

Packing Graphs: The packing problem solved

Yair Caro *

and

Raphael Yuster †

Department of Mathematics

University of Haifa-ORANIM, Tivon 36006, Israel.

AMS Subject Classification: 05B05,05B40 (primary),
05B30,51E05,94C30,62K05,62K10 (secondary).

Submitted: November 28, 1996; Accepted: December 2, 1996

Dedicated to the memory of Paul Erdős

Abstract

For every fixed graph H , we determine the H -packing number of K_n , for all $n > n_0(H)$. We prove that if h is the number of edges of H , and $\gcd(H) = d$ is the greatest common divisor of the degrees of H , then there exists $n_0 = n_0(H)$, such that for all $n > n_0$,

$$P(H, K_n) = \lfloor \frac{dn}{2h} \lfloor \frac{n-1}{d} \rfloor \rfloor,$$

unless $n \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ and $n(n-1)/d \equiv b \pmod{2h/d}$ where $1 \leq b \leq d$, in which case

$$P(H, K_n) = \lfloor \frac{dn}{2h} \lfloor \frac{n-1}{d} \rfloor \rfloor - 1.$$

Our main tool in proving this result is the deep decomposition result of Gustavsson.

1 Introduction

All graphs considered here are finite, undirected and simple. For the standard graph-theoretic terminology the reader is referred to [Bo]. Let H be a graph without isolated vertices. An H -packing of a graph G is a set $L = \{G_1, \dots, G_s\}$ of edge-disjoint subgraphs of G , where each subgraph

*e-mail: zeac603@uvm.haifa.ac.il

†e-mail: raphy@math.tau.ac.il

is isomorphic to H . The H -packing number of G , denoted by $P(H, G)$, is the maximum cardinality of an H -packing of G . An H -covering of a graph G is a set $L = \{G_1, \dots, G_s\}$ of subgraphs of G , where each subgraph is isomorphic to H , where every edge of G appears in at least one member of L . The H -covering number of G , denoted by $C(H, G)$, is the minimum cardinality of an H -covering of G . G has an H -decomposition if it has an H -packing which is also an H -covering. The H -packing and H -covering problems are, in general, NP-Complete as shown by Dor and Tarsi [DoTa]. In case $G = K_n$, the H -covering and H -packing problems have attracted much attention in the last forty years, and numerous papers were written on these subjects (cf. [Br95,Ha,MiMu,CoDi,StKaMu] for various surveys). Special cases of these problems gained particular interest.

1. $P(K_k, K_n)$ which has been linked to the various Johnson-Schonheim bounds in Coding Theory [BiEt,BrShSlSm,Sc,Jo]. It is known that $P(K_k, K_n)$ is the maximum size of the binary codes of word-length n , constant weight k , and distance $2k - 2$ or $2k - 3$. Despite of much effort only the cases $k = 3$ [and $k = 4$ [2] Sc and $k = 4$ [2]] are solved. The case $k = 5$ is still open [MuYi].
2. $P(C_k, K_n)$ which is the cycle-system packing problem, solved completely only for $k = 3, k = 4$ [and $k = 5$ [17] ScBi and $k = 5$ [17]].
3. The packing-covering conjecture for trees saying that $P(T, K_n) = \lfloor \binom{n}{2}/h \rfloor$ and $C(T, K_n) = \lceil \binom{n}{2}/h \rceil$ (h is the number of edges of T) provided n is sufficiently large. This conjecture has been proved for all trees on at most 7 vertices [Ro83,Ro93].

A central result concerning H -decompositions of K_n is the theorem of Wilson [Wi] stating that for sufficiently large n , K_n has an H -decomposition if and only if $e(H) \mid \binom{n}{2}$ and $\gcd(H) \mid n - 1$ where $\gcd(H)$ is the greatest common divisor of the degrees of H . Clearly, if the conditions in Wilson's Theorem hold, then the packing and covering numbers are known.

In this paper we solve all of the conjectures above, for large n , as special consequences of a much more general result. In fact, for every H , we determine $P(H, K_n)$, for all $n \geq n_0(H)$.

Theorem 1.1 *Let H be a graph with h edges, and let $\gcd(H)=d$. Then there exists $n_0 = n_0(H)$, such that for all $n > n_0$,*

$$P(H, K_n) = \lfloor \frac{dn}{2h} \lfloor \frac{n-1}{d} \rfloor \rfloor,$$

unless $n \equiv 1 \pmod d$ and $n(n-1)/d \equiv b \pmod{(2h/d)}$ where $1 \leq b \leq d$, in which case

$$P(H, K_n) = \lfloor \frac{dn}{2h} \lfloor \frac{n-1}{d} \rfloor \rfloor - 1.$$

2 Proof of the main result

As mentioned in the abstract, our main tool is the following result of Gustavsson [\[Gu\]](#):

Lemma 2.1 (Gustavsson's Theorem [\[Gu\]](#)) *Let H be a graph with h edges. There exists $N = N(H)$, and $\epsilon = \epsilon(H) > 0$, such that for all $n > N$, If G is a graph on n vertices and m edges, with $\delta(G) \geq n(1 - \epsilon)$, $\gcd(H) \mid \gcd(G)$, and $h \mid m$, then G has an H -decomposition. $\square$*

It is worth mentioning that $N(H)$ in Gustavsson's Theorem is a rather huge constant; in fact, it is a highly exponential function of h .

A sequence of n positive integers $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$ is called *graphic* if there exists an n -vertex graph whose degree sequence is $\{d_1, \dots, d_n\}$. We shall need the following theorem of Erdős and Gallai [\[ErGa\]](#), which gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a sequence to be graphic.

Lemma 2.2 (Erdős and Gallai [\[ErGa\]](#)) *The sequence $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$ of positive integers is graphic if and only if its sum is even and for every $t = 1, \dots, n$*

$$\sum_{i=1}^t d_i \leq t(t-1) + \sum_{i=t+1}^n \min\{t, d_i\}. \quad (1)$$

$\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Given H , we choose $n_0 = n_0(H) = \max\{N(H), \frac{2h}{\epsilon(H)}, 8h\}$, where $N(H)$ and $\epsilon(H)$ are as in Lemma 2.1. Now let $n > n_0$. Let $n - 1 = a \bmod d$, where $0 \leq a \leq d - 1$. Let $n(n - 1 - a)/d = b \bmod (2h/d)$, where $0 \leq b \leq 2h/d - 1$. Note that since $d = \gcd(H)$ and $2h$ is the sum of the degrees of H , $2h/d$ must be an integer. Also note that $(n - 1 - a)/d$ is an integer, and so b is well-defined. We shall use the obvious fact that $h \geq d(d + 1)/2$, since $\delta(H) \geq d$. This means that

$$n > n_0 \geq 8h > 4d^2 > (a + d)^2.$$

Another useful fact is that $bd + na$ is even since if d is even then a and n have different parity, and if d is odd then $2h/d$ is even and so if b is odd then a and n are both odd, and if b is even then either n is even or a is even. In the first part of the proof we shall give a lower bound for $P(H, K_n)$, and in the second part we shall give an upper bound for $P(H, K_n)$, and notice that the lower and upper bounds coincide.

Proving a lower bound for $P(H, K_n)$: We shall first assume that $a \neq 0$. Our first goal is to show the existence of an n -vertex graph which has b vertices with degree $d + a$, and $n - b$ vertices with degree a . For this purpose we shall use Lemma 2.2, with $d_i = a + d$ for $i = 1, \dots, b$ and $d_i = a$

for $i = b + 1, \dots, n$. Notice first that the sum of the sequence is $bd + na$ and this number is even as mentioned above. Let $1 \leq t \leq a + d$. In this case, (1) holds since

$$\sum_{i=1}^t d_i \leq t(a + d) = t(t - 1) + t(a + d - t + 1) \leq t(t - 1) + (a + d)(a + d - 1) =$$

$$t(t - 1) + (a + d)^2 - (a + d) < t(t - 1) + n - (a + d) \leq t(t - 1) + (n - t) \leq t(t - 1) + \sum_{i=t+1}^n \min\{t, d_i\}.$$

For $a + d \leq t \leq n$ we shall prove that (1) holds by induction on t , where the base case $t = a + d$ was proved above. If $t > a + d$ we use the induction hypothesis to derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^t d_i &= d_t + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} d_i \leq d_t + (t - 1)(t - 2) + \sum_{i=t}^n \min\{t, d_i\} = \\ &= d_t + \min\{t, d_t\} - 2(t - 1) + t(t - 1) + \sum_{i=t+1}^n \min\{t, d_i\} \\ &\leq (a + d) + (a + d) - 2(a + d) + t(t - 1) + \sum_{i=t+1}^n \min\{t, d_i\} = t(t - 1) + \sum_{i=t+1}^n \min\{t, d_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exists a graph G^* having b vertices with degree $d + a$ and $n - b$ vertices with degree a . Consider $G = K_n \setminus G^*$. Clearly, $d \mid \gcd(G)$, and G has m edges where

$$m = \binom{n}{2} - \frac{bd + na}{2} = \frac{d}{2} \left(\frac{n(n - 1 - a)}{d} - b \right) = 0 \pmod{h}.$$

Also note that $\delta(G) \geq n - 1 - a - d = n(1 - \frac{1+a+d}{n}) \geq n(1 - \epsilon(H))$, since $n > n_0 \geq \frac{2h}{\epsilon(H)}$. Thus, G satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.1, and therefore G has an H -decomposition. This means that

$$P(H, K_n) \geq P(H, G) = \frac{m}{h} = \frac{d}{2h} \left(\frac{n(n - 1 - a)}{d} - b \right) = \lfloor \frac{dn}{2h} \lfloor \frac{n - 1}{d} \rfloor \rfloor.$$

We now deal with the case $a = 0$. If $b = 0$ then K_n has an H -decomposition according to Wilson's Theorem [Wi], (or according to Lemma 2.1), so, trivially,

$$P(H, K_n) = \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{h} = \frac{dn}{2h} \frac{n - 1}{d} = \lfloor \frac{dn}{2h} \lfloor \frac{n - 1}{d} \rfloor \rfloor.$$

If $b > d$ we may delete from K_n a subgraph G^* on b vertices which is d regular (this is doable since $bd + na = bd$ is even). As in the case where $a \neq 0$, the remaining graph $G = K_n \setminus G^*$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.1 and therefore

$$P(H, K_n) \geq P(H, G) = \frac{\binom{n}{2} - \frac{bd}{2}}{h} = \lfloor \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{h} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{dn}{2h} \frac{n - 1}{d} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{dn}{2h} \lfloor \frac{n - 1}{d} \rfloor \rfloor.$$

Finally, if $1 \leq b \leq d$ then we can delete from K_n a subgraph G^* on $b + \frac{2h}{d}$ vertices which is d regular. Note that this can be done since $h \geq d(d+1)/2$ which implies $d \leq \frac{2h}{d} < \frac{2h}{d} + b$. Also, if d is odd then b and $\frac{2h}{d}$ are both even, so $b + \frac{2h}{d}$ is even. Once again, the remaining graph $G = K_n \setminus G^*$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.1 and we get

$$P(H, K_n) \geq P(H, G) = \frac{\binom{n}{2} - \frac{(b+(2h/d)d)}{2}}{h} = \frac{\binom{n}{2} - \frac{bd}{2}}{h} - 1 = \lfloor \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{h} \rfloor - 1 = \lfloor \frac{dn}{2h} \lfloor \frac{n-1}{d} \rfloor \rfloor - 1.$$

Proving an upper bound for $P(H, K_n)$: Let L be an arbitrary H -packing of K_n . Let s denote the cardinality of L . Let G denote the edge-union of all the members of L . G contains sh edges. Thus $G^* = K_n \setminus G$ contains $\binom{n}{2} - sh$ edges. The degree of every vertex in G is 0 mod d and so the degree of every vertex in G^* is a mod d . Therefore, the number of edges in G^* satisfies

$$\binom{n}{2} - sh = \frac{an + cd}{2}$$

for some non-negative integer c . In particular, $\binom{n}{2} = \frac{an+cd}{2} \pmod{h}$. This, in turn, implies that $n(n-1-a)/d = c \pmod{(2h/d)}$. Thus, we must have $c \geq b$. Therefore,

$$s = \frac{\binom{n}{2} - \frac{an+cd}{2}}{h} \leq \frac{\binom{n}{2} - \frac{an+bd}{2}}{h} = \lfloor \frac{dn}{2h} \lfloor \frac{n-1}{d} \rfloor \rfloor.$$

Since L was an arbitrary H -packing, we have

$$P(H, K_n) \leq \lfloor \frac{dn}{2h} \lfloor \frac{n-1}{d} \rfloor \rfloor.$$

The only remaining case is $a = 0$ and $1 \leq b \leq d$. In this case, we cannot have $c = b$. This is because every non-isolated vertex of G^* has degree at least d , and therefore there are at least $d(d+1)/2$ edges in G^* , i.e $cd/2 \geq d(d+1)/2$, which implies $c \geq d+1$, but $b \leq d$. We must, therefore have $c \geq b + 2h/d$. Therefore,

$$s = \frac{\binom{n}{2} - \frac{an+cd}{2}}{h} \leq \frac{\binom{n}{2} - \frac{an+(b+2h/d)d}{2}}{h} = \lfloor \frac{dn}{2h} \lfloor \frac{n-1}{d} \rfloor \rfloor - 1.$$

□

3 Concluding remarks

1. Theorem 1.1, applied to $H = K_k$ yields, for $n \geq n_0(k)$, that

$$P(K_k, K_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \lfloor \frac{n-1}{k-1} \rfloor \rfloor,$$

unless $k-1 \mid n-1$ and $n(n-1)/(k-1) \pmod{k}$ is less than k and greater than 0, in which case the above formula should be reduced by 1. This solves, in particular, the related problem in Coding Theory mentioned in the introduction.

2. Theorem 1.1, applied to $H = C_k$ yields, for $n \geq n_0(k)$, that

$$P(C_k, K_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor \rfloor$$

unless n is odd and $\binom{n}{2} = 1, 2 \bmod k$.

3. If $n \geq n_0(H)$ and $\gcd(H) = 1$, then $P(H, K_n) = \lfloor \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{e(H)} \rfloor$. Note that by first deleting from K_n any set of $b < e(H)$ edges where $b = \binom{n}{2} \bmod e(H)$, the remaining graph satisfies the conditions in Gustavsson's Theorem, and since the set of deleted edges may be chosen as a subgraph of H we have $C(H, K_n) = \lceil \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{e(H)} \rceil$, solving, in particular, the packing-covering conjecture for trees.

Our approach allows us to solve the covering problem as well. This is done in a forthcoming paper [[CaYu](#)].

4 Acknowledgment

The authors wish to thank N. Alon, T. Etzion, R. Mullin, J. Schonheim and Y. Roditty for useful discussions, helpful information, and sending important references.

References

- [1] S. Bitan and T. Etzion, *The last packing number of quadruples and cyclic SQS*, Design, Codes and Cryptography 3 (1993), 283-313.
- [2] A.E. Brouwer, *Optimal packing of K_4 's into a K_n* , J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A 26 (1979), 278-297.
- [3] A.E. Brouwer, *Block Designs*, in: Chapter 14 in "Handbook of Combinatorics", R. Graham, M. Grötschel and L. Lovász Eds. Elsevier, 1995.
- [4] A. Brouwer, J. Shearer, N. Sloane and W. Smith, *A new table of constant weight codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 36 (1990), 1334-1380.
- [5] B. Bollobás, *Extremal Graph Theory*, Academic Press, 1978.
- [6] Y. Caro and R. Yuster, *Covering graphs: The covering problem solved*, submitted.
- [7] C.J. Colbourn and J.H. Dinitz, *CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Design*, CRC press 1996.

- [8] D. Dor and M. Tarsi, *Graph decomposition is NPC - A complete proof of Holyer's conjecture*, Proc. 20th ACM STOC, ACM Press (1992), 252-263.
- [9] P. Erdős and T. Gallai, *Graphs with prescribed degrees of vertices (Hungarian)*, Math. Lapok 11 (1960), 264-274.
- [10] T. Gustavsson, *Decompositions of large graphs and digraphs with high minimum degree*, Doctoral Dissertation, Dept. of Mathematics, Univ. of Stockholm, 1991.
- [11] H. Hanani, *Balanced incomplete block designs and related designs*, Discrete Math. 11 (1975), 255-369.
- [12] S.M. Johnson, *A new upper bound for error-correcting codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 8 (1962), 203-207.
- [13] W.H. Mills and R.C. Mullin, *Coverings and packings*, in: Contemporary Design Theory: A collection of Surveys, 371-399, edited by J. H. Dinitz and D. R. Stinson. Wiley, 1992.
- [14] R.C. Mullin and J. Yin, *On packing of pairs by quintuples $v = 3, 9, 17 \pmod{20}$* , Ars Combinatoria 35 (1993), 161-171.
- [15] Y. Roditty, *Packing and covering of the complete graph with a graph G of four vertices or less*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A 34 (1983), 231-243.
- [16] Y. Roditty, *Packing and covering of the complete graph IV, the trees of order 7*, Ars Combinatoria 35 (1993), 33-64.
- [17] A. Rosa and S. Znam, *Packing pentagons into complete graphs: how clumsy can you get*, Discrete Math. 128 (1994), 305-316
- [18] J. Schonheim, *On maximal systems of k -tuples*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. (1966), 363-368.
- [19] J. Schonheim and A. Bialostocki, *Packing and covering of the complete graph with 4-cycles*, Canadian Math. Bull. 18 (1975), 703-708.
- [20] R.G. Stanton, J.G. Kalbfleisch and R.C. Mullin, *Covering and packing designs*, Proc. 2nd Chapel Hill Conf. on Combinatorial Mathematics and its applications. Univ. North Carolina, Chapel Hill (1970) 428-450.
- [21] R. M. Wilson, *Decomposition of complete graphs into subgraphs isomorphic to a given graph*, Congressus Numerantium XV (1975), 647-659.