26, 1995; Accepted: June 14, 1995

*Dedicated to Dominique Foata*

ABSTRACT. A *descent* of a rooted tree with totally ordered vertices is a vertex that is greater than at least one of its children. A *leaf* is a vertex with no children. We show that the number of forests of rooted trees on a given vertex set with  $i + 1$  leaves and  $j$  descents is equal to the number with  $j + 1$  leaves and  $i$  descents. We do this by finding a functional equation for the corresponding exponential generating function that shows that it is symmetric.

**Introduction.** By a *forest* we mean a forest of rooted labeled trees in which the labels are totally ordered. A *descent* of a tree is a vertex that is greater than at least one of its children. A *leaf* is a vertex with no children.

For a forest  $F$ , let  $d(F)$  be the number of descents of  $F$  and let  $l(F)$  be the number of leaves of  $F$ . For  $n > 0$ , let

$$u_n(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_F \alpha^{d(F)} \beta^{l(F)-1},$$

where the sum is over all forests  $F$  with vertex set  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Since a forest of rooted trees on  $[n]$  may be identified with the (unrooted) tree on  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  obtained by joining all the roots of the forest to the new vertex 0,  $u_n(\alpha, \beta)$  may also be interpreted in terms of unrooted trees rather than forests of rooted trees.

Our main result is that  $u_n$  is symmetric; i.e.,  $u_n(\alpha, \beta) = u_n(\beta, \alpha)$ . More precisely, we shall prove that the exponential generating function

$$U(x; \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(\alpha, \beta) \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

satisfies the functional equation

$$1 + U = (1 + \alpha U)(1 + \beta U)e^{x(1 - \alpha - \beta - \alpha\beta U)},$$

which implies that  $U$  is symmetric in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

We first discuss what is already known about the polynomials  $u_n(\alpha, \beta)$ . Since there are  $(n + 1)^{n-1}$  forests of rooted trees with vertex set  $[n]$  (see, e.g., Moon [8] for many proofs) we have  $u_n(1, 1) = (n + 1)^{n-1}$ . It is also known that the number of forests of rooted trees on  $[n]$  with  $i$  leaves is  $(n!/i!)S(n, n - i)$ , where  $S(n, k)$  is the Stirling number of the second kind. (See Moon [8, p. 20, Theorem 3.5] or Knuth [7; exercise 19, p. 397; solution, p. 585].) Thus

$$u_n(1, \beta) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{n!}{(i + 1)!} S(n, n - i) \beta^i.$$

A forest with only one leaf consists of a single “linear” tree, which may be viewed as a permutation, and the descents of the forest are the same as those of the permutation, so  $u_n(\alpha, 0) = A_n(\alpha)/\alpha$ , where  $A_n(\alpha)$  is the  $n$ th Eulerian polynomial [4; 9, p. 22].

A tree with no descents is called an *increasing tree* and a forest of increasing trees is called an *increasing forest*. There is a well-known bijection from increasing forests on  $[n]$  to permutations of  $[n]$  that takes leaves to descents (but we must count an extra descent at the end of the permutation); see, for example, [9, p. 25]. Thus  $u_n(0, \beta) = A_n(\beta)/\beta$ . Descents of trees seem first to have been considered in [5], where it is shown that  $u_n(\alpha, 1) = u_n(1, \alpha)$ . This result, together with the other special cases mentioned above, provided the motivation for studying  $u_n(\alpha, \beta)$ , and suggested that it might be symmetric.

**The functional equation.** Rather than counting forests directly, we first count some related objects. A *marked forest* is an ordered pair  $(F, M)$  where  $F$  is a nonempty forest and  $M$  is a set of vertices of  $F$  containing all of the descents and none of the leaves. We call the vertices in  $M$  *marked vertices*. For  $n > 0$ , let

$$c_n(\beta, \gamma) = \sum_{(F, M)} \beta^{l(F)} \gamma^{|M|}, \tag{1}$$

where the sum is over all marked forests  $(F, M)$  with vertex set  $[n]$ . Since the set of marked vertices in a marked forest consists of all the descents together with an arbitrary subset of the vertices that are neither leaves nor descents, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_n(\beta, \gamma) &= \sum_F \beta^{l(F)} \gamma^{d(F)} (1 + \gamma)^{n-l(F)-d(F)} \\ &= (1 + \gamma)^n \sum_F \left(\frac{\beta}{1 + \gamma}\right)^{l(F)} \left(\frac{\gamma}{1 + \gamma}\right)^{d(F)} \\ &= \beta(1 + \gamma)^{n-1} u_n\left(\frac{\gamma}{1 + \gamma}, \frac{\beta}{1 + \gamma}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$u_n(\alpha, \beta) = \beta^{-1} (1 - \alpha)^n c_n\left(\frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha}, \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}\right). \tag{2}$$

Now let

$$C = C(x; \beta, \gamma) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(\beta, \gamma) \frac{x^n}{n!},$$

and let

$$U(x; \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(\alpha, \beta) \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

It follows from (2) that

$$\beta U(x; \alpha, \beta) = C \left( x(1 - \alpha); \frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha}, \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right). \quad (3)$$

Next, we describe a decomposition for marked forests that allows us to count them. Let  $(F, M)$  be a marked forest and let  $V_0$  be the set of vertices  $v$  of  $F$  with the property that no (proper) ancestor of  $v$  is marked. It is clear that the induced subgraph of  $F$  on  $V_0$  is an increasing forest  $F_0$ , which we call the *initial forest* of  $F$ . Note that every leaf of  $F_0$  is either a leaf or a marked vertex of  $F$ , and that if a leaf of  $F_0$  is a marked vertex of  $F$ , then its descendants form a marked forest. Thus we can decompose any marked forest into its initial forest together with a (possibly empty) set of marked forests. This decomposition will yield a functional equation for  $C(x; \beta, \gamma)$ .

To a marked forest  $(F, M)$  we assign the weight  $\beta^{l(F)}\gamma^{|M|}$ , as in (1). Let  $F_0$  be the initial forest of  $F$  and let  $v$  be a leaf of  $F_0$ . If  $v$  is a leaf of  $F$  then  $v$  contributes a factor of  $\beta$  to the weight of  $F$ , and if  $v$  is a marked vertex of  $F$  then  $v$  and its descendants contribute to the weight of  $F$  a factor of  $\gamma$  times the weight of the marked forest made up of the descendants of  $v$ . Now let  $A_{n,i}$  be the number of increasing forests on  $[n]$  with  $i$  leaves. By the properties of exponential generating functions (see, for example, Foata [3], Goulden and Jackson [6, Chapter 3], or Bergeron, Labelle, and Leroux [1, Chapter 5]), it follows that the exponential generating function for marked forests in which the initial forest has  $n$  vertices and  $i$  leaves is

$$A_{n,i} \frac{x^n}{n!} (\beta + \gamma C)^i.$$

As noted in the introduction,  $\sum_i A_{n,i} t^i = A_n(t)$  is the  $n$ th Eulerian polynomial. Let

$$A(x; t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(t) \frac{x^n}{n!},$$

where  $A_0(t) = 1$ . Then we have

$$1 + C = \sum_{n,i=0}^{\infty} A_{n,i} \frac{x^n}{n!} (\beta + \gamma C)^i = A(x; \beta + \gamma C). \quad (4)$$

It is well known [2, p. 51, equation 14v] that the Eulerian polynomials have the exponential generating function

$$A(x; t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(t) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{1 - t}{1 - te^{(1-t)x}}. \quad (5)$$

From (3), (4), and (5) we find that  $U = U(x; \alpha, \beta)$  satisfies

$$1 + \beta U = \frac{1 - \alpha - \beta - \alpha\beta U}{1 - \alpha - \beta(1 + \alpha U)e^{x(1 - \alpha - \beta - \alpha\beta U)}}.$$

Simplifying, we obtain

$$1 + U = (1 + \alpha U)(1 + \beta U)e^{x(1 - \alpha - \beta - \alpha\beta U)}. \tag{6}$$

Since (6) is symmetric in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and determines  $U$  uniquely, it follows that  $U$  is also symmetric.

It is possible to solve (6) by Lagrange inversion to get an explicit formula for the coefficients of  $U$ , but this formula seems too complicated to be useful. If we set  $\alpha = 1$ , (6) reduces to

$$1 + \beta U = e^{x\beta(1+U)},$$

which can be solved by Lagrange inversion to give (1). If we set  $\beta = 0$  or  $\alpha = 0$  in (6), so that we are counting increasing trees by endpoints or permutations by descents,  $U$  reduces to a generating function for the Eulerian polynomials. (It differs slightly from (5) since it is normalized differently.)

**Tables.** Here are the coefficients  $u_{n,i,j}$  in  $u_n(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i,j} u_{n,i,j} \alpha^i \beta^j$  for  $n \leq 6$ .

$$n = 1 \quad \begin{array}{c|c} i \setminus j & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array}$$

$$n = 2 \quad \begin{array}{c|cc} i \setminus j & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array}$$

$$n = 3 \quad \begin{array}{c|ccc} i \setminus j & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 & 5 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$$

$$n = 4 \quad \begin{array}{c|cccc} i \setminus j & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 11 & 11 & 1 \\ 1 & 11 & 44 & 17 & 0 \\ 2 & 11 & 17 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$$

$$n = 5 \quad \begin{array}{c|ccccc} i \setminus j & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 26 & 66 & 26 & 1 \\ 1 & 26 & 237 & 288 & 49 & 0 \\ 2 & 66 & 288 & 146 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 26 & 49 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$$

$n = 6$	$i \setminus j$	0	1	2	3	4	5
	0	1	57	302	302	57	1
	1	57	1020	2718	1476	129	0
	2	302	2718	3858	922	0	0
	3	302	1476	922	0	0	0
	4	57	129	0	0	0	0
	5	1	0	0	0	0	0

## REFERENCES

1. F. Bergeron, G. Labelle, and P. Leroux, *Théorie des espèces et combinatoire des structures arborescentes*, Publications du laboratoire de combinatoire et d'informatique mathématique, 19, Université du Québec à Montréal, 1994.
2. L. Comtet, *Advanced Combinatorics*, D. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1974.
3. D. Foata, *La série génératrice exponentielle dans les problèmes d'énumération*, Presses de l'Université de Montréal, 1974.
4. D. Foata and M. P. Schützenberger, *Théorie géométrique des polynômes eulériens*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 138, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
5. I. M. Gessel and K.-Y. Wang, *A bijective approach to the permutational power of a priority queue*, preprint.
6. I. P. Goulden and D. M. Jackson, *Combinatorial Enumeration*, Wiley, New York, 1983.
7. D. E. Knuth, *Fundamental Algorithms: The Art of Computer Programming, Vol. 1*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1968.
8. J. W. Moon, *Counting Labelled Trees*, Canadian Mathematical Congress, 1970.
9. R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume 1*, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, Monterey, California, 1986.